

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Muthu Kumar Sampath

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Bioengineering and Biotechnology

Programme: B.Tech

Semester: III Session: MO 20

Course Code: BE 206 Course Title Chemical Process Calculations

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 9582175991 E-mail: muthubio@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Muthu Kumar

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Yogender Aggarwal Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Bioengineering & Biotechnology Programme: B.Tech
 Semester: 7th (OE) Session: M070, SP21
 Course Code: BE319 Course Title: Bioelectronics - Concept & Instrumentation
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 9431991797 E-mail: yaggarwal@vsnl.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Muthu Kumar Sampath

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Bioengineering and Biotechnology

Programme: B.Tech

Semester: V Session: MO 20

Course Code: BE 303 Course Title Mass Transfer operations

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 9582175991 E-mail: muthubio@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Anyana Dwivedi Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Bioengineering Programme: BE
 Semester: 4th Session: MO 2020
 Course Code: EE7215 Course Title: BIO ELECTRONICS INSTRUMENTATION
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 7761827875 E-mail: dwivedi.anyana@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

This subject is now modified to
EE415 in the CBCS structure.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr Anyana Dwivedi Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Bioengg. & Biotech Programme: M.Sc.
 Semester: 3rd Session: M.O. 2020
 Course Code: BT 511 Course Title: IMAGING CONCEPTS & DEVICES
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 7761827875 E-mail: dwivedi.anyana@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes.


'Harmful effects of radiations' is given in Module - 5, it should be shifted to Module - 1 just in the introduction itself.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Anyana Dwivedi Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Bioengineering Programme: BE
 Semester: 8th Session: MO 2020
 Course Code: EE7216 Course Title: BIO ELECTRONICS INST. LAB.
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 7761827875 E-mail: dwivedi.anyana@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Anjana Dwivedi Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Bioengineering Programme: B.Tech. (Biotech)
 Semester: Seventh (7th) Session: MO-2020
 Course Code: BE 314 Course Title: BIO SIGNAL ACQUISITION & ANALYSIS
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 7761827875 E-mail: adwivedi.anjana@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Anyana Dwivedi Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Bioengineering Programme: B.Tech. (EEE)
 Semester: Seventh (7th) Session: MO-2020
 Course Code: EE415 Course Title: BIO-INSTRUMENTATION & CONCEPTS
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 9761827875 E-mail: dwivedi.anyana@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:Dr. D. M. Pandey..... DesignationAssociate Professor.....
 Department: ..Bioengineering..... Programme: ...BTech Biotechnology
 Semester:V..... Session:MO-20.....
 Course Code: ...BE302..... Course Title ...Functional Genomics & rDNA Technology
 Number of times taught:1.....
 Mobile:9431900315..... E-mail:dmpandey@bitmesra.ac.in.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:Dr. D. M. Pandey..... DesignationAssociate Professor.....
 Department: ..Bioengineering..... Programme: ...BTech Biotechnology
 Semester:V..... Session:MO-20.....
 Course Code: ...BE305..... Course Title ...Mol Bio & rDNA Technology lab
 Number of times taught:1.....
 Mobile:9431900315..... E-mail:dmpandey@bitmesra.ac.in.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ..Dr. Sheela Chandra

Designation ...Asstt. Professor

Department: ..Bioengg. & Biotechnology Programme: B Tech

Semester:1st

Session: MO 20

Course Code: BSE 101

Course TitleBiological science for Engineers

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: .8002326866E-mail: schandra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....

Sheela Chandra

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Soham Chattopadhyay..... Designation: Assistant Professor.

Department: Bioengineering & Biotechnology..... Programme: BE

Semester: 7th Session: **MO19, MO20**

Course Code: BT7023

Course Title: Bioreactor and Bioprocess Design

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 8434188108..... E-mail: soham@bitmesra.ac.in.

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NA**

.....

.....

.....

.....

Soham Chattopadhyay

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr V K Nigam

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Bioengineering and Biotechnology

Programme: UG (B Tech)

Semester: III

Session: MO -2020

Course Code: BE 204

Course Title: Biochemistry and Enzyme Technology

Number of times taught: Thrice

Mobile: .8987627142

E-mail.vknigam@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No YES

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Module I need to be modified as it is difficult for students to complete in stipulated time frame.

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NA.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Shashwati Ghosh Sachan..... Designation: Assistant Professor.....

Department: Bio-Engineering Programme: B.E. Biotechnology

Semester: VII Session: MO20.....

Course Code: BT7035 Course Title: IPR, Biosafety & Bioethics

Number of times taught: ...5.....

Mobile:9431184658..... E-mail:ssachan@bitmesra.ac.in.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Soham Chattopadhyay..... Designation: Assistant Professor.

Department: Bioengineering & Biotechnology..... Programme: B. Tech

Semester: 5th Session: **MO19, MO20**

Course Code: BE304

Course Title: Reaction Engineering

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 8434188108..... E-mail: soham@bitmesra.ac.in.

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NA**

.....

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dinesh Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Bioengineering and Biotechnology

Programme: UG, Biotechnology

Semester: III

Session: MO20

Course Code: BE101 Course

Title: Biological Science for Engineers

Number of times taught: 9 times

Mobile:9471559188 E-mail: dinesh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

YES

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Some topics important for industrial relevance and must be included. The student should develop the requisite skills and knowledge to become bio-entrepreneurs, have the ability to solve critical challenges and innovate unique technologies for affordable clean energy, healthcare, sustainable agriculture, and a safe environment


Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dinesh Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Bioengineering and Biotechnology

Programme: UG, Biotechnology

Semester: III

Session: MO20

Course Code: BE202 Course

Title: Cell and Molecular Biology

Number of times taught: three times

Mobile:9471559188

E-mail: dinesh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No


YES

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

The Biological Science must me taught in 1st year, teaching cell and molecular Biology and biological science in the same semester is bit confusing to students because the basic biology should be clear before starting the subject.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:Dr. PADMINI PADMANABHAN.....

DesignationPROF.....

Department:BIOENGG & BIOTECH..... Programme: B TECH

Semester: FIFTH.....Session: ...MO 20

Course Code:BE 304..... Course Title: REACTION ENGG

ENGG.....

Number of times taught:SEVERAL

.....

Mobile:7550008592.....E-mail:

.....padmini@bitmesra.ac.in.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Sd-

P Padmanabhan

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:Dr. Sheela Chandra . Designation Asstt. Professor

Department: ..Bioengg & Biotech. Programme: B. Tech...

Semester: VII . Session: MO 20

Course Code: BE403 Course Title Plant & Agricultural Biotechnology

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: ..8002326866 E-mail: schandra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Sheela Chandra

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Muthu Kumar Sampath

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Bioengineering and Biotechnology

Programme: B.Tech

Semester: IV Session: SP 21

Course Code: BE 209 Course Title: Fluid mechanics and heat transfer

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 9582175991 E-mail: muthubio@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Muthu Kumar Sampath

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Bioengineering and Biotechnology

Programme: B.Tech

Semester: IV Session: SP 21

Course Code: BE 318 Course Title: Bioenergy and Biofuels

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 9582175991 E-mail: muthubio@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Muthu Kumar

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Soham Chattopadhyay..... Designation: Assistant Professor.

Department: Bioengineering & Biotechnology..... Programme: B. Tech

Semester: 6th Session: **SP20, SP21**

Course Code: BE308

Course Title: Bioseparation Engineering

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 8434188108..... E-mail: soham@bitmesra.ac.in.

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NA**

.....

.....

.....

.....

Soham Chattopadhyay

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Shashwati Ghosh Sachan..... Designation: Assistant Professor.....

Department: Bio-Engineering..... Programme: BHMCT, Chem. Engg

Semester: VI, IV Session: SP21.....

Course Code: BE203 Course Title: Microbiology

Number of times taught: ...1.....

Mobile:9431184658..... E-mail:ssachan@bitmesra.ac.in.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Shashwati Ghosh Sachan..... Designation: Assistant Professor.....

Department: Bio-Engineering..... Programme: BTech Biotechnology

Semester: IV Session: SP21.....

Course Code: BE214 Course Title: Natural Product Biotechnology

Number of times taught: ...2.....

Mobile:9431184658..... E-mail:ssachan@bitmesra.ac.in.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: **Yes/ No**

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Module 1 & 2 require modifications which would be included during the syllabus revision in the department.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ..Dr. Sheela Chandra

Designation ...Asstt. Professor

Department: ..Bioengg. & Biotechnology

Programme: BTech

Semester:IV

Session: SP 21

Course Code: BT 3021

Course TitleBiological Sciences

Number of times taught: 05

Mobile: .8002326866E-mail: schandra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

NO

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....

Sheela Chandra

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Soham Chattopadhyay..... Designation: Assistant Professor.

Department: Bioengineering & Biotechnology..... Programme: B. Tech

Semester: 4th Session: **SP21**

Course Code: BE216

Course Title: Enzyme Technology

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 8434188108..... E-mail: soham@bitmesra.ac.in.

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NA**

.....

.....

.....

.....

Soham Chattopadhyay

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr V K Nigam.

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Bioengineering and Biotechnology

Programme: B Tech (UG)

Semester: VI Semester.

Session: SP 2021

Course Code: BE 307

Course Title: Bioprocess Engineering.

Number of times taught: Once

Mobile: 8987627142

E-mail: .vknigam@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NA

.....

.....

.....


.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NA

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:Dr. D. M. Pandey..... DesignationAssociate Professor.....
 Department: ..Bioengineering..... Programme: ...M. Sc...Biotechnology.....
 Semester:I..... Session:MO-20.....
 Course Code: ...BT407..... Course Title ...Genomics

Number of times taught:1.....

Mobile:9431900315..... E-mail:dmpandey@bitmesra.ac.in.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:Dr. D. M. Pandey..... DesignationAssociate Professor.....
 Department: ..Bioengineering..... Programme: ...M. Sc...Biotechnology.....
 Semester:I..... Session:MO-20.....
 Course Code: ...BT408..... Course Title ...Genomics Lab.....
 Number of times taught:1.....
 Mobile:9431900315..... E-mail:dmpandey@bitmesra.ac.in.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ..Dr. Sheela Chandra

Designation ...Asstt. Professor

Department: ..Bioengg. & Biotechnology

Programme: Msc

Semester: Ist

Session: MO 20

Course Code: BT 411

Course Title Molecular Plant Physiology

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: .8002326866E-mail: schandra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....

Sheela Chandra

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Yogender Aggarwal Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Bioengineering and Biotechnology Programme: M.Sc. Biotechnology
 Semester: 1st Session: Mo20, Mo21
 Course Code: BTH13 Course Title: Biosignal Acquisition System
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 9431991797 E-mail: yaggarwal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Yogender
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ..Dr. Sheela Chandra

Designation ...Asstt. Professor

Department: ..Bioengg. & Biotechnology

Programme: Msc

Semester: 1st

Session: MO 21

Course Code: BT 402 Course Title Metabolism & Bioenergetics

Number of times taught: 04

Mobile: .8002326866

E-mail: schandra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Sheela Chandra

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Soham Chattopadhyay..... Designation: Assistant Professor.

Department: Bioengineering & Biotechnology..... Programme: M Sc.

Semester: 2nd Session: **MO19, MO20**

Course Code: BT509

Course Title: Down Stream Processing

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 8434188108..... E-mail: soham@bitmesra.ac.in.

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NA**

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr Vinod Kumar Nigam.	Designation: Associate Professor
Department: Bioengineering and Biotechnology	Programme: MSc (PG)
Semester: MSc.-I.	Session: MO 2020
Course Code: BT 409	Course Title Industrial Biotechnology
Number of times taught: Twice	
Mobile: 8987627142	E-mail: vknigam@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NA

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NA

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Shashwati Ghosh Sachan..... Designation: Assistant Professor.....

Department: Bio-Engineering..... Programme: MSc Biotechnology

Semester: I Session: MO20.....

Course Code: BT403 Course Title: Applied Microbiology

Number of times taught: ...3.....

Mobile:9431184658..... E-mail:ssachan@bitmesra.ac.in.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:Dr. Sheela Chandra..... Designatio Asstt. Professor...

Department: .Bioengg & Biotech Programme: Msc

Semester: 1st. Session: MO/ 20

Course Code: BT 411 Course Title Molecular Plant Physiology

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: ..8002326866. E-mail: .schandra@bitmesra.ac.in.

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ **No**

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Sheela Chandra

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Anyana Dwivedi Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Bioengineering Programme: M.Sc.
 Semester: Session: SP-2021
 Course Code: BT 427 Course Title: Biomedical Equipment & Devices
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 7761827875 E-mail: dwivedi.anyana@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Anjana Dwivedi Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Bioengineering Programme: B.Tech. (Biotech)
 Semester: 7th Session: SP-2021
 Course Code: BE 319 Course Title: Bioelectronics Concept & Inst.
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 7761827875 E-mail: dwivedi.anjana@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Anjana Dwivedi Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Bioengineering Programme: B.Tech. (Mech.)
 Semester: 7th Session: SP - 2021
 Course Code: BE 319 Course Title: Bioelectronics - Concept & Inst.
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 7761827875 E-mail: dwivedi.anjana@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:Dr. D. M. Pandey..... DesignationAssociate Professor.....
 Department: ..Bioengineering..... Programme: ...M. Sc...Biotechnology.....
 Semester:I..... Session:SP-21.....
 Course Code: ...BT421..... Course Title ...Proteomics

Number of times taught:1.....

Mobile:9431900315..... E-mail:dmpandey@bitmesra.ac.in.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:Dr. D. M. Pandey..... DesignationAssociate Professor.....
 Department: ..Bioengineering..... Programme: ...M. Sc...Biotechnology.....
 Semester:I..... Session:SP-21.....
 Course Code: ...BT422..... Course Title ...Proteomics lab.....
 Number of times taught:1.....
 Mobile:9431900315..... E-mail:dmpandey@bitmesra.ac.in.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. H.R. Singh Designation: Asst. prof.
 Department: As Bioengg. & Biotech Programme: M.Sc. (Biotech)
 Semester: II Session: S.P./21
 Course Code: BT 423 Course Title: Animal Biotechnology
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 9430220114 E-mail: hosingh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher 

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ..Dr. Sheela Chandra

Designation ...Asstt. Professor

Department: ..Bioengg. & Biotechnology

Programme: Msc

Semester: IInd

Session: SP 21

Course Code: BT 425

Course TitlePlant Biotechnology

Number of times taught: 04

Mobile: .8002326866E-mail: schandra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

NO

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Sheela Chandra

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ..Dr. Sheela Chandra

Designation ...Asstt. Professor

Department: ..Bioengg. & Biotechnology Programme: Msc

Semester:PHD. Session: SP 21

Course Code: PHD 402 Course TitleMetabolism & Bioenergetics

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: .8002326866E-mail: schandra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

NO

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Sheela Chandra

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Yogender Aggarwal Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Bioengineering & Biotechnology Programme: M.Sc. Biotechnology
 Semester: 3rd Session: SP21
 Course Code: BI BT427 Course Title: Biomedical Equipment & Devices
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 9431991797 E-mail: yaggarwal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Yogender
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dinesh Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Bioengineering and Biotechnology

Programme: PG, Biotechnology

Semester: I

Session: MO2020

Course Code: BE601

Course Title: IPR, Biosafety and Bioethics

Number of times taught: Two times

Mobile:9471559188

E-mail: dinesh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

Yes

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

The syllabus is too much focused towards IPR filing process, The inclination towards Biotechnology IPR and Bioethics is required.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dinesh Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Bioengineering and Biotechnology

Programme: PG, Biotechnology

Semester: I

Session: MO2020

Course Code: BT503

Course Title: Biosafety, Bioethics and IPR

Number of times taught: Two times

Mobile:9471559188

E-mail: dinesh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

Yes

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

The syllabus is too much focused towards IPR filing process, The inclination towards Biotechnology IPR and Bioethics is required.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:Dr. PADMINI PADMANABHAN.....

DesignationPROF.....

Department:BIOENGG & BIOTECH..... Programme: M. TECH

Semester: FIRST.....Session:MO20.....

Course Code:BE 503..... Course Title ADVANCED REACTION

ENGG.....

Number of times taught:THREE (3)

.....

Mobile:7550008592.....E-mail:

.....padmini@bitmesra.ac.in.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Sd-

P Padmanabhan

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr V K Nigam.

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Bioengineering and Biotechnology

Programme: PG (M Tech)

Semester: II

Session: SP 2021

Course Code: BE 507

Course Title: Advance Bioseparation Engineering

Number of times taught: Twice

Mobile: 8987627142

E-mail: vknigam@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NA

.....

.....

.....


.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NA.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Yogender Aggarwal Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Bioengineering & Biotechnology Programme: M. Tech.
 Semester: 3rd Session: SP21
 Course Code: B.E518 Course Title: Biomedical Instrumentation
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 9431991797 E-mail: yaggarwal@sitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Yogender
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:Dr. D. M. Pandey..... DesignationAssociate Professor.....

Department: ..Bioengineering..... Programme: ... Pre-PhD

Semester: ...I..... Session:MO-20.....

Course Code: ...BE517..... Course Title ...Protein Engineering

Number of times taught:1.....

Mobile:9431900315..... E-mail:dmpandey@bitmesra.ac.in.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ..Dr. Sheela Chandra

Designation ...Asstt. Professor

Department: ..Bioengg. & Biotechnology Programme: PHD

Semester:PHD.

Session: SP 21

Course Code: PHD 402

Course TitleMetabolism & Bioenergetics

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: .8002326866E-mail: schandra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....

Sheela Chandra

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:Dr. D. M. Pandey..... DesignationAssociate Professor.....

Department: ..Bioengineering..... Programme: ...Pre-PhD.....

Semester:I..... Session:SP-21.....

Course Code: ...BT407..... Course Title ...Genomics

Number of times taught:1.....

Mobile:9431900315..... E-mail:dmpandey@bitmesra.ac.in.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.