

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rupesh Kumar Sinha

Designation: Associate Lecturer

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: III

Session: MO-18

Course Code: EC-3201

Course Title: Digital Electronics

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-9470369133

E-mail: rk.sinha@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rupesh Kumar Sinha

Designation: Associate Lecturer

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: III

Session: MO-18

Course Code: EC-3202

Course Title: Digital Electronics Lab

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-9470369133

E-mail: rk.sinha@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rupesh Kumar Sinha

Designation: Associate Lecturer

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: III

Session: MO-18

Course Code: EC-3204

Course Title: Modern Instruments and Measurement Lab

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-9470369133

E-mail: rk.sinha@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rupesh Kumar Sinha

Designation: Associate Lecturer

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: II

Session: SP-19

Course Code: EC-102

Course Title: Electronics & Communication Lab

Number of times taught: Nil

Mobile: +91-9470369133

E-mail: rk.sinha@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: V

Session: MO-18

Course Code: EC-5205

Course Title: Data Communication

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: M.Tech.

Semester: I

Session: MO-18

Course Code: EC-518

Course Title: Advanced Instrumentation System

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: III

Session: MO-18

Course Code: EC-3204

Course Title: Modern Instruments & Measurement Lab

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: VI

Session: SP-19

Course Code: EC-6205

Course Title: Computer Networking

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: II

Session: SP-19

Course Code: EC-102

Course Title: Electronics Communication Lab

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Santashraya Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: I

Session: MO-18

Course Code: EC101 Course Title: BASIC ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGG.

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-8340185216

E-mail: s.prashad@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

	Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0	
S. No.	Statements					Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.					4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.					4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.					4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.					4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.					4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.					4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.					4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.					4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.					4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.					4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.					4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.					4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Santashraya Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: I

Session: MO-18

Course Code: EC 102 Course Title: BASIC ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGG  
LAB

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-8340185216

E-mail: s.prashad@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

	Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0	
S. No.	Statements					Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.					4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.					4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.					4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.					3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.					4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.					4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.					4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.					4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.					4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.					4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.					3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.					4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Santashraya Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: I

Session: SP-19

Course Code: EC4201

Course Title: VLSI DESIGN

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: +91-8340185216

E-mail: s.prashad@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
S. No.	Statements			Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.			4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.			4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.			4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.			4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.			4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.			4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.			4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.			4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.			4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.			3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.			4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.			2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
.....  
.....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
.....

**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Santashraya Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: I

Session: SP-19

Course Code: EC4202

Course Title: VLSI DESIGN LAB

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-8340185216

E-mail: s.prashad@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

	Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
S. No.	Statements				Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.				4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.				4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.				3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.				2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.				4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.				4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.				4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.				4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.				4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.				3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.				4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.				3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....


.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ALL

Programme: BE

Semester: I

Session: MO-18

Course Code: EC102

Course Title: Electronics and Communication Lab

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu  
 Department: ALL (Elective)  
 Semester: VII  
 Course Code: MEC2019  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: +91-7070170510

Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Programme: BE  
 Session: MO-18  
 Course Title: Micro-Electro Mechanical Systems  
 E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No
----

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: BE

Semester: III

Session: MO-18

Course Code: EC3205

Course Title: Semiconductor Devices

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**



# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: BE

Semester: IV

Session: SP-19 (2 sections)

Course Code: EC4202

Course Title: VLSI Design Lab

Number of times taught: 6

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs.) Soumya Siddhishwari

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: UG (BE)

Semester: III (IT)

Session: MO-18

Course Code: EC 3201

Course Title: Digital Electronics

Number of times taught: Six

Mobile: 9470113158

E-mail: [siddhishwarisoumya@rediffmail.com](mailto:siddhishwarisoumya@rediffmail.com),

[soumya@bitmesra.ac.in](mailto:soumya@bitmesra.ac.in)

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	2
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

yes

**Syllabus ( Module V & VI )needs revision.**

.....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

*Soumya Siddhishwari*  
**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

# Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs.) Soumya Siddhishwari

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: UG (BE)

Semester: VI

Session: SP-19

Course Code: EC6203

Course Title: Fiber Optic Communication System

Number of times taught: Four

Mobile: 9470113158

E-mail: [siddhishwarisoumya@rediffmail.com](mailto:siddhishwarisoumya@rediffmail.com),  
[soumya@bitmesra.ac.in](mailto:soumya@bitmesra.ac.in)

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

yes

**Syllabus should be modified with inclusion of new requirements in modern optical communication systems.**

.....  
.....  
.....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
.....

*Soumya Siddhishwari*

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeev Sharma

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: BE

Semester: VI

Session: MO-18

Course Code: MEC 2163

Course Title: Speech Processing and Recognition

Number of times taught: 0

Mobile: 9754177330

E-mail: rsharma.teqip@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	1
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeev Sharma

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: M.Tech

Semester: II

Session: SP-19

Course Code: EC599

Course Title: Sensors and Actuators

Number of times taught: 0

Mobile: 9754177330

E-mail: rsharma.teqip@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	1
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeev Sharma

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: BE

Semester: VI

Session: MO-19

Course Code: MEC 2163

Course Title: Speech Processing and Recognition

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9754177330

E-mail: rsharma.teqip@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	1
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeev Sharma

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: M.Tech

Semester: I

Session: MO-19

Course Code: EC522

Course Title: Advanced Digital Signal Processing

Number of times taught: 0

Mobile: 9754177330

E-mail: Sharma.rajeev186@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: V

Session: MO-17

Course Code: EC-5205

Course Title: Data Communication

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: M.E.

Semester: I

Session: MO-17

Course Code: MEC-1054

Course Title: Micro-controller based system design

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

  
Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: III

Session: MO-17

Course Code: EC-3204

Course Title: Modern Instruments & Measurement Lab

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: VI

Session: SP-18

Course Code: EC-6201

Course Title: Intelligent Instrumentation

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: VI

Session: SP-18

Course Code: EC-6202

Course Title: Industrial Instrumentation Lab

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rupesh Kumar Sinha

Designation: Associate Lecturer

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: III

Session: MO-17

Course Code: EC-3201

Course Title: Digital Electronics

Number of times taught: Nil

Mobile: +91-9470369133

E-mail: rk.sinha@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

  
Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rupesh Kumar Sinha

Designation: Associate Lecturer

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: III

Session: MO-17

Course Code: EC-3202

Course Title: Digital Electronics Lab

Number of times taught: Nil

Mobile: +91-9470369133

E-mail: rk.sinha@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rupesh Kumar Sinha

Designation: Associate Lecturer

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: III

Session: MO-17

Course Code: EC-3204

Course Title: Modern Instruments and Measurement Lab

Number of times taught: Nil

Mobile: +91-9470369133

E-mail: rk.sinha@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

  
 Signature of the Teacher  
 Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rupesh Kumar Sinha

Designation: Associate Lecturer

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: VI

Session: SP-18

Course Code: EC-6202

Course Title: Industrial Instrumentation Lab

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-9470369133

E-mail: rk.sinha@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher



*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Santashraya Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: I

Session: MO-17

Course Code: EC2001

Course Title: PRINCIPLES OF BASIC ELECTRONICS

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-9934583162

E-mail: s.prashad@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

	Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
S. No.	Statements				Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.				4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.				4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.				4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.				3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.				4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.				4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.				4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.				4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.				4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.				4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.				3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.				2


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Santashraya Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: I

Session: MO-17

Course Code: EC 2002

Course Title: BASIC ELECTRONICS ENGG LAB

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: +91-9934583162

E-mail: s.prashad@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

	Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
S. No.	Statements				Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.				4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.				4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.				4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.				3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.				4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.				4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.				4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.				4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.				4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.				4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.				3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.				2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Santashraya Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: I

Session: SP-18

Course Code: EC4201

Course Title: VLSI DESIGN

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: +91-9934583162

E-mail: s.prashad@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

	Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
S. No.	Statements				Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.				4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.				4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.				3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.				2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.				4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.				4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.				4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.				4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.				4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.				3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.				4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.				3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Santashraya Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: I

Session: SP-18

Course Code: EC4202

Course Title: VLSI DESIGN LAB

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-9934583162

E-mail: s.prashad@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

		Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0	
S. No.	Statements						Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.						4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.						4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.						3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.						2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.						4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.						4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.						4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.						4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.						4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.						3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.						4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.						3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: BE

Semester: VII (Elective)

Session: MO-17

Course Code: MEC1019

Course Title: Microelectronic Devices & Circuits

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: BE

Semester: III

Session: MO-17

Course Code: EC3205

Course Title: Semiconductor Devices

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundusudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ALL

Programme: BE

Semester: I

Session: MO-17

Course Code: EC2002

Course Title: Basic Electronics Engineering Lab

Number of times taught: 6

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: PhD

Semester: PhD

Session: SP-18

Course Code: MEC1019

Course Title: Microelectronic Devices & Circuits

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No
----

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**



# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: BE

Semester: IV

Session: SP-18

Course Code: EC4202

Course Title: VLSI Design Lab

Number of times taught: 4

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: BE

Semester: IV

Session: SP-18

Course Code: EC4201

Course Title: VLSI Design

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs.) Soumya Siddhishwari

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: UG (BE)

Semester: III (IT)

Session: MO-17

Course Code: EC 3201

Course Title: Digital Electronics

Number of times taught: Five

Mobile: 9470113158

E-mail: [siddhishwarisoumya@rediffmail.com](mailto:siddhishwarisoumya@rediffmail.com),  
[soumya@bitmesra.ac.in](mailto:soumya@bitmesra.ac.in)

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	2
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

yes

**Syllabus (Module V & VI) needs revision.**

.....  
.....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
.....

*Soumya Siddhishwari*  
Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs.) Soumya Siddhishwari

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: UG (BE)

Semester: VI

Session: SP-18

Course Code: EC6203

Course Title: Fiber Optic Communication System

Number of times taught: Three

Mobile: 9470113158

E-mail: [siddhishwarisoumya@rediffmail.com](mailto:siddhishwarisoumya@rediffmail.com),  
[soumya@bitmesra.ac.in](mailto:soumya@bitmesra.ac.in)

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO
----

.....  
.....  
.....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
.....

*Soumya Siddhishwari*  
Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Vibha Rani Gupta

Designation: Professor

Department: Electronics and Communication Engineering: Programme: U.G.

Semester: Fifth semester

Session: MO/17

Course Code: EC5203

Course Title: Microwave Engineering

Number of times taught: Two

Mobile: .9431360980

E-mail: vrgupta@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

**In Module4: Diodes (Schottky, PIN, Gunn, IMPATT, TRAPAT) and Diode Circuits, Bipolar Junction Transistors, Field Effect Transistors are already covered under the course Semiconductor Devices.**

**Module7: All the measurement processes are discussed in the Laboratories of the Microwave Engineering.**


Above mentioned topics, which are overlapping with other Course or laboratory, should be replaced by the introduction and design of Microwave Filters to improve the syllabus.

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments: Nil

.....  
 .....  
 .....

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*


 (signature of Teacher)

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

**Name:** Dr. Vibha Rani Gupta

**Designation:** Professor

**Department:** Electronics and Communication Engineering: **Programme:** U.G.

**Semester:** Fourth Semester

**Session:** SP/18

**Course Code:** EC 4207

**Course Title:** Electromagnetic Theory

**Number of times taught:** one

**Mobile:** .9431360980

**E-mail:** vrgupta@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

.....  
**Syllabus will be improved if introduction related to radiation and antenna is included.**  
.....

Any other specific comments: Nil  
.....  
.....  
.....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note:** In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rupesh Kumar Sinha

Designation: Associate Lecturer

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: IV

Session: MO-16

Course Code: EC-4206

Course Title: Microprocessor & Microcontroller Lab

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-9470369133

E-mail: rk.sinha@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rupesh Kumar Sinha

Designation: Associate Lecturer

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: VI

Session: SP-17

Course Code: EC-6201

Course Title: Intelligent Instrumentation

Number of times taught: Nil

Mobile: +91-9470369133

E-mail: rk.sinha@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rupesh Kumar Sinha

Designation: Associate Lecturer

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: VI

Session: SP-17

Course Code: EC-6202

Course Title: Industrial Instrumentation Lab

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-9470369133

E-mail: rk.sinha@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: M.E.

Semester: I

Session: MO-16

Course Code: MEC-1054

Course Title: Micro-controller based system design

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

  
Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: III

Session: MO-16

Course Code: EC-3204

Course Title: Modern Instruments & Measurement Lab

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: V

Session: MO-16

Course Code: EC-4206

Course Title: Microprocessor & Microcontroller Lab

Number of times taught: 4

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

  
Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: VI

Session: SP-17

Course Code: EC-6202

Course Title: Industrial Instrumentation Lab

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

  
Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: IV

Session: SP-17

Course Code: EC-4206

Course Title: Microprocessor & Microcontroller Lab

Number of times taught: 5

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Santashraya Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: I

Session: MO-16

Course Code: EC3205

Course Title: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-9934583162

E-mail: s.prashad@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

	Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
S. No.	Statements				Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.				4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.				4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.				4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.				3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.				4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.				4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.				4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.				4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.				4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.				4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.				3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.				2


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Santashraya Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: I

Session: MO-16

Course Code: EC 2002

Course Title: BASIC ELECTRONICS ENGG LAB

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: +91-9934583162

E-mail: s.prashad@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

	Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
S. No.	Statements				Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.				4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.				4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.				3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.				2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.				4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.				4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.				4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.				4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.				4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.				3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.				4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.				3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....


.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content*



# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Santashraya Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: I

Session: SP-17

Course Code: EC3205

Course Title: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: +91-9934583162

E-mail: s.prashad@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

	Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
S. No.	Statements				Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.				4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.				4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.				3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.				2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.				4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.				4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.				4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.				4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.				4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.				3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.				4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.				3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Santashraya Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: I

Session: SP-17

Course Code: EC 2002

Course Title: BASIC ELECTRONICS ENGG LAB

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: +91-9934583162

E-mail: s.prashad@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

	Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0	
S. No.	Statements					Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.					4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.					4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.					3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.					2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.					4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.					4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.					4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.					4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.					4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.					3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.					4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.					3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: CSE

Programme: BE

Semester: III

Session: MO-16

Course Code: EC3201

Course Title: Digital Electronics

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ALL

Programme: BE

Semester: I

Session: MO-16 (2 sections)

Course Code: EC2002

Course Title: Basic Electronics Engineering Lab

Number of times taught: 4

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ALL

Programme: BE

Semester: I

Session: MO-16

Course Code: EC2001

Course Title: Principles of Electronics Engineering

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: BE

Semester: IV

Session: SP-17

Course Code: EC4202

Course Title: VLSI Design Lab

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: BE

Semester: IV

Session: SP-17

Course Code: EC4201

Course Title: VLSI Design

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ALL

Programme: BE

Semester: II

Session: SP-17

Course Code: EC2002

Course Title: Basic Electronics Engineering Lab

Number of times taught: 5

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs.) Soumya Siddhishwari

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: UG (BE)

Semester: III (CSE)

Session: MO-16

Course Code: EC 3201

Course Title: Digital Electronics

Number of times taught: Four

Mobile: 9470113158

E-mail: [siddhishwarisoumya@rediffmail.com](mailto:siddhishwarisoumya@rediffmail.com),

[soumya@bitmesra.ac.in](mailto:soumya@bitmesra.ac.in)

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	2
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

yes

**Syllabus (Module V & VI) needs revision.**

.....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

*Soumya Siddhishwari*  
**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs.) Soumya Siddhishwari

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: UG (BE)

Semester: II (Sec E&F)

Session: SP-17

Course Code: EC 2001

Course Title: Principles of Electronics Engineering

Number of times taught: Six

Mobile: 9470113158

E-mail: [siddhishwarisoumya@rediffmail.com](mailto:siddhishwarisoumya@rediffmail.com),  
[soumya@bitmesra.ac.in](mailto:soumya@bitmesra.ac.in)

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

yes

**First module is very large.**

**Syllabus needs revision to complete within time.**

.....  
.....

Any other specific comments:

**Syllabus should be modified such that all branches get benefitted as it is common paper to all branch.**

.....  
.....

*Soumya Siddhishwari*  
Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

**Name:** Dr. Vibha Rani Gupta                      **Designation:** Professor  
**Department:** Electronics and Communication Engineering: **Programme:** P.G.  
**Semester:** First semester                              **Session:** MO/16  
**Course Code:** MEC1021                              **Course Title:** Antennas and Diversity  
**Number of times taught:** 5  
**Mobile:** .9431360980                              **E-mail:** vrgupta@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	NA

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Following topics can be removed:

Module2: Whip antenna and Discone antenna

Module3: Planar Spiral Antenna

And "Dielectric resonator Antenna" can be included to improve the syllabus

Module 5 and 6 can be combined to one module.


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Vibha Rani Gupta

Designation: Professor

Department: Electronics and Communication Engineering: Programme: P.G.

Semester: Second semester

Session: SP/17

Course Code: MEC2125

Course Title: NTE

Number of times taught: 4

Mobile: .9431360980

E-mail: vrgupta@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	NA

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments: NIL

.....

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rupesh Kumar Sinha

Designation: Associate Lecturer

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: II

Session: MO-15

Course Code: EC-2002

Course Title: Principles of Electronics Engineering

Number of times taught: Nil

Mobile: +91-9470369133

E-mail: rk.sinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rupesh Kumar Sinha

Designation: Associate Lecturer

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: IV

Session: MO-15

Course Code: EC-4206

Course Title: Microprocessor & Microcontroller Lab

Number of times taught: Nil

Mobile: +91-9470369133

E-mail: rk.sinha@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rupesh Kumar Sinha

Designation: Associate Lecturer

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: VI

Session: SP-16

Course Code: EC-6202

Course Title: Industrial Instrumentation Lab

Number of times taught: Nil

Mobile: +91-9470369133

E-mail: rk.sinha@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: M.E.

Semester: I

Session: MO-15

Course Code: MEC-1053

Course Title: Micro-controller based system design

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	<b>2</b>
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

  
Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**



# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: V

Session: MO-15

Course Code: EC-4205

Course Title: Microprocessor & Microcontroller

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: V

Session: MO-15

Course Code: EC-4206

Course Title: Microprocessor & Microcontroller Lab

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

  
Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: VI

Session: SP-16

Course Code: EC-6205

Course Title: Computer Networking

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: VI

Session: SP-16

Course Code: EC-6202

Course Title: Industrial Instrumentation Lab

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priyank Saxena

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: IV

Session: SP-16

Course Code: EC-4206

Course Title: Microprocessor & Microcontroller Lab

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-9431597282

E-mail: priyanksaxena@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

  
Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Santashraya Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: I

Session: MO-15

Course Code: EC3205

Course Title: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-9934583162

E-mail: s.prashad@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No
----

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Santashraya Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: I

Session: MO-15

Course Code: EC 2002

Course Title: BASIC ELECTRONICS ENGG LAB

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-9934583162

E-mail: s.prashad@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Santashraya Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: I

Session: SP-16

Course Code: EC2001 Course Title: PRINCIPLE OF BASIC ELECTRONICS ENGG

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-9934583162

E-mail: s.prashad@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us*



# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Santashraya Prasad

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: B.E.

Semester: I

Session: SP-16

Course Code: EC 2002

Course Title: BASIC ELECTRONICS ENGG LAB

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-9934583162

E-mail: s.prashad@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

  
Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: IT

Programme: BE

Semester: III

Session: MO-15

Course Code: EC3201

Course Title: Digital Electronics

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ALL

Programme: BE

Semester: I

Session: MO-15 (2 sections)

Course Code: EC2002

Course Title: Basic Electronics Engineering Lab

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ALL

Programme: BE

Semester: I

Session: MO-15

Course Code: EC2001

Course Title: Principles of Electronics Engineering

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundusudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No
----

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: BE

Semester: IV

Session: SP-16 (2 sections)

Course Code: EC4202

Course Title: VLSI Design Lab

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No
----

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sudip Kundu

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: BE

Semester: IV

Session: SP-16

Course Code: EC4201

Course Title: VLSI Design

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: +91-7070170510

E-mail: kundu.sudip@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No
----

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs.) Soumya Siddhishwari

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: UG (BE)

Semester: I (Sec L)

Session: MO-15

Course Code: EC 2001

Course Title: Principles of Electronics Engineering

Number of times taught: Four

Mobile: 9470113158

E-mail: [siddhishwarisoumya@rediffmail.com](mailto:siddhishwarisoumya@rediffmail.com),

[soumya@bitmesra.ac.in](mailto:soumya@bitmesra.ac.in)

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

yes

**First module is very large.**

**Syllabus needs revision to complete within time.**

.....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

**Syllabus should be modified such that all branches get benefitted as it is common paper to all branch.**

.....  
 .....

*Soumya Siddhishwari*  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs.) Soumya Siddhishwari

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: UG (BE)

Semester: III (CSE)

Session: MO-15

Course Code: EC 3201

Course Title: Digital Electronics

Number of times taught: Three

Mobile: 9470113158

E-mail: [siddhishwarisoumya@rediffmail.com](mailto:siddhishwarisoumya@rediffmail.com),

[soumya@bitmesra.ac.in](mailto:soumya@bitmesra.ac.in)

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	2
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

yes

**Syllabus (Module V & VI) needs revision.**

.....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

*Soumya Siddhishwari*

**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**



# Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs.) Soumya Siddhishwari

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: ECE

Programme: UG (BE)

Semester: II (Sec E)

Session: SP-16

Course Code: EC 2001

Course Title: Principles of Electronics Engineering

Number of times taught: Five

Mobile: 9470113158

E-mail: [siddhishwarisoumya@rediffmail.com](mailto:siddhishwarisoumya@rediffmail.com),  
[soumya@bitmesra.ac.in](mailto:soumya@bitmesra.ac.in)

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

yes

**First module is very large.**

**Syllabus needs revision to complete within time.**

.....  
.....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
.....

*Soumya Siddhishwari*

**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**