

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs.) S. M. Verma

Designation: Professor

Department: Dept. of Pharm. Sci. & Tech.

Programme: B. Pharm.

Semester: 7th Sem

Session: MO-2019

Course Code: PS7405

Course Title: Synthetic Medicinal II

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 6200692454

E-mail: smverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The time allotted to the modules may be such that there is more room for discussions.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Kishanta Kumar Pradhan Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology Programme: UG
 Semester: 5TH Semester Session: MO 2018
 Course Code: PS 5407 Course Title: Instrumental Drug Analysis
 Number of times taught: 04
 Mobile: 9570150105 E-mail:kkpradhan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....


 Signature

of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Abhimanyu Dev.

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology

Programme: B. Pharm.

Semester: III

Session: MO/18

Course Code: BP 308P

Course Title: Pharmaceutical Engineering Lab

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9955165915

E-mail: abhimanyudev@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....
X

Any other specific comments:

.....
No



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Abhimanyu Dev

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology

Programme: B. Pharm.

Semester: III

Session: MO/18

Course Code: BP 308P

Course Title: Pharmaceutical Engineering Lab

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9955165915

E-mail: abhimanyudev@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....
 No


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Abhimanyu Dev
 Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Programme: B. Pharm.
 Semester: V
 Course Code: PS 5408
 Course Title: Pharmaceutical Engineering Lab
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 9955165915
 E-mail: abhimanyudev@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

NO

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

x

No

Any other specific comments:


.....

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Abhimanyu Dev
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Designation: Assistant Professor
 Programme: B. Pharm.
 Session: MO/18
 Course Title: Pharmaceutical Biotechnology
 Number of times taught: 3
 Course Code: PS 7415
 Semester: VII
 Mobile: 9955165915
 E-mail: abhimanyudev@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

NO

Any other specific comments:

No

Signature of the Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: I
 Course Code: BP102T
 Number of Times taught: 00
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B. Pharmacy
 Session: MO2018
 Course Title: Pharm Analysis I
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

① UNIT 1- Pharmacopoeia, Source of impurities & Limit tests are also there in BP104T Pharm Inorg Chem. Duplication to be rectified. ② Back-titrations to be covered in all volumetric titrations. ③ Permanganometry & Cerimetry to be incorporated.

Any other specific comments:

Calculations to be given more emphasis. This will help students during their laboratory session.

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
Semester: I
Course Code: BP108P
Number of Times taught: 00
Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: B. Pharmacy
Session: MO2018
Course Title: Pharm Analy I Practical
E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	2
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

① Limit tests are there in HOP Pharm Organic Chem too.
Duplication to be rectified. ② Permanganometry & Cerimetry
are in lab but are missing in theory (BP1027) - ③
Acetic anhydride reagent for Non-Aqueous titration is not
available - Dept should take steps to procure it.

Any other specific comments:

Only demonstration be suggested for electroanalytical
methods. Else we need to seek CITS help for carrying
these experiments.

Signature of Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
Semester: I
Course Code: BP110P
Number of Times taught: 00
Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: B. Pharmacy
Session: MO2018
Course Title: Pharm Inorg Chem Practical
E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

① Limit tests are there in BP110P Pharm Anal 1 Practical too.
Duplication to be rectified. ② Chemicals reqd for
Identification tests are not available - to be procured
next session.

Any other specific comments:

—NIL—

Signature of Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Animesh Ghosh

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technology

Programme: B. Pharm

Semester: III

Session: MO/18

Course Code: BP 304T

Course Title: Pharmaceutical Engineering

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile:9007175618

E-mail: aghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The syllabus is highly compacted. The total course should be divided in at least in two courses.

Any other specific comments:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: III
 Course Code: BP305P
 Number of Times taught: 00
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B. Pharmacy
 Session: MO2018
 Course Title: Pharm Org Chem II Practical
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

① Analysis of oils & fats to be shifted to Pharmaceutical Analysis Lab
 ② Many chemicals required for preparation are not available & are to be procured by students during of both evening batches.
 ③ Number of papers may be reduced to incorporate characterization

Any other specific comments:

Reaction monitoring & Characterization to be emphasized more

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: B PHARM

Semester: VII

Session: MO-18

Course Code: PS7411

Course Title: Clinical Pharmacology

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: B PHARM

Semester: II

Session: MO-18

Course Code: BP207P

Course Title: Human Anatomy and Physiology II Lab

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: I
 Course Code: MPC103T
 Number of Times taught: *oo gm*
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: M. Pharmacy
 Session: MO2018
 Course Title: Adv Med Chem
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	2
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

(1) Chemistry of PA, LTF & TBX listed under Peptidaminatics & is creating Enthusiasm amongst Students - to be listed separately (2) Unit 4 - Rational design of Enzyme inhibitors to be discussed under Unit - Biological drug targets.

Any other specific comments:

nil

Signature of Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Barij N. Sinha
Department: Pharm. Sciences & Technology
Semester: I

Designation: Professor
Programme: M.Pharm.
Session: MD'2018

Course Code: MPC-104T

Course Title: Chemistry of Natural Products

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 94313 82671

E-mail: bnsinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	1
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	1
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

*contents of each module
should be more application oriented
as per need of the industries*

Yes.

Any other specific comments:

Signature

of

the

Teacher

S. Banerjan
(Dr. BN Sinha)

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: M PHARM

Semester: I

Session: MO-18

Course Code: MQA104T

Course Title: Product Development and Technology Transfer

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: B PHARM

Semester: V

Session: MO-18

Course Code: PS5403

Course Title: Pharmacology II

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

MD' 2018

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Dr. Barij N. Sinha* Designation: *Professor*
 Department: *Pharm. Sciences & Technology* Programme: *B-Pharm.*
 Semester: *V* Session: *MD' 2018*
 Course Code: *PS-5405* Course Title: *Chemotherapeutic Agents*
 Number of times taught: *03*
 Mobile: *94313 82671* E-mail: *bnsinha@bitmessa.ac.in*

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

ND.

.....

 Any other specific comments:

Signature of the

S. Brayan
 Teacher
(Dr. BN Sinha)

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Animesh Ghosh

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technology

Programme: M. Pharm

Semester: V

Session: MO/18

Course Code: PS 5411

Course Title: Pharmaceutical engineering II

Number of times taught: 08

Mobile:9007175618

E-mail: aghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Animesh Ghosh

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Kishanta Kumar Pradhan Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology Programme: PG
 Semester: 1ST Semester Session: MO 2018
 Course Code: MQA102T Course Title: Quality Management System
 Number of times taught: 03
 Mobile: 9570150105 E-mail:kkpradhan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....


 Signature

of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Kishanta Kumar Pradhan Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology Programme: PG

Semester: 1ST Semester Session: MO 2018

Course Code: MQA103T Course Title: Quality Control & Quality Assurance

Number of times taught: 03

Mobile: 9570150105

E-mail: kkpradhan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Signature

of

the

Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Kishanta Kumar Pradhan Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology Programme: PG
 Semester: 1ST Semester Session: MO 2018
 Course Code: MQA105P Course Title: Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance Practical I
 Number of times taught: 03
 Mobile: 9570150105 E-mail:kkpradhan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....



Signature _____ of _____ the _____ Teacher.

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Kishanta Kumar Pradhan Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology Programme: UG
 Semester: 1st Semester Session: MO 2017
 Course Code: BP 1008 Course Title: Pharmaceutical Inorganic Chemistry Lab
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 9570150105 E-mail:kkpradhan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....


 Signature

of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Dr. Brij N. Sinha* Designation: *Professor*
Department: *Pharm Sciences & Technology* Programme: *B.Pharm.*
Semester: *I* Session: *MD' 2017*
Course Code: *BP-102 T* Course Title: *Pharm. Analysis-I*
Number of times taught: *02*
Mobile: *94313 82671* E-mail: *bnsinha@bitmesra.ac.in*

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	1
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes.

*repetition of topics in
other subjects should be
addressed to make it more balanced
syllabus.*

Any other specific comments:

Signature

of

the

S. Prayon
Teacher
(Dr. BN Sinha)

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: I
 Course Code: BP1003
 Number of Times taught: 00
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B. Pharmacy
 Session: MO2017
 Course Title: Pharmaceutical Analysis I
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

(1) Pharmacopoeia & Limit tests are there in Pharm. Ind. Chem. too - Duplication to be rectified. (2) Permanganometry & Cerimetry to be incorporated in theory as they are there in Lab.

Any other specific comments:

— NIL —

Signature of Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: I
 Course Code: BP1004
 Number of Times taught: 00
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B. Pharmacy
 Session: MO2017
 Course Title: Pharm Analysis I Lab
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Limit hrs. are there in Pharm Inorg Chem
 Lab too, rectification required to remove
 duplicacy
 Chemicals for identification test should
 be made available

Any other specific comments:

— All —

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: M PHARM

Semester: I

Session: MO-17

Course Code: MPT 104T

Course Title: Cellular and Molecular Pharmacology

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: B PHARM

Semester: VII

Session: MO-17

Course Code: PS7411

Course Title: Clinical Pharmacology

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Animesh Ghosh

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technology

Programme: M. Pharm

Semester: I

Session: MO/17

Course Code: MPH 1005

Course Title: Modern Pharmaceutics

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile:9007175618

E-mail: aghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The syllabus is very much compacted, and it is practically hard to complete the syllabus in due time.
The course has to be lightened and more fundamentals has to be incorporated.

Any other specific comments:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: I
 Course Code: MPH1011
 Number of Times taught: 00
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: M. Pharmacy
 Session: MO2017
 Course Title: Adv Org Chemistry
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus is exhaustive & time available is not enough to cover up each unit. Books are not available in library. Extent to which each topic should be covered is not specified

Any other specific comments:

NIL

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: I
 Course Code: MPH1012
 Number of Times taught: 00
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: M. Pharmacy
 Session: MO2017
 Course Title: Pharm Chem Practical I
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Managing three different aspects in the lab (Synthesis, Analysis & CTR) requiring some formulated protocol. In examinations students are supposed to cover questions from all the three within 8x hours - Difficult to manage.

Any other specific comments:

Examination, Training & Evaluation requires formulated protocol —

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: I
 Course Code: MPH1013
 Number of Times taught: 00
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: M. Pharmacy
 Session: MO2017
 Course Title: Adv Med Chemistry
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	2
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Chemistry of Botryodendrus, Leukotoxins & thromboxane to be shown separately, & not to be listed under Peptidominimatics

Biological targets under Unit 1 should cover Subgroup in Unit 4.

Any other specific comments:

Bit of re-organization of topics under each unit is need for ease of conducting classes

Signature of Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs) Papiya Mitra Mazumder

Designation: Professor

Department: Pharmacy

Programme: M. Pharm

Semester: 1st

Session: MO/2017

Course Code: MPH 1031

Course Title: Advanced Pharmacology I

Number of times taught: 0

Mobile: 9431327044

E-mail: papiya_mm@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs) Papiya Mitra Mazumder

Designation: Professor

Department: Pharmacy

Programme: M. Pharm

Semester: 1st

Session: MO/2017

Course Code: MPH1032

Course Title: Pharmacology Practical I

Number of times taught: 0

Mobile: 9431327044

E-mail: papiya_mm@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Papiya
Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs) Papiya Mitra Mazumder

Designation: Professor

Department: Pharmacy

Programme: M. Pharm

Semester: 1st

Session: MO/2017

Course Code: MPH 1033

Course Title: Pharmacological and Toxicological Screening Methods I

Number of times taught: 0

Mobile: 9431327044

E-mail: papiya_mm@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

NO

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Pami

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Barij N. Sinha Designation: Professor
Department: Pharm Sciences & Technology Programme: M.Pharm.
Semester: I Session: MD'2017
Course Code: MPS-1101 Course Title: Adv. Inst. Analysis
Number of times taught: 05
Mobile: 94313 82671 E-mail: bnsinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....
.....
.....
.....
Any other specific comments:
.....
.....

Signature of the Dr. Brayan Teacher
(Dr. BN Sinha)

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: M PHARM

Semester: I

Session: MO-17

Course Code: MQA104T

Course Title: Product Development and Technology Transfer

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: B PHARM

Semester: V

Session: MO-17

Course Code: PS5404

Course Title: Pharmacology I Laboratory

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: M PHARM

Semester: I

Session: MO-17

Course Code: PS5404

Course Title: Pharmacology Practical I

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: B PHARM

Semester: VII

Session: MO-17

Course Code: PS7407

Course Title: Pharmacology IV

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Animesh Ghosh

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technology

Programme: B. Pharm

Semester: V

Session: MO/17

Course Code: PS5401

Course Title: Pharmaceutical System II

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9007175618

E-mail: aghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....
.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs) Papiya Mitra Mazumder

Designation: Professor

Department: Pharmacy

Programme: B. Pharm

Semester: 5th

Session: MO/2017

Course Code: PS5403

Course Title: PHARMACOLOGY - II

Number of times taught: 0

Mobile: 9431327044

E-mail: papiya_mm@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Animesh Ghosh

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technology

Programme: B. Pharm

Semester: V

Session: MO/17

Course Code: PS 5411

Course Title: Pharmaceutical Engineering II

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile:9007175618

E-mail: aghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Animesh Ghosh

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Animesh Ghosh

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technology

Programme: B. Pharm

Semester: VII

Session: MO/17

Course Code: PS7403

Course Title: Biopharmaceutics and Pharmacokinetics

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile:9007175618

E-mail: aghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Animesh Ghosh

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: M PHARM

Semester: I

Session: MO-17

Course Code: MQA 102T

Course Title: Quality Management Systems

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Kishanta Kumar Pradhan Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology Programme: UG
Semester: 3rd Semester Session: MO 2017
Course Code: PS 3404 Course Title: Pharmaceutical organic Chemistry Lab-II
Number of times taught: 00
Mobile: 9570150105 E-mail:kkpradhan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions


NO

.....
.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments:

.....
.....

Signature



of

the

Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Kishanta Kumar Pradhan Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology Programme: UG
 Semester: 3rd Semester Session: MO 2017
 Course Code: PS 3403 Course Title: Pharmaceutical Chemistry -II
 Number of times taught: 00
 Mobile: 9570150105 E-mail:kkpradhan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature  of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Kishanta Kumar Pradhan Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology Programme: PG
 Semester: 1ST Semester Session: MO 2017
 Course Code: MPH 1021 Course Title: Quality Management System
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 9570150105 E-mail:kkpradhan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature  of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Kishanta Kumar Pradhan Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology Programme: PG
 Semester: 1ST Semester Session: MO 2017
 Course Code: MPH 1001 Course Title: Modern Pharmaceutical Analytical Technique
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 9570150105 E-mail:kkpradhan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

	Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
S. No.	Statements				Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.				4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.				4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.				4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.				4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.				4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.				3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.				3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.				3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.				4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.				4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.				4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.				3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature 

of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: PHARM

Semester: VII

Session: MO-16

Course Code: PS7411

Course Title: Clinical Pharmacology

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: M PHARM

Semester: II

Session: MO-16

Course Code: MPS1133 Course Title: Molecular Pharmacology and Advanced Toxicology

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: I
 Course Code: MPS1113
 Number of Times taught: 00
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: M. Pharmacy
 Session: MO2016
 Course Title: Basic Appln in Drug Disc Dev
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

..... AVIC

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

..... Reference books are not available in
 dept library in library

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: I
 Course Code: MPS1114
 Number of Times taught: 00
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: M. Pharmacy
 Session: MO2016
 Course Title: Comp Aided Drug Design Lab
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course? Yes No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

..... Few more software to be procured with
 multiple user licence - important one is
 Chemoffice

Any other specific comments:

..... All systems should be group based to have
 a secured environment

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs) Papiya Mitra Mazumder

Designation: Professor

Department: Pharmacy

Programme: M. Pharm

Semester: 1st

Session: MO/2016

Course Code: MPS1131

Course Title: BIOLOGICAL STANDARDIZATION AND PHARMACOLOGICAL SCREENING

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 9431327044

E-mail: papiya_mm@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

NO

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Papiya
Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs) Papiya Mitra Mazumder

Designation: Professor

Department: Pharmacy

Programme: M. Pharm

Semester: 1st

Session: MO/2016

Course Code: MPS1133

Course Title: MOLECULAR PHARMACOLOGY AND ADVANCED TOXICOLOGY

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 9431327044

E-mail: papiya_mm@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: B PHARM

Semester: II

Session: MO-16

Course Code: PS5404

Course Title: Pharmacology I Laboratory

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: B PHARM

Semester: V

Session: MO-16

Course Code: PS5403

Course Title: Pharmacology II

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: M PHARM

Semester: II

Session: MO-16

Course Code: MPS1132

Course Title: Preclinical Studies Lab

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: I
 Course Code: PS1403
 Number of Times taught: 00
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B. Pharmacy
 Session: MO2016
 Course Title: Pharm Chemistry I
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

————— NIL —————

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

————— NIL —————

.....

.....

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: III
 Course Code: PS3403
 Number of Times taught: 00
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B. Pharmacy
 Session: MO2016
 Course Title: Pharm Chem II
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

————— NIL —————

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

————— NIL —————

.....

.....

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: III
 Course Code: PS3404
 Number of Times taught: 00
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B. Pharmacy
 Session: MO2016
 Course Title: Pharm Chem Lab II
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

..... NIL

.....

.....


.....

Any other specific comments:

..... NIL

.....

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Animesh Ghosh

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technology

Programme: B.Pharm

Semester: V

Session: MO/16

Course Code: PS 5401

Course Title: Pharmaceutical System II

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile:9007175618

E-mail: aghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Animesh Ghosh

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: V
 Course Code: PS5405
 Number of Times taught: 02
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B. Pharmacy
 Session: MO2016
 Course Title: Chemotherapeutic Agents
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

..... NIL

.....

.....


.....

Any other specific comments:

..... NIL

.....

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Animesh Ghosh

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technology

Programme: B. Pharm

Semester: V

Session: MO/16

Course Code: PS 5411

Course Title: Pharmaceutical Engineering - II

Number of times taught: 06

Mobile:9007175618

E-mail: aghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: VII
 Course Code: PS7405
 Number of Times taught: 01
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B. Pharmacy
 Session: MO2016
 Course Title: Synthetic Medicinals II
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

..... NIL

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

..... NIL

.....

Signature of Teacher 

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs) Papiya Mitra Mazumder

Designation: Professor

Department: Pharmacy

Programme: B. Pharm

Semester: 7th

Session: MO/2016

Course Code: PS7407

Course Title: Pharmacology IV

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 9431327044

E-mail: papiya_mm@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Papiya

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Abhimanyu Dev
 Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Programme: B. Pharm.
 Session: SP/18
 Course Code: PS8411
 Course Title: Fermentation Technology and Biological Products
 Number of times taught: 5
 Mobile: 9955165915
 E-mail: abhimanyudev@bhimmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

NO

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

X

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

NO

Signature of the Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: II
 Course Code: BP2004
 Number of Times taught: 01
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B. Pharmacy
 Session: SP2018
 Course Title: Pharm Org Chem I Lab
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....NIL.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....NIL.....

.....

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs) Papiya Mitra Mazumder

Designation: Professor

Department: Pharmacy

Programme: B. Pharm

Semester: 2ND

Session: SP/2018

Course Code: BP2006

Course Title: Biochemistry Practical

Number of times taught: 0

Mobile: 9431327044

E-mail: papiya_mm@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	-----------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Papiya

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: B PHARM

Semester: VIII

Session: SP-18

Course Code: PS8407

Course Title: Clinical Pharmacy and Drug Interactions

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

SP'2018

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Dr. Barij N. Sinha* Designation: *Professor*
 Department: *Pharm Sciences & Technology* Programme: *M.Pharm.*
 Semester: *II* Session: *SP'2018*
 Course Code: *MPC-201T* Course Title: *Adv. Spectral Analysis*
 Number of times taught: *02*
 Mobile: *94313 82671* E-mail: *bnsinha@bitmesra.ac.in*

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4					Agree = 3					Neither agree nor disagree = 2					Disagree = 1					Strongly disagree = 0				
S. No.	Statements															Marks								
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.															3								
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.															2								
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.															3								
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.															1								
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.															1								
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.															3								
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.															3								
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.															4								
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.															3								
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.															3								
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.															3								
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.															3								

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes.

Contents should be more application based as per industrial requirement.

Any other specific comments:

SPuayyan
 Teacher
 (*Dr B N Sinha*)

Signature of the

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Animesh Ghosh

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technology

Programme: M. Pharm

Semester: II

Session: SP/18

Course Code: MPH 2005

Course Title: Computer aided drug delivery system

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9007175618

E-mail: aghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The syllabus is basically a mixture of all the related materials without any fundamental knowledge. The students who do not have mathematical background, for those students it is basically a compact of some information. It has to be changed accordingly.

Any other specific comments:

.....
.....

Animesh Ghosh.

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: II
 Course Code: MPH2015
 Number of Times taught: 01
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: M. Pharmacy
 Session: SP2018
 Course Title: Comp Aided Drug Design
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	3


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

..... NIL

Any other specific comments:

..... NIL

Signature of Teacher 

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs) Papiya Mitra Mazumder

Designation: Professor

Department: Pharmacy

Programme: M. Pharm

Semester: 2ND

Session: SP/2018

Course Code: MPH2032

Course Title: Pharmacology Practical II

Number of times taught: 0

Mobile: 9431327044

E-mail: papiya_mm@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Papiya
Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs) Papiya Mitra Mazumder

Designation: Professor

Department: Pharmacy

Programme: M. Pharm

Semester: 2ND

Session: SP/2018

Course Code: MPH2033

Course Title: Pharmacological and Toxicological Screening Methods II

Number of times taught: 0

Mobile: 9431327044

E-mail: papiya_mm@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: B PHARM

Semester: III

Session: SP-18

Course Code: PS4405

Course Title: Pharmacology I

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: B PHARM

Semester: VI

Session: SP-18

Course Code: PS6404

Course Title: Pharmacology II Lab

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

SP'2018 1

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Barij N. Sinha Designation: Professor
Department: Pharm Sciences & Technology Programme: B.Pharm
Semester: IV Session: SP'2018
Course Code: PS- Course Title: Natural Medicinals - I
Number of times taught: 03 E-mail: bnsinha@bitmesra.ac.in
Mobile: 94313 82671

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....
.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments:

.....
.....

Signature of the

SP'2018 1
SP'2018 1
Teacher
(Dr. BN Sinha)

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Animesh Ghosh

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technology

Programme: B. Pharm

Semester: IV

Session: SP/18

Course Code: PS 4409

Course Title: Pharmaceutical Engineering I

Number of times taught: 07

Mobile: 9007175618

E-mail: aghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Animesh Ghosh

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs) Papiya Mitra Mazumder

Designation: Professor

Department: Pharmacy

Programme: B. Pharm

Semester: 6th

Session: SP/2018

Course Code: PS 6403

Course Title: PHARMACOLOGY - III

Number of times taught: 0

Mobile: 9431327044

E-mail: papiya_mm@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Papiya

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: VI
 Course Code: PS6407
 Number of Times taught: 02
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B. Pharmacy
 Session: SP2018
 Course Title: Synthetic Medicinals I
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

..... NIL

.....

.....


.....

Any other specific comments:

..... NIL

.....

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: VIII
 Course Code: PS8404
 Number of Times taught: 02
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B. Pharmacy
 Session: SP2018
 Course Title: Synthetic Medicinals Lab
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

— NIL —

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

— NIL —

.....

.....

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Kishanta Kumar Pradhan Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology Programme: PG

Semester: 2ND Semester Session: SP 2018

Course Code: MQA 205P Course Title: Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance Practical-II

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9570150105

E-mail: kkpradhan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Signature  of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Kishanta Kumar Pradhan Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology Programme: PG

Semester: 2ND Semester Session: SP 2018

Course Code: MQA 202T Course Title: Pharmaceutical Validation

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9570150105

E-mail:kkpradhan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature  of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Kishanta Kumar Pradhan Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology Programme: PG

Semester: 2ND Semester Session: SP 2018

Course Code: MQA 20 Course Title: THazards & Safety Management

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9570150105

E-mail:kkpradhan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature 

of

the

Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: M PHARM

Semester: II

Session: SP-17

Course Code: MPS2133

Course Title: Advanced Pharmacology

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: M PHARM

Semester: I

Session: SP-17

Course Code: MCR 3101

Course Title: Pharmacovigilance

Number of times taught: 4

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	0

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR
 Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY
 Programme: B PHARM
 Semester: VIII Session: SP-17
 Course Code: PS8407 Course Title: Clinical Pharmacy and Drug Interactions

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: M PHARM

Semester: II

Session: SP-17

Course Code: MPS2141

Course Title: Clinical Research Ethics and Design

Number of times taught: 4

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs) Papiya Mitra Mazumder

Designation: Professor

Department: Pharmacy

Programme: M. Pharm

Semester: 2nd

Session: SP/2017

Course Code: MCR3101

Course Title: PHARMACOVIGILANCE

Number of times taught: 0

Mobile: 9431327044

E-mail: papiya_mm@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	-----------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Paw

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: II
 Course Code: MPS2111
 Number of Times taught: 00
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: M. Pharmacy
 Session: SP2017
 Course Title: Adv Med Chem
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

..... NIL

.....

.....


.....

Any other specific comments:

..... NIL

.....

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: II
 Course Code: MPS2112
 Number of Times taught: 00
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: M. Pharmacy
 Session: SP2017
 Course Title: Adv Synth Med Lab
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

..... all

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

..... Reference Books are not available

..... in required number in library

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

SP/2017

Name: Dr. Barij N. Sinha Designation: Professor
Department: Pharm Sciences & Technology Programme: M-Pharm.
Semester: II Session: SP '2017
Course Code: MPS-2115 Course Title: RMP
Number of times taught: 03
Mobile: 94313 82671 E-mail: bnsinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

Table with 3 columns: S. No., Statements, Marks. Contains 12 rows of feedback statements and their corresponding marks.

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....
.....
.....
Any other specific comments:
.....
.....

Signature

of the

Signature of Teacher: (Dr. BN Sinha)

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs) Papiya Mitra Mazumder

Designation: Professor

Department: Pharmacy

Programme: M. Pharm

Semester: 2nd

Session: SP/2017

Course Code: MPS2131

Course Title: CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY & PHARMACOTHERAPEUTICS

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9431327044

E-mail: papiya_mm@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

NO

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs) Papiya Mitra Mazumder

Designation: Professor

Department: Pharmacy

Programme: M. Pharm

Semester: 2nd

Session: SP/2017

Course Code: MPS2132

Course Title: BIOCHEMICAL PHARMACOLOGY LAB

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9431327044

E-mail: papiya_mm@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs) Papiya Mitra Mazumder

Designation: Professor

Department: Pharmacy

Programme: M. Pharm

Semester: 2nd

Session: SP/2017

Course Code: MPS2132

Course Title: BIOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS LAB

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9431327044

E-mail: papiya_mm@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Papiya

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Animesh Ghosh

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technology

Programme: M. Pharm

Semester: II

Session: SP/17

Course Code: MPS4409

Course Title: Biopharmaceutics and Pharmacokinetics

Number of times taught:01

Mobile:9007175618

E-mail: aghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Animesh Ghosh

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: II
 Course Code: MPSE103
 Number of Times taught: 00
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: M. Pharmacy
 Session: SP2017
 Course Title: Adv Drug Design
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

————— all —————

Any other specific comments:

Reference books are not available in
 required number in library

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs) Papiya Mitra Mazumder

Designation: Professor

Department: Pharmacy

Programme: M. Pharm

Semester: 2nd

Session: SP/2017

Course Code: MPSE109

Course Title: CLINICAL PHARMACY

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9431327044

E-mail: papiya_mm@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Papiya
Signature of the Teacher

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: B PHARM

Semester: III

Session: SP-17

Course Code: PS4405

Course Title: Pharmacology I

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

SP'2017

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Barij N. Sinha Designation: Professor
 Department: Pharm Sciences & Technology Programme: B.Pharm.
 Semester: II Session: SP'2017
 Course Code: PS-2401 Course Title: Pharm. Analysis - II
 Number of times taught: 05
 Mobile: 94313 82671 E-mail: bnsinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature _____ of _____ the

SPBroyan
 Teacher
 (Dr. BN Sinha)

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Animesh Ghosh

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technology

Programme: B. Pharm

Semester: IV

Session: SP/17

Course Code: PS 4401

Course Title: PHARMACEUTICAL SYSTEMS- I

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile:9007175618

E-mail: aghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Animesh Ghosh

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technology

Programme: B. Pharm

Semester: IV

Session: SP/17

Course Code: PS 4409

Course Title: Pharmaceutical Engineering - I

Number of times taught: 06

Mobile:9007175618

E-mail: aghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Animesh Ghosh

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. (Mrs) Papiya Mitra Mazumder

Designation: Professor

Department: Pharmacy

Programme: B. Pharm

Semester: 6th

Session: SP/2017

Course Code: PS6403

Course Title: PHARMACOLOGY - III

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9431327044

E-mail: papiya_mm@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: VI
 Course Code: PS6407
 Number of Times taught: 02
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B. Pharmacy
 Session: SP2017
 Course Title: Synthetic Medicinals I
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

← ALL →

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

← ALL →

.....

.....

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: VIII
 Course Code: PS8405
 Number of Times taught: 01
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B. Pharmacy
 Session: SP2017
 Course Title: Drug Design
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

..... *all*

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

..... *Reference books are not available in*

..... *required number in library*

Signature of Teacher

[Handwritten Signature]

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Venkatesan J.
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology
 Semester: VIII
 Course Code: PS8408
 Number of Times taught: 01
 Mobile: +91-9470137264

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B. Pharmacy
 Session: SP2017
 Course Title: Synthetic Medicinals Lab
 E-mail: drvenkatesanj@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of number in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree

S. No.	Statement	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

————— NIL —————

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

————— NIL —————

.....

.....

Signature of Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments.
 This will help us to improve the course content

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Kishanta Kumar Pradhan Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology Programme: UG
 Semester: 2nd Semester Session: SP 2017
 Course Code: PS 2401 Course Title: Pharmaceutical Analysis-II
 Number of times taught: 03
 Mobile: 9570150105 E-mail:kkpradhan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

 Signature _____ of _____ the _____ Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Kishanta Kumar Pradhan Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Pharmaceutical Sciences & Technology Programme: PG
 Semester: 2nd Semester Session: SP 2017
 Course Code: MPS2151 Course Title: Quality Assurance & Process Validation
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 9570150105 E-mail:kkpradhan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature  of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: M PHARM

Semester: II

Session: SP-16

Course Code: MPS2133

Course Title: Advanced Pharmacology

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: M PHARM

Semester: II

Session: SP-16

Course Code: MPS 2132

Course Title: Biochemical Pharmacology Lab

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: M PHARM

Semester: II

Session: SP-16

Course Code: MPS 2141

Course Title: Clinical Research Ethics and Design

Number of times taught: 4

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	0

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: B PHARM

Semester: V

Session: SP-16

Course Code: PS6404

Course Title: Pharmacology II Laboratory

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: B PHARM

Semester: VI

Session: SP-16

Course Code: PS6403

Course Title: Pharmacology III

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: B PHARM

Semester: III

Session: SP-16

Course Code: PS 4406

Course Title: Physiological Chemistry Lab

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUGATO BANERJEE

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

Programme: M PHARM

Semester: I

Session: SP-16

Course Code: MCR 3101

Course Title: Pharmacovigilance

Number of times taught: 4

Mobile: 7250001584

E-mail: sbanerjee@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	0

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Sugato Banerjee
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.