Session:	SP-2016
Programme:	BE Mechanical
Semester:	VI
Course Code:	ME 6005
Course Name:	Dynamics of Machines
Course Coordinator:	Dr. R. Kumar

SI. No.	Statement	Stro ngly Agre e	Agre e	Neit her Agr ee Nor Disa gree	0	Stron gly Disag ree
Q۱	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	100	0	0	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	0	100	0	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	0	100	0	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	0	100	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	100	0	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	0	100	0	0	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.		0	0	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.		0	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.		0	0	0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	Ŭ	100	0	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	0	100	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	100	0	0	0	0

Number of feedback received: Percentage of response received:

50

13.

1

Signature of teacher:

••

..

Frofessor & Head Popariment of Mechanical Engineering Birla Institute of Technology MESBA, RANCHI-8 35215

Session:	MO 2017-2019
Programme:	BE Mechanical
Semester:	V
Course Code:	ME 5005
Course Name:	Design of Machine Elements
Course Coordinator:	Dr. S. K. Mishra

Sl. No.	Statement	Stro ngly Agre e	Agre e	Neit her Agr ee Nor Disa gree	Disa gree	Stron gly Disag ree
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	50	0	50	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.		0	50	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.		50	0	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	50	50	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	50	50	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	50	50	0	0	0
	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.		50	0	0	0
	Books related to the course are available in the library.		0	0	0	0
	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.		50	0	0	0
	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	50	50	0	0	0
	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	50	50	0	0	0
	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	50	50	0	0	0
	Number of feedback received: Percentage of response received:	100	2			

orginature of teacher,

HOD:

Signat

..

•

Shrish

Professor & Head Department of Mechanical Engineering Diria Institute of Technology ESEA, RANCHI-8 3.5215

Session:	MO 2017-2019
Programme:	BE Mechanical
Semester:	
Course Code:	ME 3003
Course Name:	Fluid Mechanics and Hydraulics
Course Coordinator:	Dr. O P pandey

SI. No.	Statement	Stron gly Agree	Agree	Neit her Agre e Nor Disa gree	Dis agr ee	Str ngl Dis gre
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	0	33.33	66.7	0	0
	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	U	33.33	66.7	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	33.33	66.67	0	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per 3. Industrial/Academic needs.		33.33	33.3	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.		0	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	100	0	0	0	0
	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.		0	0	0	0
	Books related to the course are available in the library.		0	0	0	0
	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.		0	0	0	0
	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.		0	0	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.		0	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET	100	0	0	0	0
92X	Number of feedback received: Percentage of response received:	3 100				

Signature Di HOD: Professor & Head


. .

.

.

.

Professor & Head Dependment of Mechanical Engineering Diria Institute of Technology MESRA, RANCHI-8 35215



, ÷

••

....

			gree		
The course objectives are clearly defined a incorporated in the syllabus properly.	nd 33.33	33.33	33.3	0	
· outcomes.	0		33.3	0	
programme.				0	
muusti ial/Academie needs.				0	
The syllabus is a balanced combination fundamental and applied knowledge.	of 33.33	66.67	0	0	
appropriate and teacher has enough time for the discussion.	for 33.33			0	
				0	
			0	0	
evaluation battern.				0	
			0	0	
The course is designed such that teached taught it by using ICT tools.	ers 33.33	66.67	0	0	(
The course is useful in the preparation of N		66.67	0	0	(
Number of feedback received:	3			1	
	 The syllabus is able to achieve couroutcomes. The syllabus is suitable to the course a programme. The syllabus of the course is as production fundamental and applied knowledge. The time allotted to various module appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion. Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion. Books related to the course are available the library. Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern. The course is well aligned with similic courses in other Institutes/Universities. The course is useful in the preparation of N 	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.0The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.33.33The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.33.33The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.33.33The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.33.33Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.33.33Books related to the course are available in the library.66.67Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.33.33The course is well aligned with similar 	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.066.67The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.33.3366.67The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.33.3366.67The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.33.3366.67The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.33.3366.67Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.33.3333.33Books related to the course are available in the library.66.6733.33Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.33.3366.67The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.33.3366.67The course is useful in the preparation of NET as.3333.3366.67	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.33.3333.3333.33The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.066.6733.3The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.33.3366.670The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.33.3366.670The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.33.3366.670The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.33.3336.670Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.33.3333.3333.3333.33Books related to the course are available in the library.66.6700The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.33.3366.670The course is useful in the preparation of NET taught it by using ICT tools.33.3366.670	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.33.3333.3333.3333.33The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.066.6733.30The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.33.3366.6700The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.33.3366.6700The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.33.3366.6700The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.33.3333.3333.30Books related to the course are available in the library.66.6733.3366.6700Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.33.3366.6700The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.33.3366.6700The course is useful in the preparation of NE taught it by using ICT tools.33.3366.6700

Department of Mechanical Engineering Diria Institute of Technology ESRA, RANCHI-8 35215

••

Session:	SP 2017-2019
Programme:	BE Mechanical
Semester:	IV
Course Code:	ME 4001
Course Name:	Heat Power Conversion
Course Coordinator:	Dr. D P Mishra

...

••

SI. No. Statement	Stro ngly Agre Agre e e	Neit her Agr ee Nor Disa Disa	Stron gly Disag ree
-------------------	----------------------------------	---	------------------------------

				gree		
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	0	0	100	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	0	0	100	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.		0	50	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	100	0	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	100	0	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	50	0	50	0	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.		0	50	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.		0	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	50	50	0	0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	50	50	0	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	s 50	50	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	100	0	0	0	0
	Number of feedback received: Percentage of response received:	10	2	I	1	

Signature of teacher:

00.

QB


Sigha Dopartment of Mechanical Engineering Diria Institute of Technology MESRA, RANCHI, 23001

Session:	MO 2017-2019
Programme:	BE Mechanical
Semester:	V
Course Code:	ME 5001
Course Name:	I C Engines and Gas Turbine
 Course Coordinator:	Dr. S K Dhiman

-

.

-



.


.

		e		Disa gree		ree
QI	 The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	0	50	50	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	0	50	50	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	50	50	0	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	50	50	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	50	50	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	50	0	0	50	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	50	50	0	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.		50	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.		50	0	0	0
QI	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.		50	0	0	0
QI	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	50	50	0	0	0
QI	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	50	50	0	0	0
	Number of feedback received: Percentage of response received:	2 66.6				

Signature of teacher:

Signature of H9D: Professor & Head Professor & Head Department of Mechanical EngineerIn Birla Institute of Technology BIRA, RANCHI-8 3 4 214

Session:	MO 2017-2019
Programme:	BE Mechanical
Semester:	V
Course Code:	ME 5003
Course Name:	Kinematics and Kinetics of Machines
Course Coordinator:	Dr. R. Kumar



				gree		
QI	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	50	0	50	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	0	50	50	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	0	100	0	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	0	100	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	100	0	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	50	50	0	0	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.		0	0	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.		0	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	100	0	0	0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	0	100	0	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	50	50	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	100	0	0	0	0
W	Number of feedback received: Percentage of response received:	2 100				•

.

Signature of teacher:

÷.

.•

..

••

Signature of HØD:



Se	ession:	SP 2017-2019
Р	rogramme:	BE Mechanical
S	emester:	IV
C	ourse Code:	ME 4003
> C	ourse Name:	Mechanics of Materials
C	ourse Coordinator:	Dr. R. Kumar

	SI. No.	Statement	Stro ngly Agre e	Agre e	Neit her Agr ee Nor Disa gree	Disa gree	Stron gly Disag ree
	Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	50	0	50	0	0
	Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	0	50	50	0	0
••	Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	0	100	0	0	0
	Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	0	100	0	0	0
	Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	50	50	0	0	0
	Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.		100	0	0	0
		Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.		50	0	0	0
		Books related to the course are available in the library.		0	0	0	0
		Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.		50	0	0	0
••		The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	Ň	100	0	0	0
	Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	50	50	0	0	0
	Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	100	0	0	0	0
	Signature of teacher:	Number of feedback received: Percentage of response received:	2 100				

Signature of HOD:

..

Professor & Head Doportment of Mechanical Engineering Dirla Institute of Technology MESRA, RANCHI-8 35215

٠.

Session:MO 2016-2018Programme:BE MechanicalSemester:IIICourse Code:ME 3005Course Name:Mechanics of SolidCourse Coordinator:Dr. A Nag

``

...

.....

••

.

Neit her Stro Agre Dis Stron ngly agr e Agree gly Disa Statement SI. No. Nor ee Agree gree Disa

and the

				gree		
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	0	0	100	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	0	0	100	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	66.67	33.33	0	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.				0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.		33.33	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	66.67		0	0	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.		0	0	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.		0	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.				0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.				0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.		33.33	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET	66.67	33.33	0	0	0
	Number of feedback received: Percentage of response received:	3 100				

Signature of HOID: Frofessor & Head Department of Mechanical Engineering Dirio Institute of Technology BISBA, BANCHI-8 35215

Session:	SP 2017-2019
Programme:	BE Mechanical
Semester:	IV
Course Code:	ME 4005
Course Name:	Non conventional Energy
Course Coordinator:	Dr. P. Mishra

. .

٠.

.

SI. No.	Statement	Stro ngly Agre e	Agre e		Disa gree	Stro gly Disa ree
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	0	50	50	0	0
Q2 .	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.		0	50	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.		50	0	0	0
Q4 .	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	50	50	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	100	0	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	100	0	0	0	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.			0	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.			0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.			0	0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.			0	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	100	0	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	50	0	50	0	0
	Number of feedback received: Percentage of response received:	10	2			

*


Signalufe OHOD. Frojessor & Head Department of Mechanical Engineering Birla Institute of Technology WESRA, RANCHI-8 35215

*

Session:	MO 2017-2019
Programme:	BE Mechanical
Semester:	VII
Course Code:	ME 7033
Course Name:	Power Plant Engineering
Course Coordinator:	Dr. D Mahto

.

. -



				Jisa gree		
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	0	50 5	50	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	0	50 5	50	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	0	0	100	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	100	0	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	50	0	50	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	50	0	50	0	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.		0	50	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.		0	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	50	50	0	0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	V	50	50	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	50	50	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	50	0	50	0	0

Signature of teacher: Signature of 16D. Professor & Head Department of Mechanical Engineering Birla Institute of Technology WESRA, RANCH!

Number of feedback received: Percentage of response received:

2

100

Session:	MO 2017-2019
Programme:	BE Mechanical
Semester:	III
Course Code:	ME 3001
Course Name:	Thermodynamics
Course Coordinator:	Dr. D P Mishra

• •

•

..

SI. No.	Statement	Stro ngly Agre e	Agre e			Stron gly Disag ree
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	0	0	100	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	0	0	100	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	50	0	50	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	100	0	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	100	0	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	50	50	0	0	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.		50	0	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.	100	0	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.		50	0	0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.		50	0	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	50	50	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	100	0	0	0	0

Percentage of response received:

Signature of teacher: A. SI Professor & Head Professor & Head Dependment of Mechanical Engineerin Birla Institute of Technology Dirla Institute of Technology DISBA, RANCHI-8 3.5215

٠.

Name:.APURBA KUMAR ROY

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Semester: IInd

Course Code:TET 2001

Number of times taught: 4 times

Mobile: 8987479525

Designation: Associate Professor

Programme: M Tech

Session:MO-14 to17

Course Title: Direct Energy Conversion

E-mail: akroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements			
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4		
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4		
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4		
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4		
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4		
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4		
	discussion.			
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4		
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4		
	examination.			

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions.

NO

NIL	•••••				••••••		•••
••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •
••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••
•••••	•••••				•••••	•••••	•••••

Signature of the Teacher

Name:.APURBA KUMAR ROYDesignation: Associate ProfessorDepartment: Mechanical EngineeringProgramme: B TechSemester: Ist and 2ndSession:MO-14 to17Course Code:AM1201Course Title: Engineering MechanicsNumber of times taught:6 timesMobile: 8987479525E-mail: akroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements			
1	The same shire time and the defined and in surrough divide call has a more that	4		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4		
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4		
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4		
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4		
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4		
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4		
	discussion.			
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4		
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4		
	examination.			

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

Any other specific comments:

NIL					
•••••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
•••••	•••••	••••••			
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Signature of the Teacher

Name:.APURBA KUMAR ROY	Designation: Associate Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B Tech
Semester: 2nd and 3rd	Session:MO-14 to17
Course Code:AM2202	Course Title: Engineering Mechanics Lab
Number of times taught: 4 times	
Mobile: 8987479525	E-mail: akroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements			
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4		
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4		
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4		
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4		
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4		
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4		
	discussion.			
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4		
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4		
	examination.			

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

NIL						
•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••

Signature of the Teacher

Name:.APURBA KUMAR ROY

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Semester: 3rd

Course Code:ME3003

Designation: Associate Professor

Programme: B Tech

Session:MO-14 to17

Course Title: Fluid Mechanics and Hydraulics

Number of times taught: 4 times

Mobile: 8987479525

E-mail: akroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	. Statements			
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3		
1. 2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3		
<u> </u>		3		
4.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme. The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2		
<u>4.</u> 5.	The syllabus of the course is as per industrial Academic needs.	4		
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4		
	discussion.			
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4		
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4		
	examination.			

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

The course was designed in old pattern. The revision of this course has been done effective from MO-18(CBCS). Still require more industrial aspects as compared to usual academic approach.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

Signature of the Teacher

Name:.APURBA KUMAR ROY

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Designation: Associate Professor

Programme: B Tech(CBCS)

Session:MO-18, SP-19

Semester: Ist and 2nd

Course Code:ME101

Number of times taught: 2 times

Mobile: 8987479525

Course Title: Basics of Mechanical Engineering

E-mail: akroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements			
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4		
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4		
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4		
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4		
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4		
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4		
	discussion.			
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4		
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4		
	examination.			

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

This course has been revised as per CBCS effective from MO-18.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

Signature of the Teacher

Name:.APURBA KUMAR ROY

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Semester: Ist

Course Code:ME 562

Designation: Associate Professor

Programme: M Tech

Session: MO-18

Course Title: Advanced Incompressible Fluid Flow(CBCS)

Number of times taught: 1 time

Mobile: 8987479525

E-mail: akroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

S. No.	D. Statements			
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4		
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4		
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4		
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4		
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4		
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4		
	discussion.			
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4		
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4		

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

The syllabus has been revised as per CBCS effective from MO-18.

NIL	•••••					
						••••••
•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Signature of the Teacher

Name:.APURBA KUMAR ROY	Designation: Associate Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B Tech
Semester: I st and 2nd	Session:MO-14 to17
Course Code:ME1202	Course Title: Engineering Graphics Lab
Number of times taught: 4 times	
Mobile: 8987479525	E-mail: akroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	D. Statements				
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4			
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4			
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4			
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4			
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4			
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for				
	discussion.				
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4			
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4			
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4			
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4			
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4			
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4			
	examination.				

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

NIL						
•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••

Signature of the Teacher

Name: APURBA	KUMAR ROY
	i i com in i co i

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Semester: Ist

Course Code:MME1001

Number of times taught: 4 times

Mobile: 8987479525

Designation: Associate Professor

Programme: M Tech

Session:MO-14 to17

Course Title: Advanced Fluid Mechanics

E-mail: akroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements				
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4			
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4			
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4			
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4			
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4			
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for				
	discussion.				
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4			
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4			
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4			
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4			
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4			
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4			
	examination.				

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

The syllabus has been revised as per CBCS effective from MO-18.

NIL						
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
•••••			•••••			

Signature of the Teacher

Name:.APURBA KUMAR ROY	Designation: Associate Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M Tech
Semester: Ist	Session: MO-18
Course Code:ME 562	Course Title: Advanced Incompressible Fluid Flow
Number of times taught: 1 time	
Mobile: 8987479525	E-mail: akroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	. Statements				
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4			
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4			
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4			
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4			
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4			
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for				
	discussion.				
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4			
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4			
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4			
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4			
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4			
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4			
	examination.				

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

The syllabus has been revised as per CBCS effective from MO-18.

Any other specific comments:

NIL	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••••••••••••		
•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Allow Signature of the Teacher

Name:	Dr. Abhijit Nag	Designation: Assistant Professor				
Department:	Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B E				
Semester:	III	Session: MO 14, 15, 16				
Course Code:	ME 3005	Course Title: Mechanics of Solids				
Number of times taught: Three Times						
Mobile: 94348	876785	E-mail: abhijitnag@bitmesra.ac.in				

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree =	Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree $= 0$
----------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------	-------------------------

S. No.	Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	3	
	discussion.		
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Abhigit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Name:	Dr. Abhijit Nag	Designation:	Assistant Professor
Department:	Mechanical Engineering	Programme:	ΒE
Semester:	VI	Session:	SP 17
Course Code:	ME 6007	Course Title: Desig	n of Mechanical System
Number of tir	nes taught: One Time		
Mobile: 9434	876785	E-mail: abhiji	itnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

yes

This syllabus is now obsolete in revised UG Programme, effective from MO 18. In revised UG Programme, some of the topics from this old syllabus, is included in new syllabus.

Abhigit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Name:	Dr. Abhijit Nag	Designation:	Assistant Professor	
Department:	Mechanical Engineering	Programme:	ΒE	
Semester:	IV	Session:	SP 15, 16 & 19	
Course Code:	ME 4003	Course Title:	Mechanics of Materials	
Number of times taught: Three Times				
Mobile: 9434	876785	E-mail: abhiji	tnag@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

This syllabus is now obsolete in revised UG Programme, effective from MO 18. In revised UG Programme, some of the topics from this old syllabus, is included in new syllabus.

Abbigit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Name:	Dr. Abhijit Nag	Designation:	Assistant Professor
Department:	Mechanical Engineering	Programme:	BE
Semester:	V	Session:	MO 17, 18
Course Code:	ME 5005	Course Title: Design	n of Machine Elements
Number of tin	nes taught: Two Times		
Mobile: 9434	876785	E-mail: abhiji	tnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

This syllabus is now obsolete in revised UG Programme, effective from MO 18. In revised UG Programme, some of the topics from this old syllabus, is included in new syllabus.

Abhigit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Name:	Dr. Abhijit Nag	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department:	Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B E
Semester:	I & II	Session: MO 14, SP 15
Course Code:	AM 1201	Course Title: Engineering Mechanics
Number of tir	nes taught: Two Times	
Mobile: 9434	876785	E-mail: abhijitnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

This syllabus is now obsolete in revised UG Programme, effective from MO 18. In revised UG Programme, some of the topics from this old syllabus, is included in new syllabus.

Abbigit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Name:	Dr. Abhijit Nag	Designation:	Assistant Professor	
Department:	Mechanical Engineering	Programme:	ΒE	
Semester:	Π	Session:	SP 16	
Course Code:	ME 2001	Course Title:	Principles of Mech. Engg.	
Number of times taught: One Time				
Mobile: 9434	876785	E-mail: abhiji	tnag@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

This syllabus is now obsolete in revised UG Programme, effective from MO 18. In revised UG Programme, some of the topics from this old syllabus, is included in new syllabus.

Abhigit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Name:	Dr. Abhijit Nag		Designation:	Assistant Professor
Department:	: Mechanical Engineering		Programme:	ME
Semester:	II		Session:	SP 17, 18
Course Code:	MME 2071	Course Title:	Advanced Mechanica	al Engineering Design
Number of times taught: Two Times				
Mobile: 9434876785			E-mail: abhiji	tnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

This syllabus is now obsolete in revised PG Programme, effective from MO 18. In revised PG Programme, some of the topics from this old syllabus, is included in new syllabus.

Abhigit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Name:	Dr. Abhijit Nag	Designation:	Assistant Professor
Department:	Mechanical Engineering	Programme:	M Tech
Semester:	Ι	Session:	MO 18, SP 19 (Backlog)
Course Code:	ME 522	Course Title: Advar	ced Mechanics of Solids
Number of tir	nes taught: Two Times		
Mobile: 9434876785		E-mail: abhiji	tnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Abhigit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Name:	Dr. Abhijit Na	ag	Designation:	Assistant Professor
Department:	Mechanical E	ngineering	Programme:	ME
Semester:	II		Session:	SP 17, 18
Course Code:	MME 2072	Course Title:	Adv. Mech. Engineer	ring Design Course Work
Number of times taught: Two Times				
Mobile: 94348	876785		E-mail: abhiji	tnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

This syllabus is now obsolete in revised PG Programme, effective from MO 18. In revised PG Programme, some of the topics from this old syllabus, is included in new syllabus.

Abhigit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Name:	Dr. Abhijit Nag	Designation:	Assistant Professor	
Department:	Mechanical Engineering	Programme:	B Tech	
Semester:	I & II	Session: MO	14, 15, 16, 17, 18 & SP 19	
Course Code:	ME 1201 & ME102	Course Title:	Engineering Graphics	
Number of times taught: Six Times				
Mobile: 9434	876785	E-mail: abhiji	tnag@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Earlier the course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Where the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Abhigit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Name:	Dr. Abhijit Nag	Designation:	Assistant Professor
Department:	Mechanical Engineering	Programme:	ΒE
Semester:	V	Session:	MO 17
Course Code:	ME 5006	Course Title: Comp	uter Aided Design Lab
Number of tin	nes taught: One Time		
Mobile: 9434	876785	E-mail: abhiji	tnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Abbingit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Name:	Dr. Abhijit Nag	Designation:	Assistant Professor
Department:	Mechanical Engineering	Programme:	ΒE
Semester:	III	Session: MO	14, 15, 16, 17
Course Code:	ME 3004	Course Title:	Mechanics of Solids Lab
Number of tir	nes taught: Four Times		
Mobile: 9434	876785	E-mail: abhiji	tnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Earlier the course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Where the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Abbigit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Name:	Dr. Abhijit Nag	Designation:	Assistant Professor
Department:	Mechanical Engineering	Programme:	ΒE
Semester:	IV	Session:	SP 15, 16 & 19
Course Code:	ME 4004	Course Title: Mecha	anical Engineering Lab
Number of tin	nes taught: Three Times		
Mobile: 9434	876785	E-mail: abhiji	tnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

This syllabus is now obsolete in revised UG Programme, effective from MO 18. In revised UG Programme, some of the topics from this old syllabus, is included in new syllabus.

Abhigit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Dr. Abhijit Nag		Design	ation:	Assistant Professor
Mechanical Engineering		Progra	mme:	ΒE
VI		Session	n:	SP 15, 16
ME 6006	Course	Title:	Dynam	ics of Machines Lab
r of times taught: Two Times				
76785		E-mail	: abhijit	nag@bitmesra.ac.in
	Dr. Abhijit Nag Mechanical Engineering VI ME 6006 rr of times taught: Two Times	Mechanical Engineering VI ME 6006 Course or of times taught: Two Times	Mechanical Engineering Progra VI Session ME 6006 Course Title: or of times taught: Two Times	Mechanical EngineeringProgramme:VISession:ME 6006Course Title: Dynameof times taught: Two Times

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

This syllabus is now obsolete in revised UG Programme, effective from MO 18. In revised UG Programme, some of the topics from this old syllabus, is included in new syllabus.

Abbigit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Jame: ARUN DAYAL UDAL Designation Asit. Prot
Department: Melhanical : Programme: ME - Design
emester: I. Session: MO2015, MO2017
Course Code: MMG. 10.6.5. Course Title. Advanced Computer for applice.
Number of times taught:
Aobile: 9523125823 E-mail: arun-udai @ bitmerra. ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------	-------------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	03	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.		
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.		
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.		
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.		
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.		
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	Lation pattern.O4other Institutes/Universities.03t by using ICT tools.O4	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.		

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes.

Modures needs to be segregated
No time allocation made.
COS not given in explatus,
<i>J</i>

Any other specific comments: NO.

... . . Jan Dayal Udai -

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Name: ARUN DAYAL UDAL Designation ASST. Brot
Department: Mechanical Programme: ME - Design
Semester: D. Session: SP. 2016, SP. 2018, 192017
Course Code: MME 2083. Course Title Mach anis of Rebotte Marin pulator
Number of times taught: .0.3
Mobile: 9523125843 E-mail: arun-udai Obitmera.ae.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

S. No.	No. Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	02
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	02
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	. The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

res

Objectives no	+ delined in the sullabu	
COS bot	t defined in the syllabu	
	3	

4		
A		

Any other specific comments:

None,

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Arun Puy el Udai Designation Asst. Prot
Department: Meenanical Programme: M.E Delgn
Semester: I Session: MD.2018
Course Code: ME 525 Course Title Robotte Manipulator Duign
Number of times taught:
Mobile: 9523125843 E-mail: graneudai @ bitmerg.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

S. No.	. No. Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	6. The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	03
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yu.

Syllabour need forther	simplification
Books are required	in Library.
	5
Any other specific comments:	

,	

- Dayel Udei -10

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Arun Dryel Uder Designation Asit, Brot
Department: Mechanical Programme: M.E Design
Semester: II Session: 14: S8 20 19
Course Code: MES33. Course Title A.M. and Contral
Number of times taught:
Mobile: 95.23125843 E-mail: arun uder @ bitmerg. arin

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree	3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------	-------------------------

S. No.	No. Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.		
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No .

 	 •••••
 ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	

Any other specific comments: No

-Dayal Udai g.

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Arun Day and U dan Designation Aist. Prot
Department: Meenanial Programme: ME - Design
Semester: II. Session: S. 8 2019
Course Code: ME 537 Course Title Robotics Las.
Number of times taught:
Mobile: 9523125843 E-mail: arun_udal @bitmera.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------	-------------------------

S. No.	Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

Any other specific comments:

Such considetion of experiment
orre rare in many universities.
\sim

llda D. 9

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Dipti Prasad Mishra		Designation:	Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering		Programme: <u>B.Tech</u>		
Semester: III		Session: MO (14-16) and MO -18		
Course Code: ME 3001		Course Title Thermodynamics		
Number of tim	es taught: Four times			
Mobile:	7250582643	E-mail: dpmishra@bitmesra	<u>ac.in</u>	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for		
	discussion.		
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.		
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4	
	examination.		

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

<u>NIL</u>.....

Name: Dr. Dipti Prasad Mishra		Designation:	Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering		Programme: M.Tech (Heat Power Engg.)		
Semester: <u>II</u>		Session: <u>SP -19</u>		
Course Code: ME 571		Course Title: Convective Heat & Mass Transfer		
Number of tim	es taught: <u>One time</u>			
Mobile:	7250582643	E-mail: dpmishra@bitmesr	<u>a.ac.in</u>	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	. Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.		
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.		
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

NIL	 	

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Dipti Prasad Mishra	Designation:	Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.	Programme: M.Tech (Heat Power Engg.)	
Semester: <u>I & II</u>	Session: SP-18 and	<u>MO -18</u>	
Course Code: MME 2001 & ME 561	Course Title Adv. <u>T</u>	Course Title Adv. Thermodynamics & CST (CBCS)	
Number of times taught: <u>Two times</u>			
Mobile: 7250582643	E-mail: <u>dpmishra@</u>	bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

NIL	 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	 	 	

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. D	ipti Prasad Mishra	Designation:	Professor
Department:	Mechanical Engineering	Programme: <u>B.Tech</u>	
Semester: I		Session: MO 14-18	
Course Code: ME 1202 & ME 102		Course Title: Engineering Graphics	
Number of ti	mes taught: Five times		
Mobile:	7250582643	E-mail: dpmishra@bitmesra	a.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

_____ Any other specific comments: <u>NIL</u>.....

Signature of the Teacher

Name: <u>Dr. Di</u>	pti Prasad Mishra	Designation:	Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering		Programme: M.Tech (HPE)		
Semester: <u>II</u>		Session: <u>SP 15-17</u>		
Course Code: MME 2004		Course Title <u>Heat Transfer Lab.</u>		
Number of tir	nes taught: Three times			
Mobile:	7250582643	E-mail: dpmishra@bitmesi	ra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. Some experiments were from UG level. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes. Experiments are designed with updated syllabus level of PG students which also matched with the present industrial/academic requirements.

Any other specific comments:

<u>NIL</u>.....

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Dipt	i Prasad Mishra	Designation:	Professor	
Department: M	echanical Engineering	Programme: <u>B.Tech</u>		
Semester: VI		Session: SP 17		
Course Code: ME 6003		Course Title: Heat and Mass Transfer		
Number of time	es taught: <u>One time</u>			
Mobile:	7250582643	E-mail: dpmishra@bitmesra	ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

<u>NIL</u>.....

Name: Dr. Di	pti Prasad Mishra	Designation:	Professor
Department:	Mechanical Engineering	Programme: <u>B.Tech</u>	
Semester: IV		Session: <u>SP 17-18</u>	
Course Code: ME 4001		Course Title: Heat Power Conversion	
Number of tin	nes taught: <u>Two times</u>		
Mobile:	7250582643	E-mail: <u>dpmishra@bitme</u>	sra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. The course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

<u>NIL</u>.....

Name: Dr. Dip	ti Prasad Mishra	Designation:	Professor	
Department: M	echanical Engineering	Programme: <u>B.Tech</u>		
Semester: V		Session: MO 17-18		
Course Code: ME 5002		Course Title: IC Engines Lab		
Number of time	es taught: <u>Two times</u>			
Mobile:	7250582643	E-mail: dpmishra@bitmesra	a.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. The course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes. New experiments have been inducted in the syllabus as per the industrial/academic requirements.

Any other specific comments:

NIL				
•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••	•••••
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Dipti	Prasad Mishra	Designation:	Professor
Department: Me	chanical Engineering	Programme: B.Tech	
Semester: <u>V</u>		Session: MO 17	
Course Code: M	E 5001 Course	e Title Internal Combustion En	ngines & Gas Turbine
Number of times	taught: <u>One time</u>		
Mobile:	7250582643	E-mail: dpmishra@bitmesra	.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes. Also many new aspects of hybrid engines have been added in the syllabus.

Any other specific comments:

<u>NIL</u>				
•••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
•••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••	•••••
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••

Name: Dr. Dip	oti Prasad Mishra	Designation:	Professor	
Department: M	Iechanical Engineering	Programme: <u>B.Tech</u>		
Semester: II		Session: <u>SP 15-16</u>		
Course Code: ME 2001		Course Title Principles of Mechanical Engineering		
Number of tim	nes taught: <u>Two times</u>			
Mobile:	7250582643	E-mail: dpmishra@bitmesra	.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. The course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The course was obsolete and has been taken out from the new modified syllabus structure effective from MO-2018.

Any other specific comments:

<u>NIL</u>.....

Name:	Dr. D. Mahto	Designati	ion	Assistant Professor
Departme	nt: Mechanical	Program	ne : B	.Е.
Semester:	VII	Session: 1	Mo 14	- Mo19
Course Co	ode: ME 7331	Course T	itle:	Refrigeration and air conditioning
Number o	of times taught: 05			
Mobile: (07667710866	E-mail:	dmah	to@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4Agree = 3Neither agree nor disagree = 2Disagree = 1Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for		
7.	discussion. Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Lecture allotment of each module should be appropriate. Module 7 is not

justify in this course. Total module 7 may be converted in only 5 modules. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018, where the total number of modules are 5; objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

Thi-

Name: D	Dr. D. Mahto		Designation	Assistant Professor
Department:	Mechanical		Programme : B	9.E.
Semester: II	I	I	Session: Mo-1:	5, MO17
Course Code	e: ME 3001 Course]	Title: Thermod	lynamics
Number of t	imes taught: 02			
Mobile: 076	567710866	E-mail:	dmahto@bitr	nesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Lecture allotment of each module should be appropriate. Module 6 contents should merge in module 2 and 5. Total module 7 may be converted in only 5 modules.

Any other specific comments:



Name: Dr. D. Mahto		Designation	Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Semester: III		Programme : M Session: Mo-1	
Course Code: MME 1011			Theory and Design of IC engine
Number of times taught: 02			
Mobile: 07667710866	E-mail:	dmahto@bit	mesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements			
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3		
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3		
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3		
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4		
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4		
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	3		
	discussion.			
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4		
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3		
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4		

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Content in module VI and VII are lengthy.

Any other specific comments:



Name: Dr. D.	Mahto	Des	signation	Assistant Professor	
Department: Mec	hanical	Pro	ogramme : N	1.E	
Semester: VII	Session: Mo-15, to MO17				
Course Code: MM	ME 2013 Con	urse Title:	Refrigerati	on and air conditioning	
Number of times	taught: 04				
Mobile: 0766771	0866 E-r	nail: d	lmahto@bitn	nesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree $= 4$	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree $= 0$
Sublight ugive = 1	1600 = 3	1 to this agree hor ansagree -2	Disagree = 1	Sublight for the second seco

S. No.	Statements			
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3		
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3		
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3		
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4		
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4		
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	3		
	discussion.			
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3		
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3		
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4		
	examination.			

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Total module 7 may be converted in only 5 modules. From Mo-18 syllabus has been revised and all CO's are mapped with PO's.

Any other specific comments:



Name:	Dr. D. Mahto	Designat	ion	Assistant Professor
Departme	nt: Mechanical	Program	me : l	B.E.
Semester:	IV	Session:	Sp-18	8
Course C	ode: ME 4001	Course 7	Title:	Heat power conversion
Number of	of times taught: 01			
Mobile: (07667710866	E-mail:	dma	hto@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4Agree = 3Neither agree nor disagree = 2Disagree = 1Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements			
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2		
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2		
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2		
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4		
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4		
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2		
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2		
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3		
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4		

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. Total module 7 may be converted in only 5 modules. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018, where the total number of modules is 5; objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:



Name:	Dr. D. Mahto		Designation	Assistant Professor
Departmen	nt: Mechanical		Programme : E	B.E.
Semester:	IV		Session: Mo-1	4, MO17
Course Co	ode: ME 5002		Course Title:	C Engine lab
Number o	f times taught: 04			
Mobile: 0	7667710866	E-mail:	dmahto@bitr	nesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements			
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3		
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3		
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3		
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4		
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4		
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3		
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4		
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3		
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4		

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Need to set up a new modern machine for research purpose. From MO18 syllabus has been revised and CO's and PO's have been matched.

Any other specific comments:



Name:	Dr. D. Mahto	Designat	ion	Assistant Professor		
Departme	nt: Mechanical	Program	me : I	3.E.		
Semester:	Semester: VIII		Session: Sp-14			
Course Co	ode: ME 7029	Course 7	Title:	Power plant Engineering		
Number o	f times taught: 01					
Mobile: ()7667710866	E-mail:	dma	hto@bitmesra.ac.in		

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4Agree = 3Neither agree nor disagree = 2Disagree = 1Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements			
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2		
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2		
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2		
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4		
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4		
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2		
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2		
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3		
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4		

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. Total module 7 may be converted in only 5 modules. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018, where the total number of modules is 5; objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:



Name: Dr. D. Mahto		Designation	Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical		Programme :	ME.	
Semester: I		Session: Mo-15, MO 16		
Course Code: MME 1011		Course Title:	Theory and Design of IC engine	
Number of times taught: 02				
Mobile: 07667710866	E-mail:	dmahto@bi	tmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	3
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Content in module VI and VII are lengthy .

Any other specific comments:



Name:	Dr. D. Mahto		Designation	Assistant Professor
Departmer	nt: Mechanical		Programme : H	B.E.
Semester:	II		Session: Mo-1	4, SP 15, MO 15, SP17, MO17
Course Co	de: ME 1202		Course Title:	Engg. Graphics
Number of	f times taught: 04			
Mobile: 0	7667710866	E-mail:	dmahto@bit	mesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	1
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Lecture allotment of each module should be appropriate. Syllabus is too lengthy. There should be provision to draw the drawing in AUTO Cad also. From MO 18 course has been modified and all CO and PO's are mapped to each other. From MO 18 in revised syllabus there are also a provision to make drawing in AUTO CAD.

Any other specific comments:

The

Name: KAUSHIK KUMAR

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Designation: Associate Professor

Programme: B Tech (CBCS)

Semester: 1st and 2nd

Course Code: ME101

Number of times taught: Once

Mobile: 9431597463

Session: MO-18

Course Title: Basics of Mechanical Engineering

E-mail: kkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly agree = 4Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

This course has been revised as per CBCS effective from MO-18.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

Signature of the Teacher

Name: KAUSHIK KUMAR

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B. Tech

Course Title: Mechanical Engineering Lab

Session: MO-14 to17

Course Code:

Semester: 3rd

Number of times taught: 4 times

Mobile: 9431597463

E-mail: kkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

The course was designed in old pattern. The revision of this course has been done effective from MO-18(CBCS). Still require more industrial aspects as compared to usual academic approach.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

Signature of the Teacher

Name: KAUSHIK KUMAR

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Semester: 1st and 2nd

Course Code:ME1202

Number of times taught: 4 times

Mobile: 9431597463

Designation: Associate Professor

Programme: B. Tech

Session:MO-14 to17

Course Title: Engineering Graphics Lab

E-mail: kkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

The course was designed in old pattern. The revision of this course has been done effective from MO-18(CBCS). Still require more industrial aspects as compared to usual academic approach.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

Signature of the Teacher

Name: KAUSHIK KUMAR

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Designation: Associate Professor

Programme: B Tech (CBCS)

Semester: 1st and 2nd

Course Code: ME101

Number of times taught: Once

Mobile: 9431597463

Session: MO-18

Course Title: Basics of Mechanical Engineering

E-mail: kkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly agree = 4Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

This course has been revised as per CBCS effective from MO-18.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

Signature of the Teacher

Name: KAUSHIK KUMAR

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Designation: Associate Professor

Programme: M Tech

Session: MO-14 to17

Semester: 2nd

Course Code: MME 2075

Number of times taught: 4 times

Mobile: 9431597463

Course Title: Engineering Design Methodology

E-mail: kkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

The course was designed in old pattern. The revision of this course has been done effective from MO-18(CBCS). Still require more industrial aspects as compared to usual academic approach.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

Signature of the Teacher

Name: KAUSHIK KUMAR

Designation: Associate Professor

Programme: B. Tech

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Semester: 5th

Course Code: ME 5006

Number of times taught: 4 times

Mobile: 9431597463

Session: MO-14 to17

Course Title: CADD Lab

E-mail: kkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

The course was designed in old pattern. The revision of this course has been done effective from MO-18(CBCS). Still require more industrial aspects as compared to usual academic approach.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: 7 th	Session: MO/2018
Course Code: ME7323	Course Title: FEA
Number of times taught: 01	
Mobile: 9431115088	E-mail: lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.	
Semester: 2 nd	Session: SP/2018	
Course Code: AM1201	Course Title: Engineering Mechanics	
Number of times taught: 01		
Mobile: 9431115088	E-mail: <u>lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com</u>	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.E.
Semester: 1 st	Session: MO/2017
Course Code: MCD1003	Course Title: Engineering Elasticity & Plasticity
Number of times taught: 01	
Mobile: 9431115088	E-mail: <u>lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com</u>

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	3
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineerin	g Programme: M.E.
Semester: 2 nd	Session: SP/2018
Course Code: MCD2001 Co	ourse Title: Finite Element Method in Solids & Structures
Number of times taught: 01	
Mobile: 9431115088	E-mail: lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
б.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M. Tech.
Semester: 1 st	Session: MO/2018
Course Code: ME504	Course Title: CFD
Number of times taught: 01	
Mobile: 9431115088	E-mail: lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.E.
Semester: 2 nd	Session: MO/2018
Course Code: ME511	Course Title: FEA
Number of times taught: 01	
Mobile: 9431115088	E-mail: lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
7.	discussion. Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M. Tech.
Semester: 2 nd	Session: SP/2019
Course Code: ME535	Course Title: FEA
Number of times taught: 01	
Mobile: 9431115088	E-mail: lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: 3 rd	Session: MO/2017
Course Code: ME1202	Course Title: Engg. Graphics
Number of times taught: 01	
Mobile: 9431115088	E-mail: <u>lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com</u>

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	3
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: 3 rd	Session: MO/2017
Course Code: ME3002	Course Title: Fluid Mechanics Lab
Number of times taught: 02	
Mobile: 9431115088	E-mail: <u>lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com</u>

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	3
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: 4 th	Session: SP/2018, SP/2019
Course Code: ME4004	Course Title: Mechanical Engg. Lab.
Number of times taught: 03	
Mobile: 9431115088	E-mail: <u>lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com</u>

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	3
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: 5 th	Session: MO/2018
Course Code: ME5006	Course Title: CADD Lab.
Number of times taught: 01	
Mobile: 9431115088	E-mail: lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Name: .Dr. Mukesh Sharma	Designation : Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M Tech
Semester: Seventh	Session: Monsoon
Course Code: ME 567 Course Title:	Safety Aspects of Nuclear Power plans
Number of times taught: Two	
Mobile: 8755330355.	E-mail: mukesh7684.iitkgp@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

NIL			••••••	
	•••••			•••••
	••••••			•••••
Any other specific comments:				
NIL	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
••••••				
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••
-SALai-1				

Signature of the Teacher

Name: .Dr. Mukesh Sharma	Designation : Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: Fourth	Session: Spring
Course Code: ME 4001	Course Title: Heat Power Conversion
Number of times taught: One	
Mobile: 8755330355.	E-mail: mukesh7684.iitkgp@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	. Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

NIL.....

Any other specific comments:

NIL	 		 	
-SAL-a-1	 •••••	•••••	 	•••••

Signature of the Teacher

Name: .Dr. Mukesh Sharma	Designation : Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: Seventh	Session: Monsoon
Course Code: ME 7033	Course Title: Power Plant Engineering
Number of times taught: Two	
Mobile: 8755330355.	E-mail: mukesh7684.iitkgp@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4	
	discussion.		
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	2	
	examination.		

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Module 1 should be revised.

Module 2 is too lengthy.

Any other specific comments: NIL.

-SALai-1

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Nirmal Kumar Designation: Assistant Profes		istant Professor
Department: Mechanical	Programme:	B. Tech
Semester: III	Session: MO18	
Course Code: ME3005	Course Title: M	echanics of Solids
Number of times taught: One		
Mobile:9693838996	E-mail: nirmal.m	nit@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
б.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

1.77

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Nirmal Kumar	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical	Programme: UG
Semester: VI	Session: SP16
Course Code: ME6007	Course Title: Design of Mechanical Systems
Number of times taught: One	
Mobile:9693838996	E-mail: nirmal.mit@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not

properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Nirmal KumarDesignation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical	Programme: B. Tech
Semester: III	Session: SP18, SP17
Course Code: ME6006	Course Title: Dynamics of Machine Lab
Number of times taught: Two	
Mobile:9693838996	E-mail: nirmal.mit@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

.....

umar

Signature of the Teacher

Name:	Nirmal Kumar	Designation		Assistant Professor
Department:	Mechanical	Programme:	UG	
Semester: I		Session: MO15,	MO16, MO	D17, MO19
Course Code:	ME1202	Course Title: E	ngineering	Graphics
Number of tin	nes taught: Four			
Mobile:96938	338996	E-mail: nirmal.r	nit@gmail.o	com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4	
	discussion.		
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	2	
	examination.		

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

••••••	••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••	•••••
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
•••••	••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Kumar Norm

Signature of the Teacher

Name:	Nirmal Kumar	Designation	Assistant Professor	
Department:	Mechanical	Programme: UG		
Semester: I		Session: MO15, SP16, MO1	6, SP17, MO17, SP18, MO18	
Course Code: AM1202		Course Title: Engineering Mechanics Lab		
Number of tin	mes taught: Seven			
Mobile:9693838996		E-mail: nirmal.mit@g	gmail.com	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

Any other specific comments:

•••••••••••••••		••••••	 •••••
••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	 •••••
	Kumar		

Signature of the Teacher

Name:	Nirmal Kumar	Designation	Assistant Professor
Department:	Mechanical	Programme: UG	
Semester: I		Session: MO15, MO16, S	SP17, MO17, SP18
Course Code: AM1201		Course Title Engineering	Mechanics
Number of tin	nes taught: Five		
Mobile:96938	338996	E-mail: nirmal.mit@gmai	il.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

	1
The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	3
discussion.	
Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme. The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs. The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge. The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion. Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion. Books related to the course are available in the library. Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern. The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities. The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

Any other specific comments:

NormalTypkientor

Signature of the Teacher

Name:	Nirmal Kumar	Designation	Assistant Professor
Department:	Mechanical	Programme: UG	
Semester: IV		Session: SP17, SP19	
Course Code:	ME4003	Course Title: Mechanics	of Materials
Number of tin	mes taught: Two		
Mobile:9693	838996	E-mail: nirmal.mit@gma	il.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

umar

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Nirmal Kumar	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical	Programme:	B. Tech
Semester: III	Session: MO18,	MO17
Course Code: ME3004	Course Title: Mechanics of Solids Lab	
Number of times taught: Two		
Mobile:9693838996	E-mail: nirmal.m	nit@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

imar

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.E
Semester: II	Session: SP-19
Course Code: ME553	Course Title: Economics & Planning of Energy System
Number of times taught: 1	
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

••••••

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.	
Semester: I	Session: MO (14-17)	
Course Code: ME1202	Course Title: Engineering Graphics	
Number of times taught: 4		
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.E	
Semester: I	Session: MO-18	
Course Code: ME543	Course Title: Energy Conversion System	
Number of times taught: 1		
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.	
Semester: V	Session: MO-17	
Course Code: ME5004	Course Title: Energy Engg. Lab	
Number of times taught: 1		
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: III	Session: MO (14-18)
Course Code: ME3002	Course Title: Fluid Mechanics Lab
Number of times taught: 5	
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: III	Session: MO (14-18)
Course Code: ME3003	Course Title: FM & Hydraulics
Number of times taught: 4	
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.E.	
Semester: I	Session: MO (16-17)	
Course Code: TET1001	Course Title: Foundation for Energy Engg.	
Number of times taught: 2		
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree $= 0$
------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------	-------------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

97

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: IV	Session: SP (15, 17, 19)
Course Code: ME4006	Course Title: Non-Conventional Energy Lab
Number of times taught: 3	
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: All
Semester: III, IV	Session: SP (16-19), MO (16-18)
Course Code: GA4004	Course Title: National Service Scheme
Number of times taught: 7	
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	2
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: II	Session: SP (15, 16, 18)
Course Code: ME2001	Course Title: Principle of Mechanical Engg.
Number of times taught: 3	
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M. E.
Semester: II	Session: SP-18
Course Code: TET2014	Course Title: SPV system Design Course Work
Number of times taught: 1	
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree $= 0$
------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------	-------------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

97

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.E
Semester: II	Session: SP-19
Course Code: ME553	Course Title: Economics & Planning of Energy System
Number of times taught: 1	
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

••••••

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.	
Semester: I	Session: MO (14-17)	
Course Code: ME1202	Course Title: Engineering Graphics	
Number of times taught: 4		
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.E	
Semester: I	Session: MO-18	
Course Code: ME543	Course Title: Energy Conversion System	
Number of times taught: 1		
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.	
Semester: V	Session: MO-17	
Course Code: ME5004	Course Title: Energy Engg. Lab	
Number of times taught: 1		
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: III	Session: MO (14-18)
Course Code: ME3002	Course Title: Fluid Mechanics Lab
Number of times taught: 5	
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: III	Session: MO (14-18)
Course Code: ME3003	Course Title: FM & Hydraulics
Number of times taught: 5	
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.E.	
Semester: I	Session: MO (16-17)	
Course Code: TET1001	Course Title: Foundation for Energy Engg.	
Number of times taught: 2		
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree $= 0$
------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------	-------------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

97

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.	
Semester: IV	Session: SP (15, 17, 19)	
Course Code: ME4006	Course Title: Non-Conventional Energy Lab	
Number of times taught: 3		
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: All	
Semester: III, IV	Session: SP (16-19), MO (16-18)	
Course Code: GA4004	Course Title: National Service Scheme	
Number of times taught: 7		
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	2
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: II	Session: SP (15, 16, 18)
Course Code: ME2001	Course Title: Principle of Mechanical Engg.
Number of times taught: 3	
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M. E.
Semester: II	Session: SP-18
Course Code: TET2014	Course Title: SPV system Design Course Work
Number of times taught: 1	
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree $= 0$
------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------	-------------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

97

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.E.
Semester: II	Session: SP (15-19)
Course Code: TET2003	Course Title: Waste to Energy
Number of times taught: 5	
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

97

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.E.
Semester: II	Session: SP (15-19)
Course Code: TET2003	Course Title: Waste to Energy
Number of times taught: 5	
Mobile: 943138292	E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

97

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: I......Session:...MO 15

Course Code: AM 1201...Course Title: Engineering Mechanics

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

m H-

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: III......Session:...MO 15, MO18

Course Code: AM 2202...Course Title: Engineering Mechanics Lab

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

m H-

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: III.....Session: MO18

Course Code: ME 3008...Course Title: SOM Lab

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4	
	discussion.		
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

m H-

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: I......Session:...MO 15, SP 16, MO 17, MO 18, SP19

Course Code: ME 1202/ME 102...Course Title: Engineering Graphics Lab

Number of times taught: 05

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

<u>The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are</u> <u>not properly defined</u>. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

NO

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: V.....Session:...MO 15, MO16

Course Code: ME 1202...Course Title: I.C. Engine Lab

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	. Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

In for the

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: IV......Session:...SP 16, SP17, SP 18, SP 19

Course Code: ME 4005...Course Title: Non conventional Energy

Number of times taught: 04

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

<u>The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are</u> <u>not properly defined</u>. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

NO

∋m f~

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: IV.....Session:...SP 16, SP17, SP18

Course Code: ME 4006...Course Title: Non conventional Energy lab

Number of times taught: 03

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	. Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

In for the

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: IV.....Session:...SP 16, SP17

Course Code: ME 4006...Course Title: Non conventional Energy lab

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

In for the

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: IV.....Session:...SP 16, SP17

Course Code: ME 4006...Course Title: Non conventional Energy lab

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

In for the

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: V.....Session:...MO17

Course Code: ME 5004...Course Title: Energy Engineering lab

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

In for the

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech Semester: VII.....Session:...MO 16 Course Code: ME 7331...Course Title: Refrigeration and Air-Conditioning Number of times taught: 01 Mobile: 9546219256......E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree $= 4$	Agree $= 3$	Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree $= 0$
	1-8-00 0		Disagree 1	

S. No.	Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.		
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

<u>The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined</u>. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

NO

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: III......Session:...MO 17

Course Code: ME 3001...Course Title: Thermodynamics

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4	
	discussion.		
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4	
	examination.		

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

<u>The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are</u> <u>not properly defined</u>. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

NO

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: V......Session:...MO 18

Course Code: ME 5004...Course Title: Energy Engineering lab

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

In for the

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: M.Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: II Session: SP 16, SP17, SP 18

Course Code: TET 2007, Course Title: Advance Energy System

Number of times taught: 03

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

On frak

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: M.Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: II.....

Session: SP 16, SP17

Course Code: TET 2008, Course Title: Energy Auditing Lab

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

Om fort

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: M.Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: I Session: MO 16, MO 17

Course Code: TET 1009, Course Title: Wind Energy Conversion System

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

On frak

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: M.Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: I Session: MO 16, MO 17

Course Code: TET 1003, Course Title: Non conventional Energy lab

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

On frak

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: M.Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: I Session: SP 18

Course Code: TET 2008, Course Title: EADE System

Number of times taught: 0

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

On frak

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: M.Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: I Session: MO 18

Course Code: TET 2008, Course Title: EADE System

Number of times taught: 0

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

On fork

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: M.Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: I Session: MO 18

Course Code: ME 545, Course Title: Solar passive Architecture

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

NIL

Any other specific comments:

NIL

Em Por

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: M.Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: II Session: MO 18

Course Code: ME 555, Course Title: EST

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

NIL

Any other specific comments:

NIL

Em Por

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Praveen Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M. Tech (Energy Technology)
Semester: II	Session: SP/19
Course Code: ME558	Course Title: Energy Lab-II
Number of times taught: 01	
Mobile: 9431327060	E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

No

.....

misun

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Praveen MishraDesignation: Assistant ProfessorDepartment: Mechanical EngineeringProgramme: BESemester: IVSession: SP/15, SP/16, SP/19Course Code: ME4005Course Title: Non-Conventional EnergyNumber of times taught: 03E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

miouni

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Praveen Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M. Tech (Energy Technology)
Semester: II	Session: SP/19
Course Code: ME 554	Course Title: Energy Management and Auditing
Number of times taught:01	
Mobile: 9431327060	E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

 •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
 •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

Any other specific comments:

No

meduni

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Praveen Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: ME (Heat Power)
Semester: I	Session: MO/14, MO/15
Course Code: MME 1002	Course Title: Fluid Mechanics Lab
Number of times taught: 02	
Mobile: 9431327060	E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

.....

moun

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Praveen Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical	Programme: BE
Semester: IV	Session: SP/15, SP/16, SP/17, SP/18, SP/19
Course Code:ME4006	Course Title: NCE Lab
Number of times taught: 05	
Mobile: 9431327060	E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

.....

misun

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Praveen Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: BE
Semester: III	Session: MO/14,15,16,17,18
Course Code: ME 3003	Course Title: Fluid Mechanics and Hydraulics
Number of times taught: 05	
Mobile: 9431327060	E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

miouni

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Praveen Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M. Tech (Energy Technology)
Semester: I	Session: MO/18
Course Code: ME 541	Course Title: Renewable Source of Energy
Number of times taught:01	
Mobile: 9431327060	E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

No

.....

moun

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Praveen Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M. Tech (Energy Technology)
Semester: I	Session: MO/18
Course Code: ME 547	Course Title: Energy Lab-I
Number of times taught:01	
Mobile: 9431327060	E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
б.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

No

.....

moun

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Praveen MishraDesignation: Assistant ProfessorDepartment: Mechanical EngineeringProgramme: BESemester: IIISession: MO/14,15,16,17,18Course Code: ME 3002Course Title: Fluid Mechanics LabNumber of times taught: 05E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	3
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

.....

milini

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Praveen MishraDesignation: Assistant ProfessorDepartment: Mechanical EngineeringProgramme: BESemester: IISession: SP/15,16,17,18Course Code: ME 2001Course Title: POME.Number of times taught:04E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

miouni

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Praveen Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M. Tech (Energy Technology)	
Semester: II	Session: SP/18	
Course Code: TET 2005	Course Title: Energy Management	
Number of times taught: 01		
Mobile: 9431327060	E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

.....

misun

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Praveen MishraDesignation: Assistant ProfessorDepartment: Mechanical EngineeringProgramme: ME (Heat Power)Semester: IISession: SP/15,16,17,18Course Code: MME 2002Course Title: Solar Energy LabNumber of times taught: 04E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

.....

moun

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Praveen Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M. Tech (Energy Technology)	
Semester: I	Session: MO/14,15,16,17	
Course Code: TET 1007	Course Title: Solar Energy Technology	
Number of times taught: 04		
Mobile: 9431327060	E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

miouni

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Praveen Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Energy	Programme: PG (All Branch)
Semester: VII (IMSC and ALL)	Session: MO/14,15,16,17
Course Code: TET1007	Course Title: Solar Energy Technology
Number of times taught: 04	
Mobile: 9431327060	E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions.

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

miouni

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Praveen Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M. Tech (Energy Technology)	
Semester: I	Session: MO/14,16,17	
Course Code: TET 1004	Course Title: Elective course work (S.E.T)	
Number of times taught: 03		
Mobile: 9431327060	E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

.....

miouni

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Praveen Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M. Tech (Energy Technology)	
Semester: II	Session: SP/17	
Course Code: TET 2005	Course Title: Energy Management Tutorials	
Number of times taught: 01		
Mobile: 9431327060	E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions.

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

.....

misun

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Praveen Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: BE	
Semester: IV	Session: SP/17	
Course Code: ME4004	Course Title: Mechanical Engineering Lab	
Number of times taught: 01		
Mobile: 9431327060	E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.				
1.				
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2		
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3		
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4		
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.			
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.			
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.			
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3		

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

moun

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Praveen MishraDesignation: Assistant ProfessorDepartment: Mechanical EngineeringProgramme: BESemester: ISession: MO/14,15Course Code: ME 1202Course Title: Engineering GraphicsNumber of times taught: 02E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4Agree = 3Neither agree nor disagree = 2Disagree = 1Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements			
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2		
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2		
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3		
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4		
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.			
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.			
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4		
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3		

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

.....

Any other specific comments:

No

moun

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Praveen Mishra Department: Mechanical Engineering Semester: I Course Code: MME 1003 Number of times taught:01 Mobile: 9431327060 Designation: Assistant Professor Programme: ME (Heat Power) Session: MO/14 Course Title: Heat and Mass Transfer

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	b. Statements			
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2		
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2		
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3		
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4		
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge. 4			
б.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.			
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.			
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4		

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

.....

miouni

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Paritosh Mahata

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Designation: Assistant Professor

Programme: Bachelor of Engineering

Course Title: Design of Machine Elements

Semester: V

Course Code: ME5005

Number of times taught: One

Mobile: (+91)7607487861 E-mail: pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

Session: MO/16

S. No.	Statements The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.			
1.				
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2		
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3		
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3		
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.			
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for			
	discussion.			
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4		
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4		
	examination.			

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course is designed based on old syllabus pattern. Here, the course outcome

(CO) and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments: NIL

Paritosh Mahata

Name:Dr. Paritosh MahataDesignation: Assistant ProfessorDepartment:Mechanical EngineeringProgramme: Bachelor of EngineeringSemester:ISession: MO/16 and MO/17Course Code:ME1202Course Title: Engineering GraphicsNumber of times taught:TwoMobile:(+91)7607487861 E-mail:pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements			
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2		
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2		
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3		
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2		
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4		
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	2		
	discussion.			
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3		
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3		
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	2		
	examination.			

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course is designed based on old syllabus pattern. Here, the course outcome

(CO) and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments: NIL

Paritosh Mahata

Designation: Assistant Professor

Programme: Bachelor of Engineering

Course Title: Engineering Measurement Lab

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Dr. Paritosh Mahata

Semester: III

Name:

Course Code: ME3006

Number of times taught: One

Mobile: (+91)7607487861 E-mail: pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

Session: MO/16

S. No.	Statements			
25.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2		
26.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2		
27.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3		
28.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3		
29.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3		
30.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for			
	discussion.			
31.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4		
32.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
33.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
34.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3		
35.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
36.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	2		
	examination.			

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course is designed based on old syllabus pattern. Here, the course outcome

(CO) and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

Paritosh Mahata

Dr. Paritosh Mahata Department: Mechanical Engineering **Designation: Assistant Professor**

Programme: Bachelor of Engineering

Course Title: Fluid Mechanics Lab

Semester: III

Name:

Course Code: ME3002

Number of times taught: One

Mobile: (+91)7607487861 E-mail: pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4Agree = 3Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1Strongly disagree = 0

Session: MO/16

S. No.	Statements The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.			
37.				
38.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2		
39.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4		
40.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4		
41.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4		
42.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for			
	discussion.			
43.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4		
44.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
45.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
46.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4		
47.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
48.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	2		
	examination.			

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course is designed based on old syllabus pattern. Here, the course outcome

(CO) and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

_____

Paritosh Mahata

Name: Dr. Paritosh Mahata Department: Mechanical Engineering Designation: Assistant Professor Programme: Bachelor of Engineering

Course Title: Dynamics of Machines Lab

Session: SP/17 and SP/19

Semester: VI

Course Code: ME6006

Number of times taught: Two

Mobile: (+91)7607487861 E-mail: pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements			
49.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2		
50.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2		
51.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3		
52.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3		
53.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.			
54.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for			
	discussion.			
55.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4		
56.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
57.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
58.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3		
59.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
60.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	2		
	examination.			

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course is designed based on old syllabus pattern. Here, the course outcome

(CO) and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

Paritosh Mahata

Name: Dr. Paritosh Mahata Department: Mechanical Engineering Designation: Assistant Professor

Programme: Bachelor of Engineering

Course Title: Mechanics of Solids

Semester: III

Course Code: ME3005

Number of times taught: One

Mobile: (+91)7607487861 E-mail: pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

Session: MO/17

S. No.	. Statements			
61.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2		
62.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2		
63.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4		
64.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4		
65.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.			
66.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for			
	discussion.			
67.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4		
68.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
69.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
70.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4		
71.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
72.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4		
	examination.			

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course is designed based on old syllabus pattern. Here, the course outcome

(CO) and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

Paritosh Mahata

Name:Dr. Paritosh MahataDesignation: Assistant ProfessorDepartment:Mechanical EngineeringProgramme: Bachelor of EngineeringSemester:VISession: SP/18 and SP/19Course Code:ME6007Course Title: Design of Mechanical SystemsNumber of times taught:TwoMobile:(+91)7607487861 E-mail:pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.			
73.				
74.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2		
75.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4		
76.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4		
77.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.			
78.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4		
	discussion.			
79.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4		
80.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
81.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
82.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3		
83.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
84.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4		
	examination.			

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course is designed based on old syllabus pattern. Here, the course outcome

(CO) and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

Paritosh Mahata

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Dr. Paritosh Mahata

Programme: Bachelor of Engineering

Course Title: Mechanics of Solids Lab

Session: MO/18

Designation: Assistant Professor

Semester: III

Name:

Course Code: ME3004

Number of times taught: One

Mobile: (+91)7607487861 E-mail: pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	. Statements			
85.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2		
86.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2		
87.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3		
88.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3		
89.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4		
90.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for			
	discussion.			
91.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4		
92.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
93.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
94.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3		
95.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
96.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	2		
	examination.			

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course is designed based on old syllabus pattern. Here, the course outcome

(CO) and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

Paritosh Mahata

Name: Dr. Paritosh Mahata

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Semester: II

Course Code: ME531

Number of times taught: One

Session: SP/19 Course Title: Theory of Elasticity

Programme: Master of Technology

Mobile: (+91)7607487861 E-mail: pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree $= 4$	Agree $= 3$	Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree $= 0$
0,0	0			8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8

S. No.	No. Statements								
97.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4							
98.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4							
99.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4							
100.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4							
101.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4							
102.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4							
103.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4							
104.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4							
105.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4							
106.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4							
107.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4							
108.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2							

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

Any other specific comments: NIL

Paritosh Mahata

Name: Dr. Paritosh Mahata **Designation: Assistant Professor** Programme: Bachelor of Engineering

Department: Mechanical Engineering Semester: V

Session: MO/19

Course Code: ME5003

Course Title: Kinematics and Kinetics of Machines

Number of times taught: One

Mobile: (+91)7607487861 E-mail: pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4Agree = 3Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements								
109.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2							
110.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2							
111.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3							
112.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3							
113.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4							
114.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4							
	discussion.								
115.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4							
116.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4							
117.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4							
118.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3							
119.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4							
120.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4							
	examination.								

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course is designed based on old syllabus pattern. Here, the course outcome

(CO) and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

_____ -----

Paritosh Mahata

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar	Designation –Associate Professor
Department:Mechanical Engineering.	Programme:BE
Semester:IV	. Session: SP/16 and SP/17
Course Code: ME4006	Course Title:- NCE Lab
Number of times taught: 2	
Mobile: 9431382591	E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	. No. Statements							
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4						
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3						
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3						
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3						
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4						
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3						
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4						
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4						
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4						
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3						
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3						
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4						

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

•••••	••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••

Any other specific comments:

NA																																					
																		••••																			
••••	••••	•••	• • • •	••••	••••	••••	•••	•••	• • • •	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	• • •	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	• • • •	•••	•••	••••	•••

Hur

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar	Designation – Associate Professor
Department:Mechanical Engineering.	Programme:B.Tech
Semester:First semester	. Session: Mo/18 and SP/19
Course Code: ME101	Course Title:- Basics of Mechanical Engineering
Number of times taught: 2	
Mobile: 9431382591	E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	. No. Statements								
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4							
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3							
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3							
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2							
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4							
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3							
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4							
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3							
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4							
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3							
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4							
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2							

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

•		
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	 •

Any other specific comments:

NA										•••••					
••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • •	••••

4pm

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar	Designation – Associate Professor
Department:Mechanical Engineering.	Programme:PG
Semester:First semester	. Session: MO/15 to MO/17
Course Code: MME1053	Course Title:- Dynamics of Machines
Number of times taught: 3	
Mobile: 9431382591	E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	No. Statements								
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4							
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3							
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3							
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3							
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4							
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3							
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4							
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4							
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4							
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3							
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3							
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4							

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

•••••	••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••

Any other specific comments:

NA																																					
																		••••																			
••••	••••	•••	• • • •	••••	••••	••••	•••	•••	• • • •	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	• • •	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	••••	•••	•••	••••	•••

Hur

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar	Designation – Associate Professor
Department:Mechanical Engineering.	Programme:PG
Semester:First semester	. Session: MO/15 to MO/17
Course Code: MME1054	Course Title:- Dynamics of Machines Laboratory
Number of times taught: 3	
Mobile: 9431382591	E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

•			
•••••	••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••

Any other specific comments:

NA										•••••					
••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • •	••••

Africa -

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar	Designation – Associate Professor
Department:Mechanical Engineering.	Programme:BE
Semester:Sixth semester	. Session: SP/14 to SP/16
Course Code: ME6005	Course Title:- Dynamics of Machines
Number of times taught: 3	
Mobile: 9431382591	E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

•••••	••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••

Any other specific comments:

NA										•••••					
••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • •	••••

Hur

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar	Designation –Associate Professor
Department:Mechanical Engineering.	Programme:BE
Semester:I	. Session: Mo/14 to Mo/16
Course Code: ME1202	Course Title:- Engg. Graphics
Number of times taught: 3	
Mobile: 9431382591	E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

2		. 1																		
••••	•••	••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••	 •••
••••	•••	••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••	 •••

Any other specific comments:

NA										•••••					
••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • •	••••

Hur

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar	Designation –Associate Professor
Department:Mechanical Engineering.	Programme:BE
Semester:III	. Session: MO/15 to MO/17
Course Code: ME3006	Course Title:- Engg. Measurement lab Lab
Number of times taught: 3	
Mobile: 9431382591	E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

•			
•••••	••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••

Any other specific comments:

NA										•••••					
••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • •	••••

4pm

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar	Designation – Associate Professor
Department:Mechanical Engineering.	Programme:BE
Semester:Fifth semester	. Session: MO/14 to MO/17
Course Code: ME5003 Course Title:- K	inematics and Kinetics of Machines
Number of times taught: 3	
Mobile: 9431382591	E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

••

	2	· 1								
••	••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••
••	••••	••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••

Any other specific comments:

NA					 				•••••			
••••	•••••	• • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •	 • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar	Designation – Associate Professor
Department:Mechanical Engineering.	Programme:BE
Semester:Fourth semester	. Session: SP/17 and SP/18
Course Code: ME4003	Course Title:- Mechanics of Materials
Number of times taught: 2	
Mobile: 9431382591	E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

•		
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	 •

Any other specific comments:

NA										•••••					
••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • •	••••

Africa -

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar	Designation – Associate Professor
Department:Mechanical Engineering.	Programme:BE
Semester: Third semester	. Session: Mo/17
Course Code: ME3005	Course Title:- Mechanics of Solids
Number of times taught: 1	
Mobile: 9431382591	E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

•	•		
•••••	,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••

Any other specific comments:

NA																																	
- 1																																	
• • • •	• • • • •	••••	• • • •	• • • •	••••	••••	• • • •	••••	•••	•••	••••	••••	• • • •	•••	• • • •	•••	•••	• • • •	•••	••••	•••	••••	•••	• • • •	•••	• • • •	•••	••••	••••	•••	••••	••••	••

4pm

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr R. P. Sharma	Designation: Professor
Department: Mechanical Engg.	Programme: UG
Semester: First	Session: , MO:15,16,17, 18
Course Code: ME1202	Course Title Engineering graphics
Number of times taught: 04	
Mobile: 9430703061	E-mail: rpsharmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	03
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

One project related to industry must be included as additional assignment to students.

Any other specific comments:

.....

(RABINIDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Designation: Professor
Programme: PG
Session: MO 15,16
Course Title : Heat and Mass Transfer
E-mail: rpsharmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	03
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	03
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

EPS solver may be included in course

Any other specific comments:

.....

(RABINIDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Designation: Professor
Programme: UG
Session: MO 16
Course Title : Engineering Measurement Lab
E-mail: rpsharmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	03
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

(RABINDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Name: Dr R. P. Sharma	Designation: Professor
Department: Mechanical Engg.	Programme: UG
Semester: Fifth	Session: MO 17
Course Code: ME 5003	Course Title : Heat and Mass Transfer
Number of times taught: one	
Mobile: 9430703061	E-mail: rpsharmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	03
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

(RABINDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Name: Dr R. P. Sharma	Designation: Professor
Department: Mechanical Engg.	Programme: UG
Semester: Fifth	Session: MO 17,18
Course Code: ME 5002	Course Title : I,C.Engines Lab
Number of times taught: Two	
Mobile: 9430703061	E-mail: <u>rpsharmabit123@gmail.com</u>

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	03
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

(RABINDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Name: Dr R. P. S	Sharma	Designation: Professor
Department: Med	chanical Engg.	Programme: PG
Semester: Fir	st	Session: MO 18,19
Course Code: M	E 563 Course Tit	le: Conduction and Radiation Heat Transfer
Number of times	taught: Two	
Mobile: 9430703	3061	E-mail: rpsharmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	03
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

(RABINDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Name: Dr R. P. Sharma Department: Mechanical Engg. Programme: UG Semester: First , Second Session: MO 18, SP 19 Course Code: ME 102 Course Title : Engineering Graphics Number of times taught: Two Mobile: 9430703061 E-mail: rpsharmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	03
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

(RABINDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Signature of the Teacher

Designation: Professor

Designation: Professor
Programme: PG
Session: SP-19
Title : Design of Thermal Systems
E-mail: <u>rpsharmabit123@gmail.com</u>
Г

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	03
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	03
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

(RABINIDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Name: Dr R. P. Sharma	Designation: Professor
Department: Mechanical Engg. Pro	gramme: UG
Semester: Sixth	Session: SP-16,17,18
Course Code: ME 6004 Course Title :	Heat Transfer Lab
Number of times taught: Three	
Mobile: 9430703061	E-mail: rpsharmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	03
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

(RABINDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Name: Dr R. P. Sharma Designation: Professor Department: Mechanical Engg. Programme: PG Semester: Second Session: SP-15, 16,17 Course Code: M M E 6004 Course Title : Advanced Thermodynamics Number of times taught: Three Mobile: 9430703061 E-mail: rpsharmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	03
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

(RABINDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Name: Dr R. F	P. Sharma			Designation: Professor
Department: M	Iechanical Engg.	Progr	amme: UG	
Semester:	Sixth	\$	Session: SP-16,17	
Course Code:	M E 6003	Course Title :	Heat and Mass Trans	sfer
Number of tim	nes taught: Two			
Mobile: 94307	/03061		E-mail: <u>rpsha</u>	rmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	03
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	03
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

(RABINIDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Name: Dr R. F	P. Sharma			Designation: Professor
Department: N	Iechanical Engg.	Progr	amme: PG	
Semester:	Second		Session: SP-18	
Course Code:	MME 2011	Course Title :	Gas Turbine & Jet P	ropulsion
Number of tim	nes taught: One			
Mobile: 94307	/03061		E-mail: <u>rpsha</u>	armabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	03
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	03
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

(RABINIDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Name: Dr. Ratnesh Kumar	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B. Tech.
Semester: III	Session:MO 18
Course Code: ME 3005	Course Title: Mechanics of Solids
Number of times taught: One Time	
Mobile: 7549023950	E-mail: ratan_876@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

Rubert Kn

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Ratnesh Kumar	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B. Tech.
Semester: VI	Session: SP 19
Course Code: ME 6006	Course Title: Dynamics of machines Lab
Number of times taught: One Time	
Mobile: 7549023950	E-mail: ratan_876@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

Rubert Km

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Ratnesh Kumar	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B. Tech.
Semester: VI	Session: SP 19
Course Code: ME 6005	Course Title: Dynamics of machines
Number of times taught: One Time	
Mobile: 7549023950	E-mail: ratan_876@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

For problem discussion more time has to be given in the syllabus. For this some theory part has to be reduced.

Any other specific comments:

Rubuch Kun

Name: Dr. Ratnesh Kumar	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B. Tech.
Semester: I & II	Session: MO 18 & SP 19
Course Code: ME 1202	Course Title: Engineering Graphics
Number of times taught: Two times	
Mobile: 7549023950	E-mail: ratan_876@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	2
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

Any other specific comments:

Rubuch Km

Name: Dr. Ratnesh Kumar	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B. Tech.
Semester: IV	Session: SP 19
Course Code: ME 4004	Course Title: Mechanical Engineering Lab
Number of times taught: One Time	
Mobile: 7549023950	E-mail: ratan_876@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

Rubert Km

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Ratnesh Kumar	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B. Tech.
Semester: III	Session:MO 18
Course Code: ME 3004	Course Title: Mechanics of Solids Lab
Number of times taught: One Time	
Mobile: 7549023950	E-mail: ratan_876@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

Rubert Km

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: 2 nd Semester	Session: SP 15, SP-16
Course Code: AM 1201	Course Title: Engineering Mechanics
Number of times taught: Two times	
Mobile: 9934371393	E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

Realisi Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: 1 st Semester	Session: MO (14-16)
Course Code: AM 1101	Course Title: Engineering Mechanics
Number of times taught: Three times	
Mobile: 9934371393	E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

Realis Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: 2 nd Semester	Session: SP 17, SP-18
Course Code: ME 2001	Course Title: Principle of Mechanical Engineering
Number of times taught: Two times	
Mobile: 9934371393	E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

Realisi Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: 7 th Semester	Session: MO 16
Course Code: ME 7327	Course Title: Mechanical Vibration
Number of times taught: One time	
Mobile: 9934371393	E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

Realw Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: 3 rd Semester	Session: MO (14-15)
Course Code: ME 3006	Course Title: Engineering Measurement Lab
Number of times taught: Two times	
Mobile: 9934371393	E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

Realisi Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: 5 th Semester	Session: MO (17-18)
Course Code: ME 5006	Course Title: CADD Lab
Number of times taught: Two times	
Mobile: 9934371393	E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

Realw Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri	Designation Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.	
Semester: 3 rd Semester	Session: MO (16-17)	
Course Code: ME 3004	Course Title: Mechanics of Solid Lab	
Number of times taught: Two times		
Mobile: 9934371393	E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

Realisi Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: 3 rd Semester	Session: MO (14-17)
Course Code: AM 1202	Course Title: Engineering Mechanics Lab
Number of times taught: Four times	
Mobile: 9934371393	E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree $= 4$	Agree $= 3$	Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree $= 0$

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

Realin Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: 6 th Semester	Session: SP (18-19)
Course Code: ME 6006	Course Title: Dynamics of Machine Lab
Number of times taught: Two times	
Mobile: 9934371393	E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

Realini Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: 4 th Semester	Session: SP 19
Course Code: ME 4004	Course Title: Mechanical Engineering Lab
Number of times taught: One time	
Mobile: 9934371393	E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.E.
Semester: 2 nd Semester	Session: SP (17-18)
Course Code: MME 2073	Course Title: Computer Aided Design of
Number of times taught: Two times	Mechanical System
Mobile: 9934371393	E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.E.
Semester: 2 nd Semester	Session: SP 15
Course Code: MCD 2003	Course Title: Computer Aided Design
Number of times taught: One time	
Mobile: 9934371393	E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.

الله المعنين Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.E.
Semester: 2 nd Semester	Session: SP (15,16,18)
Course Code: MCD 2002	Course Title: Computer Aided Analysis & Design
Number of times taught: Three times	Lab II
Mobile: 9934371393	E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.E.
Semester: 2 nd Semester	Session: SP 17
Course Code: MME 2074	Course Title: CAD Lab
Number of times taught: One time	
Mobile: 9934371393	E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

Realini Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.E.
Semester: 1 st Semester	Session: MO (14,15)
Course Code: MCD 1016	Course Title: Computer Programming &
Number of times taught: Two times	Software Lab
Mobile: 9934371393	E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.

Designation Assistant Professor
Programme: M.Tech.
Session: MO 18
Course Title: Advanced Stress Analysis
E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

Araburi Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.Tech.
Semester: 1 st Semester	Session: MO 18
Course Code: ME 528	Course Title: Advanced Solid Mechanics and
Number of times taught: One-time	Vibration Lab
Mobile: 9934371393	E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

ARadani **Signature of the Teacher**

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.Tech.
Semester: 2 nd Semester	Session: SP 19
Course Code: ME 510	Course Title: Advanced Vibration and Simulation
Number of times taught: One-time	
Mobile: 9934371393	E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

ARadani **Signature of the Teacher**

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri	Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.Tech.
Semester: 1 st Semester	Session: SP 19
Course Code: ME 517	Course Title: Finite Element Analysis Lab
Number of times taught: One-time	
Mobile: 9934371393	E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

|--|

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

N.A.

Alahuni Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. S. K. Dhiman.	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering De	epartment Programme: UG
Semester: 5^{th}	Session: MO-17to MO18
Course Code: ME5001	Course Title: I.C. Engines and Gas Turbines
Number of times taught: 2 times	
Mobile: <u>9430734803</u>	E-mail: <u>skdhiman@bitmesra.ac.in</u>

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Introductory Module-1 should not have basic discussions of engines and cycles as they are already covered in Principles of Mechanical Engineering in Semester-1 and Thermodynamics in semester 3.

Any other specific comments:

No specific comments.

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. S. K. Dhiman.	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering Department	epartment Programme: UG	
Semester: <u>6th</u>	Session: MO-14 to MO18	
Course Code: ME6003	Course Title: Heat and Mass Transfer.	
Number of times taught: <u>4 times</u>		
Mobile: <u>9430734803</u>	E-mail: <u>skdhiman@bitmesra.ac.in</u>	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Syllabus is very lengthy and huge numerical are supposed to be discussed, so it may be divided into two parts viz. (i) Conduction & Radiation Heat Transfer and (ii) Convection Heat & Mass Transfer

Any other specific comments:

No specific comments.

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor	
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.	
Semester: Vth	Session: MO 16, MO 18, MO 2019	
Course Code: ME 5005	Course Title: Design of Machine Elements	
Number of times taught: 3 times		
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	3
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	4
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

in yes, please mention the module and suggestions	

Any other specific comments:

unishere

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M. Tech. (CAAD)
Semester: 1st	Session: MO 2019
Course Code: MCD 1003	Course Title: Advanced CAD
Number of times taught: 1st time	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Any other specific comments:

unishere

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: Vth	Session: MO 16, MO 2019
Course Code: ME 5006	Course Title: CADD Lab
Number of times taught: 2 times	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0
--

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

mishre

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Production Engineering	Programme: B.Tech.
Semester: 3rd	Session: MO 2019
Course Code: ME 4004	Course Title: Mechanical Engg Lab I
Number of times taught: 1st times	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree $= 4$	Agree $= 3$	Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree $= 0$

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

mishre

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: VI th	Session: SP 2019
Course Code: ME 6007	Course Title: Design of Mechanical system
Number of times taught: 1st time	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0
--

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

mishre

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: VIth	Session: SP 2019
Course Code: ME 6006	Course Title: Dynamics of Machines Lab
Number of times taught: 1st times	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

in yes, please mention the module and suggestions	
	•••••
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Any other specific comments:

unishere

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.Tech.
Semester: 1st	Session: SP 2019
Course Code:	Course Title: Adv CAD & RE Lab
Number of times taught: 1st time	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4Agree = 3Neither agree nor disagree = 2Disagree = 1Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Any other specific comments:

unishere

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: III rd	Session: MO 16, MO 2018
Course Code: ME 3004	Course Title: MOS Lab
Number of times taught: 2nd times	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0
--

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

mishre

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M. Tech. (CAAD)
Semester: 1st	Session: MO 2018
Course Code: MCD 2003	Course Title: Adv. CAD
Number of times taught: 1st time	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree $= 4$	Agree $= 3$	Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree $= 0$

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

Unishap

Signature of the Teacher Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M. Tech. (CAAD)
Semester: 1st	Session: SP 17, MO 2018
Course Code: MCD 1002	Course Title: CAAD Lab
Number of times taught: 2nd time	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0
--

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

mishre

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Production Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: IVth	Session: SP 2018
Course Code: ME 4009	Course Title: Design of Machine Elements
Number of times taught: 1st time	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0
--

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

mishre

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Production Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: IVth	Session: SP 2018
Course Code: ME 4008	Course Title: Machine Design sessional
Number of times taught: 1st time	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0
--

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

mishre

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: M.E. (CAAD)
Semester: 2nd	Session: SP 2018
Course Code: MCD 2003	Course Title: CAD
Number of times taught: 2 times	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0
--

S. No.	. Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

mishre

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: 1 st	Session: MO 2017
Course Code: ME 1201	Course Title: Engg. Mechanics
Number of times taught: 2nd times	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree $= 4$	Agree $= 3$	Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree $= 0$

S. No.	. Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

Ministre

Signature of the Teacher Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: Vth	Session: MO 2017
Course Code: ME 5003	Course Title: KKOM
Number of times taught: 1st times	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

<u>Cture a 1-2 - 2 - 4</u>	A	No.'dhan a sha a dhaanaa 2	D:	
Strongly agree $= 4$	Agree $= 3$	Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	o. Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

mishre

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: 2nd	Session: MO 2017
Course Code: AM 2202	Course Title: Engg Mechanics Lab
Number of times taught: 3 times	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree =
--

S. No.	b. Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

mishre

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Production Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: IVth	Session: SP 2017
Course Code: ME 4007	Course Title: Theory of Machines
Number of times taught: 1 st time	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree =
--

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

mishop

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: IVth	Session: SP 2017
Course Code: ME 4004	Course Title: Mechanical Engg Lab
Number of times taught: 1st time	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0
--

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

mishre

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: IInd	Session: SP 2017
Course Code: AM 2202	Course Title: Engg Mechanics Lab
Number of times taught: 1st time	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0
--

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

mishre

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: 1st	Session: MO 2016
Course Code: ME 1202	Course Title: Engg. Graphics Theory
Number of times taught: 1 st time	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree =
--

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

mishre

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra	Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering	Programme: B.E.
Semester: 1st	Session: MO 2016
Course Code: ME 1202	Course Title: Engg Graphics Lab
Number of times taught: 1 st time	
Mobile: 8709148515	E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0
--

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

mishre

Signature of the Teacher