



Session: SP-2016
Programme: BE Mechanical
Semester: VI
Course Code: ME 6005
Course Name: Dynamics of Machines
Course Coordinator: Dr. R. Kumar

Sl. No.	Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	100	0	0	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	0	100	0	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	0	100	0	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	0	100	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	100	0	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	0	100	0	0	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	100	0	0	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.	100	0	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	100	0	0	0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	0	100	0	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	0	100	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	100	0	0	0	0

Number of feedback received: 1
 Percentage of response received: 50


 Signature of teacher:


Professor & Head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Birla Institute of Technology
ESB, RANCHI-835215

Session: MO 2017-2019
 Programme: BE Mechanical
 Semester: V
 Course Code: ME 5005
 Course Name: Design of Machine Elements
 Course Coordinator: Dr. S. K. Mishra

Sl. No.	Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	50	0	50	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	50	0	50	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	50	50	0	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	50	50	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	50	50	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	50	50	0	0	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	50	50	0	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.	100	0	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	50	50	0	0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	50	50	0	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	50	50	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	50	50	0	0	0

Number of feedback received: 2

Percentage of response received: 100

Signature of teacher:

S. K. Mishra

Signature of HOD:

M. P. Singh

Professor & Head

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Birla Institute of Technology

U.S.B.A., RANCHI-835215

Session: MO 2017-2019
Programme: BE Mechanical
Semester: III
Course Code: ME 3003
Course Name: Fluid Mechanics and Hydraulics
Course Coordinator: Dr. O P pandey

Sl. No.	Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	0	33.33	66.7	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	0	33.33	66.7	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	33.33	66.67	0	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	33.33	33.33	33.3	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	100	0	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	100	0	0	0	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	100	0	0	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.	100	0	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	100	0	0	0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	100	0	0	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	100	0	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET	100	0	0	0	0

Number of feedback received: 3
 Percentage of response received: 100

Signature of teacher:

Signature of HOD:


Professor & Head

Department of Mechanical Engineering
Birla Institute of Technology
BESBA, RANCHI-835215

Session: SP 2017-2019
Programme: BE Mechanical
Semester: VI
Course Code: ME 6003
Course Name: Heat and Mass Transfer
Course Coordinator: Dr. S K Dhiman

Sl. No.	Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	33.33	33.33	33.3	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	0	66.67	33.3	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	33.33	66.67	0	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	33.33	66.67	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	33.33	66.67	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	33.33	66.67	0	0	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	33.33	33.33	33.3	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.	66.67	33.33	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	33.33	66.67	0	0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	33.33	66.67	0	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	33.33	66.67	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET	33.33	66.67	0	0	0

Number of feedback received: 3
 Percentage of response received: 100

Signature of teacher: 

Signature of HOD: 


Professor & Head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Birla Institute of Technology
BESRA, RANCHI-835215

Session: SP 2017-2019
Programme: BE Mechanical
Semester: IV
Course Code: ME 4001
Course Name: Heat Power Conversion
Course Coordinator: Dr. D P Mishra

Sl. No.	Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	0	0	100	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	0	0	100	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	50	0	50	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	100	0	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	100	0	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	50	0	50	0	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	50	0	50	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.	100	0	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	50	50	0	0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	50	50	0	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	50	50	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	100	0	0	0	0

Number of feedback received: 2

Percentage of response received: 100

Signature of teacher: 

Signature of HOD: 

Professor & Head


Department of Mechanical Engineering
 Birla Institute of Technology
 MESRA, RANCHI-835215


Session: MO 2017-2019
Programme: BE Mechanical
Semester: V
Course Code: ME 5001
Course Name: I C Engines and Gas Turbine
Course Coordinator: Dr. S K Dhiman

Sl. No.	Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	0	50	50	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	0	50	50	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	50	50	0	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	50	50	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	50	50	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	50	0	0	50	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	50	50	0	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.	50	50	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	50	50	0	0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	50	50	0	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	50	50	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	50	50	0	0	0

Number of feedback received: 2

Percentage of response received: 66.6

Signature of teacher: 

Signature of HOD: 


Professor & Head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Birla Institute of Technology
CBR, RANCHI-834009


Session: MO 2017-2019
Programme: BE Mechanical
Semester: V
Course Code: ME 5003
Course Name: Kinematics and Kinetics of Machines
Course Coordinator: Dr. R. Kumar

Sl. No.	Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	50	0	50	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	0	50	50	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	0	100	0	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	0	100	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	100	0	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	50	50	0	0	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	100	0	0	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.	100	0	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	100	0	0	0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	0	100	0	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	50	50	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	100	0	0	0	0

Number of feedback received: 2

Percentage of response received: 100


 Signature of teacher:


 Signature of HOD:

Professor & Head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Birla Institute of Technology
MESRA, RAIPUR 835215

Session: SP 2017-2019
Programme: BE Mechanical
Semester: IV
Course Code: ME 4003
Course Name: Mechanics of Materials
Course Coordinator: Dr. R. Kumar

Sl. No.	Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	50	0	50	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	0	50	50	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	0	100	0	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	0	100	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	50	50	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	0	100	0	0	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	50	50	0	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.	100	0	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	50	50	0	0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	0	100	0	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	50	50	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	100	0	0	0	0

Number of feedback received: 2

Percentage of response received: 100

Signature of teacher:


Signature of HOD:


Professor & Head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Dirla Institute of Technology
MESRA, RANCHI-835215

Session: MO 2016-2018
 Programme: BE Mechanical
 Semester: III
 Course Code: ME 3005
 Course Name: Mechanics of Solid
 Course Coordinator: Dr. A Nag

Sl. No.	Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	0	0	100	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	0	0	100	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	66.67	33.33	0	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	66.67	33.33	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	66.67	33.33	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	66.67	33.33	0	0	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	100	0	0	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.	100	0	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	66.67	33.33	0	0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	66.67	33.33	0	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	66.67	33.33	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET	66.67	33.33	0	0	0

Number of feedback received: 3
 Percentage of response received: 100

Signature of teacher: 

Signature of HOD: 


Professor & Head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Birla Institute of Technology
ESRA, RANCHI-835215


Session: SP 2017-2019
 Programme: BE Mechanical
 Semester: IV
 Course Code: ME 4005
 Course Name: Non conventional Energy
 Course Coordinator: Dr. P. Mishra

Sl. No.	Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	0	50	50	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	50	0	50	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	50	50	0	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	50	50	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	100	0	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	100	0	0	0	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	100	0	0	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.	100	0	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	100	0	0	0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	100	0	0	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	100	0	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	50	0	50	0	0

Number of feedback received: 2

Percentage of response received: 100

Signature of teacher: 

Signature of HOD: 

Professor & Head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Birla Institute of Technology
MESBA, RANCHI-835215

Session: MO 2017-2019
 Programme: BE Mechanical
 Semester: VII
 Course Code: ME 7033
 Course Name: Power Plant Engineering
 Course Coordinator: Dr. D Mahto

Sl. No.	Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	0	50	50	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	0	50	50	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	0	0	100	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	100	0	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	50	0	50	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	50	0	50	0	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	50	0	50	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.	100	0	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	50	50	0	0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	0	50	50	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	50	50	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	50	0	50	0	0

Number of feedback received: 2
 Percentage of response received: 100

Signature of teacher:

Signature of HOD:

Professor & Head


Department of Mechanical Engineering
Birla Institute of Technology
ESRA, RANCHI

Session: MO 2017-2019
Programme: BE Mechanical
Semester: III
Course Code: ME 3001
Course Name: Thermodynamics
Course Coordinator: Dr. D P Mishra


Sl. No.	Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Q1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	0	0	100	0	0
Q2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	0	0	100	0	0
Q3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	50	0	50	0	0
Q4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	100	0	0	0	0
Q5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	100	0	0	0	0
Q6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	50	50	0	0	0
Q7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	50	50	0	0	0
Q8	Books related to the course are available in the library.	100	0	0	0	0
Q9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	50	50	0	0	0
Q10	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	50	50	0	0	0
Q11	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	50	50	0	0	0
Q12	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	100	0	0	0	0

Number of feedback received: 2
 Percentage of response received: 100

Signature of teacher:



Signature of HOD:



Professor & Head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Birla Institute of Technology
ESSEB, RANCHI-835215

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: APURBA KUMAR ROY

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M Tech

Semester: IInd

Session: MO-14 to 17

Course Code: TET 2001

Course Title: Direct Energy Conversion

Number of times taught: 4 times

Mobile: 8987479525

E-mail: akroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions.

NO

Any other specific comments:

NIL

.....

.....

.....

 **Signature of the Teacher**

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: APURBA KUMAR ROY
 Department: Mechanical Engineering
 Semester: Ist and 2nd
 Course Code: AM1201
 Number of times taught: 6 times
 Mobile: 8987479525

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B Tech
 Session: MO-14 to 17
 Course Title: Engineering Mechanics
 E-mail: akroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

Any other specific comments:

NIL

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: APURBA KUMAR ROY
 Department: Mechanical Engineering
 Semester: 2nd and 3rd
 Course Code: AM2202
 Number of times taught: 4 times
 Mobile: 8987479525

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B Tech
 Session: MO-14 to 17
 Course Title: Engineering Mechanics Lab
 E-mail: akroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO


Any other specific comments:

NIL

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: APURBA KUMAR ROY
 Department: Mechanical Engineering
 Semester: 3rd
 Course Code: ME3003
 Number of times taught: 4 times
 Mobile: 8987479525

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B Tech
 Session: MO-14 to 17
 Course Title: Fluid Mechanics and Hydraulics
 E-mail: akroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

The course was designed in old pattern. The revision of this course has been done effective from MO-18(CBCS). Still require more industrial aspects as compared to usual academic approach.


Any other specific comments:

NIL

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: APURBA KUMAR ROY
 Department: Mechanical Engineering
 Semester: Ist and 2nd
 Course Code: ME101
 Number of times taught: 2 times
 Mobile: 8987479525

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B Tech(CBCS)
 Session: MO-18 ,SP-19
 Course Title: Basics of Mechanical Engineering
 E-mail: akroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

This course has been revised as per CBCS effective from MO-18.


Any other specific comments:

NIL

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: APURBA KUMAR ROY
 Department: Mechanical Engineering
 Semester: Ist
 Course Code: ME 562

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: M Tech
 Session: MO-18
 Course Title: Advanced Incompressible Fluid Flow (CBCS)

Number of times taught: 1 time

Mobile: 8987479525

E-mail: akroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

The syllabus has been revised as per CBCS effective from MO-18.


Any other specific comments:

NIL

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: APURBA KUMAR ROY
 Department: Mechanical Engineering
 Semester: I st and 2nd
 Course Code: ME1202
 Number of times taught: 4 times
 Mobile: 8987479525

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: B Tech
 Session: MO-14 to 17
 Course Title: Engineering Graphics Lab
 E-mail: akroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO


Any other specific comments:

NIL

.....

.....

.....

 **Signature of the Teacher**

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: APURBA KUMAR ROY
 Department: Mechanical Engineering
 Semester: Ist
 Course Code: MME1001
 Number of times taught: 4 times
 Mobile: 8987479525

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: M Tech
 Session: MO-14 to 17
 Course Title: Advanced Fluid Mechanics
 E-mail: akroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

The syllabus has been revised as per CBCS effective from MO-18.


Any other specific comments:

NIL

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: APURBA KUMAR ROY
 Department: Mechanical Engineering
 Semester: Ist
 Course Code: ME 562
 Number of times taught: 1time
 Mobile: 8987479525

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: M Tech
 Session: MO-18
 Course Title: Advanced Incompressible Fluid Flow
 E-mail: akroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

The syllabus has been revised as per CBCS effective from MO-18.


Any other specific comments:

NIL

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Abhijit Nag Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B E
Semester: III Session: MO 14, 15, 16
Course Code: ME 3005 Course Title: Mechanics of Solids
Number of times taught: Three Times
Mobile: 9434876785 E-mail: abhijitnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments: NA

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Abhijit Nag Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B E
Semester: VI Session: SP 17
Course Code: ME 6007 Course Title: Design of Mechanical System
Number of times taught: One Time
Mobile: 9434876785 E-mail: abhijitnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

yes

This syllabus is now obsolete in revised UG Programme, effective from MO 18. In revised UG Programme, some of the topics from this old syllabus, is included in new syllabus.

Any other specific comments: NA

Abhijit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Abhijit Nag Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B E
Semester: IV Session: SP 15, 16 & 19
Course Code: ME 4003 Course Title: Mechanics of Materials
Number of times taught: Three Times
Mobile: 9434876785 E-mail: abhijitnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

This syllabus is now obsolete in revised UG Programme, effective from MO 18.
In revised UG Programme, some of the topics from this old syllabus, is included in new syllabus.

Any other specific comments: NA

Abhijit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Abhijit Nag Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B E
Semester: V Session: MO 17, 18
Course Code: ME 5005 Course Title: Design of Machine Elements
Number of times taught: Two Times
Mobile: 9434876785 E-mail: abhijitnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

This syllabus is now obsolete in revised UG Programme, effective from MO 18.
In revised UG Programme, some of the topics from this old syllabus, is included in new syllabus.

Any other specific comments: NA

Abhijit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Abhijit Nag Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B E
Semester: I & II Session: MO 14, SP 15
Course Code: AM 1201 Course Title: Engineering Mechanics
Number of times taught: Two Times
Mobile: 9434876785 E-mail: abhijitnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

This syllabus is now obsolete in revised UG Programme, effective from MO 18.
In revised UG Programme, some of the topics from this old syllabus, is included in new syllabus.

Any other specific comments: NA

Abhijit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Abhijit Nag Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B E
Semester: II Session: SP 16
Course Code: ME 2001 Course Title: Principles of Mech. Engg.
Number of times taught: One Time
Mobile: 9434876785 E-mail: abhijitnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

This syllabus is now obsolete in revised UG Programme, effective from MO 18. In revised UG Programme, some of the topics from this old syllabus, is included in new syllabus.

Any other specific comments: NA

Abhijit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Abhijit Nag Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: M E
Semester: II Session: SP 17, 18
Course Code: MME 2071 Course Title: Advanced Mechanical Engineering Design
Number of times taught: Two Times
Mobile: 9434876785 E-mail: abhijitnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

This syllabus is now obsolete in revised PG Programme, effective from MO 18. In revised PG Programme, some of the topics from this old syllabus, is included in new syllabus.

Any other specific comments: NA

Abhijit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Abhijit Nag Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: M Tech
Semester: I Session: MO 18, SP 19 (Backlog)
Course Code: ME 522 Course Title: Advanced Mechanics of Solids
Number of times taught: Two Times
Mobile: 9434876785 E-mail: abhijitnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments: NA

Abhijit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Abhijit Nag Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: M E
Semester: II Session: SP 17, 18
Course Code: MME 2072 Course Title: Adv. Mech. Engineering Design Course Work
Number of times taught: Two Times
Mobile: 9434876785 E-mail: abhijitnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

This syllabus is now obsolete in revised PG Programme, effective from MO 18.
In revised PG Programme, some of the topics from this old syllabus, is included in new syllabus.

Any other specific comments: NA

Abhijit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Abhijit Nag Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B Tech
Semester: I & II Session: MO 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 & SP 19
Course Code: ME 1201 & ME102 Course Title: Engineering Graphics
Number of times taught: Six Times
Mobile: 9434876785 E-mail: abhijitnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Earlier the course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Where the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments: NA

Abhijit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Abhijit Nag Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B E
Semester: V Session: MO 17
Course Code: ME 5006 Course Title: Computer Aided Design Lab
Number of times taught: One Time
Mobile: 9434876785 E-mail: abhijitnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments: NA

Abhijit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Abhijit Nag Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B E
Semester: III Session: MO 14, 15, 16, 17
Course Code: ME 3004 Course Title: Mechanics of Solids Lab
Number of times taught: Four Times
Mobile: 9434876785 E-mail: abhijitnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Earlier the course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Where the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments: NA

Abhijit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Abhijit Nag Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B E
Semester: IV Session: SP 15, 16 & 19
Course Code: ME 4004 Course Title: Mechanical Engineering Lab
Number of times taught: Three Times
Mobile: 9434876785 E-mail: abhijitnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

This syllabus is now obsolete in revised UG Programme, effective from MO 18.
In revised UG Programme, some of the topics from this old syllabus, is included in new syllabus.

Any other specific comments: NA

Abhijit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Abhijit Nag Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B E
Semester: VI Session: SP 15, 16
Course Code: ME 6006 Course Title: Dynamics of Machines Lab
System Number of times taught: Two Times
Mobile: 9434876785 E-mail: abhijitnag@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

This syllabus is now obsolete in revised UG Programme, effective from MO 18. In revised UG Programme, some of the topics from this old syllabus, is included in new syllabus.

Any other specific comments: NA

Abhijit Nag

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ARUN DAYAL UDAL Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: Mechanical Programme: ME - Design
 Semester: I Session: M.02015, M.02017
 Course Code: MME1065 Course Title: Advanced Computer Graphics
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 9523125823 E-mail: arun-udal@bitmeers.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	03
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	02
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes.

Modules needs to be segregated.
No time allocation made.
CO's not given in syllabus.

Any other specific comments:

NO.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ARUN DAYAL UDAI Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: Mechanical Programme: ME-Design
 Semester: II Session: SP 2016, SP 2018, SP 2017
 Course Code: MME2083 Course Title: Mechanics of Robotic Manipulator
 Number of times taught: 03
 Mobile: 9523125843 E-mail: arun-udai@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	02
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	02
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes


Objectives not defined in the syllabus,
COs not defined

.....

Any other specific comments:

None

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Arum Dayal Udai Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: Mechanical Programme: ME-Design
 Semester: I Session: M.O.2018
 Course Code: ME525 Course Title: Robotic Manipulator Design
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 9523125843 E-mail: arumudai@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	03
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	03
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions


Yes.

Syllabus needs further simplification.
Books are required in library.

Any other specific comments:

No.

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Arun Dayal Udai Designation: Asst. Prof
 Department: Mechanical Programme: M.E - Design
 Semester: II Session: 15-16 2019
 Course Code: ME533 Course Title: Automatic Control
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 9523125843 E-mail: arun_udai@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments: NO

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Arun Dayal Udal Designation: Ast. Prof
 Department: Mechanical Programme: ME-Design
 Semester: II Session: S8 2019
 Course Code: ME537 Course Title: Robotics Lab.
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 9523125843 E-mail: arun_udal@bitmera.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

Such combination of experiment
are rare in many universities.

.....

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dipti Prasad Mishra Designation: Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.Tech
 Semester: III Session: MO (14-16) and MO -18
 Course Code: ME 3001 Course Title Thermodynamics
 Number of times taught: Four times
 Mobile: 7250582643 E-mail: dpmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

NIL.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dipti Prasad Mishra Designation: Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: M.Tech (Heat Power Engg.)
 Semester: II Session: SP -19
 Course Code: ME 571 Course Title: Convective Heat & Mass Transfer
 Number of times taught: One time
 Mobile: 7250582643 E-mail: dpmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

NIL.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dipti Prasad Mishra Designation: Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: M.Tech (Heat Power Engg.)
 Semester: I & II Session: SP-18 and MO -18
 Course Code: MME 2001 & ME 561 Course Title Adv. Thermodynamics & CST (CBCS)
 Number of times taught: Two times
 Mobile: 7250582643 E-mail: dpmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

NIL.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dipti Prasad Mishra Designation: Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.Tech
 Semester: I Session: MO 14-18
 Course Code: ME 1202 & ME 102 Course Title: Engineering Graphics
 Number of times taught: Five times
 Mobile: 7250582643 E-mail: dpmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

NIL.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dipti Prasad Mishra Designation: Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: M.Tech (HPE)
 Semester: II Session: SP 15-17
 Course Code: MME 2004 Course Title Heat Transfer Lab.
 Number of times taught: Three times
 Mobile: 7250582643 E-mail: dpmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. Some experiments were from UG level. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes. Experiments are designed with updated syllabus level of PG students which also matched with the present industrial/academic requirements.

Any other specific comments:

NIL.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dipti Prasad Mishra Designation: Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.Tech
Semester: VI Session: SP.17
Course Code: ME 6003 Course Title: Heat and Mass Transfer
Number of times taught: One time
Mobile: 7250582643 E-mail: dpmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

NIL.....
.....
.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dipti Prasad Mishra Designation: Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.Tech
 Semester: IV Session: SP 17-18
 Course Code: ME 4001 Course Title: Heat Power Conversion
 Number of times taught: Two times
 Mobile: 7250582643 E-mail: dpmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. The course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

NIL.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dipti Prasad Mishra Designation: Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.Tech
 Semester: V Session: MO 17-18
 Course Code: ME 5002 Course Title: I C Engines Lab
 Number of times taught: Two times
 Mobile: 7250582643 E-mail: dpmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. The course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes. New experiments have been inducted in the syllabus as per the industrial/academic requirements.

Any other specific comments:

NIL.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dipti Prasad Mishra Designation: Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.Tech
 Semester: V Session: MO 17
 Course Code: ME 5001 Course Title Internal Combustion Engines & Gas Turbine
 Number of times taught: One time
 Mobile: 7250582643 E-mail: dpmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes. Also many new aspects of hybrid engines have been added in the syllabus.

Any other specific comments:

NIL.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dipti Prasad Mishra Designation: Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.Tech
 Semester: II Session: SP 15-16
 Course Code: ME 2001 Course Title Principles of Mechanical Engineering
 Number of times taught: Two times
 Mobile: 7250582643 E-mail: dpmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. The course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The course was obsolete and has been taken out from the new modified syllabus structure effective from MO-2018.

Any other specific comments:

NIL.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. D. Mahto Designation Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Programme : B.E.

Semester: VII Session: Mo 14- Mo19

Course Code: ME 7331 Course Title: Refrigeration and air conditioning

Number of times taught: 05

Mobile: 07667710866 E-mail: dmahto@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Lecture allotment of each module should be appropriate. Module 7 is not justify in this course. Total module 7 may be converted in only 5 modules. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018, where the total number of modules are 5; objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. D. Mahto

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical

Programme : B.E.

Semester: III

Session: Mo-15, MO17

Course Code: ME 3001 Course

Title: Thermodynamics

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 07667710866

E-mail: dmahto@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Lecture allotment of each module should be appropriate. Module 6 contents should merge in module 2 and 5. Total module 7 may be converted in only 5 modules.

Any other specific comments:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. D. Mahto

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical

Programme : ME.

Semester: III

Session: Mo-15, MO 16

Course Code: MME 1011

Course Title: Theory and Design of IC engine

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 07667710866

E-mail: dmahto@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Content in module VI and VII are lengthy.

Any other specific comments:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. D. Mahto

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical

Programme : M.E

Semester: VII

Session: Mo-15, to MO17

Course Code: MME 2013

Course Title: Refrigeration and air conditioning

Number of times taught: 04

Mobile: 07667710866

E-mail: dmahto@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Total module 7 may be converted in only 5 modules. From Mo-18 syllabus has been revised and all CO's are mapped with PO's.

Any other specific comments:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. D. Mahto Designation Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Programme : B.E.

Semester: IV Session: Sp-18

Course Code: ME 4001 Course Title: Heat power conversion

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 07667710866 E-mail: dmahto@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. Total module 7 may be converted in only 5 modules. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018, where the total number of modules is 5; objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. D. Mahto

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical

Programme : B.E.

Semester: IV

Session: Mo-14, MO17

Course Code: ME 5002

Course Title: IC Engine lab

Number of times taught: 04

Mobile: 07667710866

E-mail: dmahto@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Need to set up a new modern machine for research purpose. From MO18 syllabus has been revised and CO's and PO's have been matched.

Any other specific comments:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. D. Mahto Designation Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Programme : B.E.

Semester: VIII Session: Sp-14

Course Code: ME 7029 Course Title: Power plant Engineering

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 07667710866 E-mail: dmahto@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. Total module 7 may be converted in only 5 modules. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018, where the total number of modules is 5; objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. D. Mahto

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical

Programme : ME.

Semester: I

Session: Mo-15, MO 16

Course Code: MME 1011

Course Title: Theory and Design of IC engine

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 07667710866

E-mail: dmahto@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Content in module VI and VII are lengthy .

Any other specific comments:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. D. Mahto

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical

Programme : B.E.

Semester: II

Session: Mo-14, SP 15, MO 15, SP17, MO17

Course Code: ME 1202

Course Title: Engg. Graphics

Number of times taught: 04

Mobile: 07667710866

E-mail: dmahto@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Lecture allotment of each module should be appropriate. Syllabus is too lengthy. There should be provision to draw the drawing in AUTO Cad also. From MO 18 course has been modified and all CO and PO's are mapped to each other. From MO 18 in revised syllabus there are also a provision to make drawing in AUTO CAD.

Any other specific comments:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KAUSHIK KUMAR

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B Tech (CBCS)

Semester: 1st and 2nd

Session: MO-18

Course Code: ME101

Course Title: Basics of Mechanical Engineering

Number of times taught: Once

Mobile: 9431597463

E-mail: kkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

This course has been revised as per CBCS effective from MO-18.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KAUSHIK KUMAR

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B. Tech

Semester: 3rd

Session: MO-14 to17

Course Code:

Course Title: Mechanical Engineering Lab

Number of times taught: 4 times

Mobile: 9431597463

E-mail: kkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

YES

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

The course was designed in old pattern. The revision of this course has been done effective from MO-18(CBCS). Still require more industrial aspects as compared to usual academic approach.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KAUSHIK KUMAR

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B. Tech

Semester: 1st and 2nd

Session: MO-14 to 17

Course Code: ME1202

Course Title: Engineering Graphics Lab

Number of times taught: 4 times

Mobile: 9431597463

E-mail: kkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

The course was designed in old pattern. The revision of this course has been done effective from MO-18(CBCS). Still require more industrial aspects as compared to usual academic approach.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KAUSHIK KUMAR

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B Tech (CBCS)

Semester: 1st and 2nd

Session: MO-18

Course Code: ME101

Course Title: Basics of Mechanical Engineering

Number of times taught: Once

Mobile: 9431597463

E-mail: kkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

This course has been revised as per CBCS effective from MO-18.


Any other specific comments:

NIL

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KAUSHIK KUMAR

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M Tech

Semester: 2nd

Session: MO-14 to17

Course Code: MME 2075

Course Title: Engineering Design Methodology

Number of times taught: 4 times

Mobile: 9431597463

E-mail: kkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

The course was designed in old pattern. The revision of this course has been done effective from MO-18(CBCS). Still require more industrial aspects as compared to usual academic approach.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KAUSHIK KUMAR

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B. Tech

Semester: 5th

Session: MO-14 to17

Course Code: ME 5006

Course Title: CADD Lab

Number of times taught: 4 times

Mobile: 9431597463

E-mail: kkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

The course was designed in old pattern. The revision of this course has been done effective from MO-18(CBCS). Still require more industrial aspects as compared to usual academic approach.

Any other specific comments:

NIL

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: 7th

Session: MO/2018

Course Code: ME7323

Course Title: FEA

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9431115088

E-mail: lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: 2nd

Session: SP/2018

Course Code: AM1201

Course Title: Engineering Mechanics

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9431115088

E-mail: lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M.E.

Semester: 1st

Session: MO/2017

Course Code: MCD1003

Course Title: Engineering Elasticity & Plasticity

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9431115088

E-mail: lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M.E.

Semester: 2nd

Session: SP/2018

Course Code: MCD2001

Course Title: Finite Element Method in Solids & Structures

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9431115088

E-mail: lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M. Tech.

Semester: 1st

Session: MO/2018

Course Code: ME504

Course Title: CFD

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9431115088

E-mail: lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M.E.

Semester: 2nd

Session: MO/2018

Course Code: ME511

Course Title: FEA

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9431115088

E-mail: lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M. Tech.

Semester: 2nd

Session: SP/2019

Course Code: ME535

Course Title: FEA

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9431115088

E-mail: lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: 3rd

Session: MO/2017

Course Code: ME1202

Course Title: Engg. Graphics

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9431115088

E-mail: lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: 3rd

Session: MO/2017

Course Code: ME3002

Course Title: Fluid Mechanics Lab

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9431115088

E-mail: lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: 4th

Session: SP/2018, SP/2019

Course Code: ME4004

Course Title: Mechanical Engg. Lab.

Number of times taught: 03

Mobile: 9431115088

E-mail: lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Lakhbir Singh Brar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: 5th

Session: MO/2018

Course Code: ME5006

Course Title: CADD Lab.

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9431115088

E-mail: lakhbir.bitmesra@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .Dr. Mukesh Sharma Designation : Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: M Tech
 Semester: Seventh Session: Monsoon
 Course Code: ME 567 Course Title: Safety Aspects of Nuclear Power plans
 Number of times taught: Two
 Mobile: 8755330355. E-mail: mukesh7684.iitkgp@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

NIL.....

Any other specific comments:

NIL.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .Dr. Mukesh Sharma..... Designation : Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.

Semester: Fourth Session: Spring

Course Code: ME 4001 Course Title: Heat Power Conversion

Number of times taught: One

Mobile: 8755330355. E-mail: mukesh7684.iitkgp@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO


NIL.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

NIL.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .Dr. Mukesh Sharma..... Designation : Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.

Semester: Seventh Session: Monsoon

Course Code: ME 7033 Course Title: Power Plant Engineering

Number of times taught: Two

Mobile: 8755330355. E-mail: mukesh7684.iitkgp@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Module 1 should be revised.

Module 2 is too lengthy.

.....

Any other specific comments:

NIL.....

S. Sharma

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M.E

Semester: II

Session: SP-19

Course Code: ME553

Course Title: Economics & Planning of Energy System

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 943138292

E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
 Semester: I Session: MO (14-17)
 Course Code: ME1202 Course Title: Engineering Graphics
 Number of times taught: 4
 Mobile: 943138292 E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M.E

Semester: I

Session: MO-18

Course Code: ME543

Course Title: Energy Conversion System

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 943138292

E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
 Semester: V Session: MO-17
 Course Code: ME5004 Course Title: Energy Engg. Lab
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 943138292 E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
 Semester: III Session: MO (14-18)
 Course Code: ME3002 Course Title: Fluid Mechanics Lab
 Number of times taught: 5
 Mobile: 943138292 E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
 Semester: III Session: MO (14-18)
 Course Code: ME3003 Course Title: FM & Hydraulics
 Number of times taught: 4
 Mobile: 943138292 E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M.E.

Semester: I

Session: MO (16-17)

Course Code: TET1001

Course Title: Foundation for Energy Engg.

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 943138292

E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
 Semester: IV Session: SP (15, 17, 19)
 Course Code: ME4006 Course Title: Non-Conventional Energy Lab
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 943138292 E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: All
 Semester: III, IV Session: SP (16-19), MO (16-18)
 Course Code: GA4004 Course Title: National Service Scheme
 Number of times taught: 7
 Mobile: 943138292 E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
 Semester: II Session: SP (15, 16, 18)
 Course Code: ME2001 Course Title: Principle of Mechanical Engg.
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 943138292 E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M. E.

Semester: II

Session: SP-18

Course Code: TET2014

Course Title: SPV system Design Course Work

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 943138292

E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M.E

Semester: II

Session: SP-19

Course Code: ME553

Course Title: Economics & Planning of Energy System

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 943138292

E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
 Semester: I Session: MO (14-17)
 Course Code: ME1202 Course Title: Engineering Graphics
 Number of times taught: 4
 Mobile: 943138292 E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M.E

Semester: I

Session: MO-18

Course Code: ME543

Course Title: Energy Conversion System

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 943138292

E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
 Semester: V Session: MO-17
 Course Code: ME5004 Course Title: Energy Engg. Lab
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 943138292 E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
 Semester: III Session: MO (14-18)
 Course Code: ME3002 Course Title: Fluid Mechanics Lab
 Number of times taught: 5
 Mobile: 943138292 E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
 Semester: III Session: MO (14-18)
 Course Code: ME3003 Course Title: FM & Hydraulics
 Number of times taught: 5
 Mobile: 943138292 E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M.E.

Semester: I

Session: MO (16-17)

Course Code: TET1001

Course Title: Foundation for Energy Engg.

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 943138292

E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
 Semester: IV Session: SP (15, 17, 19)
 Course Code: ME4006 Course Title: Non-Conventional Energy Lab
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 943138292 E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: All
 Semester: III, IV Session: SP (16-19), MO (16-18)
 Course Code: GA4004 Course Title: National Service Scheme
 Number of times taught: 7
 Mobile: 943138292 E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
 Semester: II Session: SP (15, 16, 18)
 Course Code: ME2001 Course Title: Principle of Mechanical Engg.
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 943138292 E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M. E.

Semester: II

Session: SP-18

Course Code: TET2014

Course Title: SPV system Design Course Work

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 943138292

E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: M.E.

Semester: II Session: SP (15-19)

Course Code: TET2003 Course Title: Waste to Energy

Number of times taught: 5

Mobile: 943138292 E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash Pandey Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: M.E.

Semester: II Session: SP (15-19)

Course Code: TET2003 Course Title: Waste to Energy

Number of times taught: 5

Mobile: 943138292 E-mail: oppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: I.....Session:...MO 15

Course Code: AM 1201...Course Title: Engineering Mechanics

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NO



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: III.....Session:...MO 15, MO18

Course Code: AM 2202...Course Title: Engineering Mechanics Lab

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NO



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: III.....Session: MO18

Course Code: ME 3008...Course Title: SOM Lab

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NO



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: I.....Session:...MO 15, SP 16, MO 17, MO 18, SP19

Course Code: ME 1202/ME 102...Course Title: Engineering Graphics Lab

Number of times taught: 05

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

NO



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech
Semester: V.....Session:...MO 15, MO16
Course Code: ME 1202...Course Title: I.C. Engine Lab
Number of times taught: 02
Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NO



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: IV.....Session:...SP 16, SP17, SP 18, SP 19

Course Code: ME 4005...Course Title: Non conventional Energy

Number of times taught: 04

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

NO



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: IV.....Session:...SP 16, SP17, SP18

Course Code: ME 4006...Course Title: Non conventional Energy lab

Number of times taught: 03

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NO



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: IV.....Session:....SP 16, SP17

Course Code: ME 4006...Course Title: Non conventional Energy lab

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NO



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: IV.....Session:....SP 16, SP17

Course Code: ME 4006...Course Title: Non conventional Energy lab

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NO



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: V.....Session:...MO17

Course Code: ME 5004...Course Title: Energy Engineering lab

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NO



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: VII.....Session:...MO 16

Course Code: ME 7331...Course Title: Refrigeration and Air-Conditioning

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

NO



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech

Semester: III.....Session:...MO 17

Course Code: ME 3001...Course Title: Thermodynamics

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

NO



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: B.Tech
Semester: V.....Session:...MO 18
Course Code: ME 5004...Course Title: Energy Engineering lab
Number of times taught: 01
Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NO



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: M.Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: II

Session: SP 16, SP17, SP 18

Course Code: TET 2007, Course Title: Advance Energy System

Number of times taught: 03

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NIL



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: M.Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: II.....

Session: SP 16, SP17

Course Code: TET 2008, Course Title: Energy Auditing Lab

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NIL



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: M.Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: I

Session: MO 16, MO 17

Course Code: TET 1009, Course Title: Wind Energy Conversion System

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NIL



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: M.Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: I

Session: MO 16, MO 17

Course Code: TET 1003, Course Title: Non conventional Energy lab

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NIL



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: M.Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: I

Session: SP 18

Course Code: TET 2008, Course Title: EADE System

Number of times taught: 0

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NIL



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: M.Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: I

Session: MO 18

Course Code: TET 2008, Course Title: EADE System

Number of times taught: 0

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined.

Any other specific comments:

NIL



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: M.Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: I

Session: MO 18

Course Code: ME 545, Course Title: Solar passive Architecture

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

NIL

Any other specific comments:

NIL



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Om Prakash . Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering, Programme: M.Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: II

Session: MO 18

Course Code: ME 555, Course Title: EST

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9546219256.....E-mail: omprakash@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

NIL

Any other specific comments:

NIL



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M. Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: II

Session: SP/19

Course Code: ME558

Course Title: Energy Lab-II

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

No



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: BE

Semester: IV

Session: SP/15, SP/16, SP/19

Course Code: ME4005

Course Title: Non-Conventional Energy

Number of times taught: 03

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M. Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: II

Session: SP/19

Course Code: ME 554

Course Title: Energy Management and Auditing

Number of times taught:01

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

No



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: ME (Heat Power)

Semester: I

Session: MO/14, MO/15

Course Code: MME 1002

Course Title: Fluid Mechanics Lab

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical

Programme: BE

Semester: IV

Session: SP/15, SP/16, SP/17, SP/18, SP/19

Course Code: ME4006

Course Title: NCE Lab

Number of times taught: 05

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: BE

Semester: III

Session: MO/14,15,16,17,18

Course Code: ME 3003

Course Title: Fluid Mechanics and Hydraulics

Number of times taught: 05

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M. Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: I

Session: MO/18

Course Code: ME 541

Course Title: Renewable Source of Energy

Number of times taught:01

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

No

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M. Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: I

Session: MO/18

Course Code: ME 547

Course Title: Energy Lab-I

Number of times taught:01

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....
.....

Any other specific comments:

No

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: BE

Semester: III

Session: MO/14,15,16,17,18

Course Code: ME 3002

Course Title: Fluid Mechanics Lab

Number of times taught: 05

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: BE

Semester: II

Session: SP/15,16,17,18

Course Code: ME 2001

Course Title: POME.

Number of times taught:04

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M. Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: II

Session: SP/18

Course Code: TET 2005

Course Title: Energy Management

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: ME (Heat Power)

Semester: II

Session: SP/15,16,17,18

Course Code: MME 2002

Course Title: Solar Energy Lab

Number of times taught: 04

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M. Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: I

Session: MO/14,15,16,17

Course Code: TET 1007

Course Title: Solar Energy Technology

Number of times taught: 04

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Energy

Programme: PG (All Branch)

Semester: VII (IMSC and ALL)

Session: MO/14,15,16,17

Course Code: TET1007

Course Title: Solar Energy Technology

Number of times taught: 04

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions.

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M. Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: I

Session: MO/14,16,17

Course Code: TET 1004

Course Title: Elective course work (S.E.T)

Number of times taught: 03

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M. Tech (Energy Technology)

Semester: II

Session: SP/17

Course Code: TET 2005

Course Title: Energy Management Tutorials

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions.

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: BE

Semester: IV

Session: SP/17

Course Code: ME4004

Course Title: Mechanical Engineering Lab

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

.....

Any other specific comments:

No



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: BE

Semester: I

Session: MO/14,15

Course Code: ME 1202

Course Title: Engineering Graphics

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

No

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: ME (Heat Power)

Semester: I

Session: MO/14

Course Code: MME 1003

Course Title: Heat and Mass Transfer

Number of times taught:01

Mobile: 9431327060

E-mail: pmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

.....

Any other specific comments:

No

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Paritosh Mahata

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: Bachelor of Engineering

Semester: V

Session: MO/16

Course Code: ME5005

Course Title: Design of Machine Elements

Number of times taught: One

Mobile: (+91)7607487861 E-mail: pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course is designed based on old syllabus pattern. Here, the course outcome (CO) and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments: NIL

.....

Paritosh Mahata

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Paritosh Mahata

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: Bachelor of Engineering

Semester: I

Session: MO/16 and MO/17

Course Code: ME1202

Course Title: Engineering Graphics

Number of times taught: Two

Mobile: (+91)7607487861 E-mail: pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course is designed based on old syllabus pattern. Here, the course outcome (CO) and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments: NIL

.....

Paritosh Mahata

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Paritosh Mahata

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: Bachelor of Engineering

Semester: III

Session: MO/16

Course Code: ME3006

Course Title: Engineering Measurement Lab

Number of times taught: One

Mobile: (+91)7607487861 E-mail: pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
25.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
26.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
27.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
28.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
29.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
30.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
31.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
32.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
33.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
34.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
35.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
36.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course is designed based on old syllabus pattern. Here, the course outcome (CO) and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Paritosh Mahata

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Paritosh Mahata

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: Bachelor of Engineering

Semester: III

Session: MO/16

Course Code: ME3002

Course Title: Fluid Mechanics Lab

Number of times taught: One

Mobile: (+91)7607487861 E-mail: pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
37.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
38.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
39.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
40.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
41.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
42.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
43.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
44.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
45.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
46.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
47.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
48.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course is designed based on old syllabus pattern. Here, the course outcome (CO) and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Paritosh Mahata

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Paritosh Mahata

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: Bachelor of Engineering

Semester: VI

Session: SP/17 and SP/19

Course Code: ME6006

Course Title: Dynamics of Machines Lab

Number of times taught: Two

Mobile: (+91)7607487861 E-mail: pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
49.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
50.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
51.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
52.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
53.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
54.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
55.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
56.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
57.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
58.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
59.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
60.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course is designed based on old syllabus pattern. Here, the course outcome (CO) and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Paritosh Mahata

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Paritosh Mahata

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: Bachelor of Engineering

Semester: III

Session: MO/17

Course Code: ME3005

Course Title: Mechanics of Solids

Number of times taught: One

Mobile: (+91)7607487861 E-mail: pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
61.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
62.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
63.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
64.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
65.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
66.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
67.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
68.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
69.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
70.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
71.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
72.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course is designed based on old syllabus pattern. Here, the course outcome (CO) and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Paritosh Mahata

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Paritosh Mahata

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: Bachelor of Engineering

Semester: VI

Session: SP/18 and SP/19

Course Code: ME6007

Course Title: Design of Mechanical Systems

Number of times taught: Two

Mobile: (+91)7607487861 E-mail: pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
73.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
74.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
75.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
76.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
77.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
78.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
79.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
80.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
81.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
82.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
83.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
84.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course is designed based on old syllabus pattern. Here, the course outcome (CO) and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Paritosh Mahata

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Paritosh Mahata

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: Bachelor of Engineering

Semester: III

Session: MO/18

Course Code: ME3004

Course Title: Mechanics of Solids Lab

Number of times taught: One

Mobile: (+91)7607487861 E-mail: pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
85.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
86.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
87.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
88.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
89.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
90.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
91.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
92.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
93.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
94.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
95.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
96.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course is designed based on old syllabus pattern. Here, the course outcome (CO) and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Paritosh Mahata

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Paritosh Mahata

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: Master of Technology

Semester: II

Session: SP/19

Course Code: ME531

Course Title: Theory of Elasticity

Number of times taught: One

Mobile: (+91)7607487861 E-mail: pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
97.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
98.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
99.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
100.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
101.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
102.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
103.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
104.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
105.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
106.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
107.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
108.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

Any other specific comments: NIL

.....

.....

.....

.....

Paritosh Mahata

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Paritosh Mahata

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: Bachelor of Engineering

Semester: V

Session: MO/19

Course Code: ME5003

Course Title: Kinematics and Kinetics of Machines

Number of times taught: One

Mobile: (+91)7607487861 E-mail: pmahata@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
109.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
110.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
111.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
112.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
113.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
114.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
115.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
116.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
117.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
118.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
119.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
120.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course is designed based on old syllabus pattern. Here, the course outcome (CO) and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Paritosh Mahata

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar

Designation –Associate Professor

Department: ...Mechanical Engineering. Programme: ...BE

Semester: ...IV

. Session: SP/16 and SP/17

Course Code: ME4006

Course Title:- NCE Lab

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 9431382591

E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments:

NA.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar Designation –Associate Professor
Department: ...Mechanical Engineering. Programme: ...B.Tech
Semester: ...First semester . Session: Mo/18 and SP/19
Course Code: ME101 Course Title:- Basics of Mechanical Engineering
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 9431382591 E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments:

NA.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar

Designation –Associate Professor

Department: ...Mechanical Engineering. Programme: ...PG

Semester: ...First semester

. Session: MO/15 to MO/17

Course Code: MME1053

Course Title:- Dynamics of Machines

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 9431382591

E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

no

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments:

NA.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar

Designation –Associate Professor

Department: ...Mechanical Engineering. Programme: ...PG

Semester: ...First semester

. Session: MO/15 to MO/17

Course Code: MME1054

Course Title:- Dynamics of Machines Laboratory

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 9431382591

E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments:

NA.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar

Designation –Associate Professor

Department: ...Mechanical Engineering. Programme: ...BE

Semester: ...I

. Session: Mo/14 to Mo/16

Course Code: ME1202

Course Title:- Engg. Graphics

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 9431382591

E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments:

NA.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar

Designation –Associate Professor

Department: ...Mechanical Engineering. Programme: ...BE

Semester: ...III

. Session: MO/15 to MO/17

Course Code: ME3006

Course Title:- Engg. Measurement lab Lab

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 9431382591

E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

.....

Any other specific comments:

NA.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar

Designation –Associate Professor

Department: ...Mechanical Engineering. Programme: ...BE

Semester: ...Fifth semester

. Session: MO/14 to MO/17

Course Code: ME5003 Course Title:- Kinematics and Kinetics of Machines

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 9431382591

E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

no

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments:

NA.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar

Designation –Associate Professor

Department: ...Mechanical Engineering. Programme: ...BE

Semester: ...Fourth semester

. Session: SP/17 and SP/18

Course Code: ME4003

Course Title:- Mechanics of Materials

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 9431382591

E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

NA.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rajeev Kumar Designation –Associate Professor
 Department: ...Mechanical Engineering. Programme: ...BE
 Semester: ...Third semester . Session: Mo/17
 Course Code: ME3005 Course Title:- Mechanics of Solids
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 9431382591 E-mail: rajeevkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

no

.....

Any other specific comments:

NA.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr R. P. Sharma

Designation: Professor

Department: Mechanical Engg.

Programme: UG

Semester: First

Session: , MO:15,16,17, 18

Course Code: ME1202

Course Title Engineering graphics

Number of times taught: 04

Mobile: 9430703061

E-mail: rpsarmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	03
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

One project related to industry must be included as additional assignment to students.

Any other specific comments:

.....


(RABINDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr R. P. Sharma

Designation: Professor

Department: Mechanical Engg.

Programme: PG

Semester: First

Session: MO 15,16

Course Code: MME 1003

Course Title : Heat and Mass Transfer

Number of times taught: Two

Mobile: 9430703061

E-mail: rpsharmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	03
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

EPS solver may be included in course

Any other specific comments:

.....



(RABINDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr R. P. Sharma

Designation: Professor

Department: Mechanical Engg.

Programme: UG

Semester: Third

Session: MO 16

Course Code: ME 3006

Course Title : Engineering Measurement Lab

Number of times taught: one

Mobile: 9430703061

E-mail: rpsharmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	03
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

.....



(RABINENDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr R. P. Sharma

Designation: Professor

Department: Mechanical Engg.

Programme: UG

Semester: Fifth

Session: MO 17

Course Code: ME 5003

Course Title : Heat and Mass Transfer

Number of times taught: one

Mobile: 9430703061

E-mail: rpsharmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	03
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:


(RABINDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr R. P. Sharma

Designation: Professor

Department: Mechanical Engg.

Programme: UG

Semester: Fifth

Session: MO 17,18

Course Code: ME 5002

Course Title : I,C.Engines Lab

Number of times taught: Two

Mobile: 9430703061

E-mail: rpsarmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	03
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:


(RABINDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr R. P. Sharma

Designation: Professor

Department: Mechanical Engg.

Programme: PG

Semester: First

Session: MO 18,19

Course Code: ME 563

Course Title : Conduction and Radiation Heat Transfer

Number of times taught: Two

Mobile: 9430703061

E-mail: rpsarmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	03
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:


(RABINDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr R. P. Sharma

Designation: Professor

Department: Mechanical Engg.

Programme: UG

Semester: First , Second

Session: MO 18 , SP 19

Course Code: ME 102

Course Title : Engineering Graphics

Number of times taught: Two

Mobile: 9430703061

E-mail: rpsharmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	03
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:


(RABINDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr R. P. Sharma

Designation: Professor

Department: Mechanical Engg.

Programme: PG

Semester: Second

Session: SP-19

Course Code: ME 573

Course Title : Design of Thermal Systems

Number of times taught: One

Mobile: 9430703061

E-mail: rpsharmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	03
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:


(RABINDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr R. P. Sharma

Designation: Professor

Department: Mechanical Engg.

Programme: UG

Semester: Sixth

Session: SP-16,17,18

Course Code: ME 6004

Course Title : Heat Transfer Lab

Number of times taught: Three

Mobile: 9430703061

E-mail: rpsharmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	03
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:


(RABINDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr R. P. Sharma

Designation: Professor

Department: Mechanical Engg.

Programme: PG

Semester: Second

Session: SP-15, 16,17

Course Code: M M E 6004

Course Title : Advanced Thermodynamics

Number of times taught: Three

Mobile: 9430703061

E-mail: rpsharmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	03
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:


(RABINDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr R. P. Sharma

Designation: Professor

Department: Mechanical Engg.

Programme: UG

Semester: Sixth

Session: SP-16,17

Course Code: M E 6003

Course Title : Heat and Mass Transfer

Number of times taught: Two

Mobile: 9430703061

E-mail: rpsarmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	03
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:


(RABINDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr R. P. Sharma

Designation: Professor

Department: Mechanical Engg.

Programme: PG

Semester: Second

Session: SP-18

Course Code: MME 2011

Course Title : Gas Turbine & Jet Propulsion

Number of times taught: One

Mobile: 9430703061

E-mail: rpsarmabit123@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	03
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	03
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:


(RABINDRA PRASAD SHARMA)

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ratnesh Kumar Designation Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B. Tech.
 Semester: III Session: MO 18
 Course Code: ME 3005 Course Title: Mechanics of Solids
 Number of times taught: One Time
 Mobile: 7549023950 E-mail: ratan_876@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ratnesh Kumar

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B. Tech.

Semester: VI

Session: SP 19

Course Code: ME 6006

Course Title: Dynamics of machines Lab

Number of times taught: One Time

Mobile: 7549023950

E-mail: ratan_876@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ratnesh Kumar Designation Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B. Tech.
 Semester: VI Session: SP 19
 Course Code: ME 6005 Course Title: Dynamics of machines
 Number of times taught: One Time
 Mobile: 7549023950 E-mail: ratan_876@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

For problem discussion more time has to be given in the syllabus. For this some theory part has to be reduced.

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ratnesh Kumar Designation Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B. Tech.
 Semester: I & II Session: MO 18 & SP 19
 Course Code: ME 1202 Course Title: Engineering Graphics
 Number of times taught: Two times
 Mobile: 7549023950 E-mail: ratan_876@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	2
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ratnesh Kumar Designation Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B. Tech.
 Semester: IV Session: SP 19
 Course Code: ME 4004 Course Title: Mechanical Engineering Lab
 Number of times taught: One Time
 Mobile: 7549023950 E-mail: ratan_876@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ratnesh Kumar Designation Assistant Professor
 Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B. Tech.
 Semester: III Session: MO 18
 Course Code: ME 3004 Course Title: Mechanics of Solids Lab
 Number of times taught: One Time
 Mobile: 7549023950 E-mail: ratan_876@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
Semester: 2nd Semester Session: SP 15, SP-16
Course Code: AM 1201 Course Title: Engineering Mechanics
Number of times taught: Two times
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
Semester: 1st Semester Session: MO (14-16)
Course Code: AM 1101 Course Title: Engineering Mechanics
Number of times taught: Three times
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
Semester: 2nd Semester Session: SP 17, SP-18
Course Code: ME 2001 Course Title: Principle of Mechanical Engineering
Number of times taught: Two times
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
Semester: 7th Semester Session: MO 16
Course Code: ME 7327 Course Title: Mechanical Vibration
Number of times taught: One time
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
Semester: 3rd Semester Session: MO (14-15)
Course Code: ME 3006 Course Title: Engineering Measurement Lab
Number of times taught: Two times
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
Semester: 5th Semester Session: MO (17-18)
Course Code: ME 5006 Course Title: CADD Lab
Number of times taught: Two times
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
Semester: 3rd Semester Session: MO (16-17)
Course Code: ME 3004 Course Title: Mechanics of Solid Lab
Number of times taught: Two times
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
Semester: 3rd Semester Session: MO (14-17)
Course Code: AM 1202 Course Title: Engineering Mechanics Lab
Number of times taught: Four times
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
Semester: 6th Semester Session: SP (18-19)
Course Code: ME 6006 Course Title: Dynamics of Machine Lab
Number of times taught: Two times
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: B.E.
Semester: 4th Semester Session: SP 19
Course Code: ME 4004 Course Title: Mechanical Engineering Lab
Number of times taught: One time
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: M.E.
Semester: 2nd Semester Session: SP (17-18)
Course Code: MME 2073 Course Title: Computer Aided Design of
Number of times taught: Two times Mechanical System
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: M.E.
Semester: 2nd Semester Session: SP 15
Course Code: MCD 2003 Course Title: Computer Aided Design
Number of times taught: One time
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: M.E.
Semester: 2nd Semester Session: SP (15,16,18)
Course Code: MCD 2002 Course Title: Computer Aided Analysis & Design
Number of times taught: Three times Lab II
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: M.E.
Semester: 2nd Semester Session: SP 17
Course Code: MME 2074 Course Title: CAD Lab
Number of times taught: One time
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: M.E.
Semester: 1st Semester Session: MO (14,15)
Course Code: MCD 1016 Course Title: Computer Programming &
Number of times taught: Two times Software Lab
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course was designed on old syllabus pattern. Here the course outcome and objectives are not properly defined. The syllabus has been revised with effect from MO-2018 where the objectives and outcomes are clearly defined and appropriately mapped with program outcomes.

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: M.Tech.
Semester: 1st Semester Session: MO 18
Course Code: ME 503 Course Title: Advanced Stress Analysis
Number of times taught: One-time
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: M.Tech.
Semester: 1st Semester Session: MO 18
Course Code: ME 528 Course Title: Advanced Solid Mechanics and
Number of times taught: One-time Vibration Lab
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: M.Tech.
Semester: 2nd Semester Session: SP 19
Course Code: ME 510 Course Title: Advanced Vibration and Simulation
Number of times taught: One-time
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sankha Bhaduri Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Mechanical Engineering Programme: M.Tech.
Semester: 1st Semester Session: SP 19
Course Code: ME 517 Course Title: Finite Element Analysis Lab
Number of times taught: One-time
Mobile: 9934371393 E-mail: sbhaduri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

N.A.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S. K. Dhiman.

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering Department Programme: UG

Semester: 5th

Session: MO-17to MO18

Course Code: ME5001

Course Title: I.C. Engines and Gas Turbines

Number of times taught: 2 times

Mobile: 9430734803

E-mail: skdhiman@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Introductory Module-1 should not have basic discussions of engines and cycles as they are already covered in Principles of Mechanical Engineering in Semester-1 and Thermodynamics in semester 3.

Any other specific comments:

No specific comments.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S. K. Dhiman.

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering Department Programme: UG

Semester: 6th

Session: MO-14 to MO18

Course Code: ME6003

Course Title: Heat and Mass Transfer.

Number of times taught: 4 times

Mobile: 9430734803

E-mail: skdhiman@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Syllabus is very lengthy and huge numerical are supposed to be discussed, so it may be divided into two parts viz. (i) Conduction & Radiation Heat Transfer and (ii) Convection Heat & Mass Transfer

Any other specific comments:

No specific comments.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: Vth

Session: MO 16, MO 18, MO 2019

Course Code: ME 5005

Course Title: Design of Machine Elements

Number of times taught: 3 times

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M. Tech. (CAAD)

Semester: 1st

Session: MO 2019

Course Code: MCD 1003

Course Title: Advanced CAD

Number of times taught: 1st time

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: Vth

Session: MO 16, MO 2019

Course Code: ME 5006

Course Title: CADD Lab

Number of times taught: 2 times

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Production Engineering

Programme: B.Tech.

Semester: 3rd

Session: MO 2019

Course Code: ME 4004

Course Title: Mechanical Engg Lab I

Number of times taught: 1st times

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: VI th

Session: SP 2019

Course Code: ME 6007

Course Title: Design of Mechanical system

Number of times taught: 1st time

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: VIth

Session: SP 2019

Course Code: ME 6006

Course Title: Dynamics of Machines Lab

Number of times taught: 1st times

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M.Tech.

Semester: 1st

Session: SP 2019

Course Code:

Course Title: Adv CAD & RE Lab

Number of times taught: 1st time

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: III rd

Session: MO 16, MO 2018

Course Code: ME 3004

Course Title: MOS Lab

Number of times taught: 2nd times

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M. Tech. (CAAD)

Semester: 1st

Session: MO 2018

Course Code: MCD 2003

Course Title: Adv. CAD

Number of times taught: 1st time

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

B. Mishra

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M. Tech. (CAAD)

Semester: 1st

Session: SP 17, MO 2018

Course Code: MCD 1002

Course Title: CAAD Lab

Number of times taught: 2nd time

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....
.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments:

.....
.....
.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Production Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: IVth

Session: SP 2018

Course Code: ME 4009

Course Title: Design of Machine Elements

Number of times taught: 1st time

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Production Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: IVth

Session: SP 2018

Course Code: ME 4008

Course Title: Machine Design sessional

Number of times taught: 1st time

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: M.E. (CAAD)

Semester: 2nd

Session: SP 2018

Course Code: MCD 2003

Course Title: CAD

Number of times taught: 2 times

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: 1st

Session: MO 2017

Course Code: ME 1201

Course Title: Engg. Mechanics

Number of times taught: 2nd times

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

B. Mishra

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: Vth

Session: MO 2017

Course Code: ME 5003

Course Title: KKOM

Number of times taught: 1st times

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....
.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments:

.....
.....
.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: 2nd

Session: MO 2017

Course Code: AM 2202

Course Title: Engg Mechanics Lab

Number of times taught: 3 times

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Production Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: IVth

Session: SP 2017

Course Code: ME 4007

Course Title: Theory of Machines

Number of times taught: 1st time

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: IVth

Session: SP 2017

Course Code: ME 4004

Course Title: Mechanical Engg Lab

Number of times taught: 1st time

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: IInd

Session: SP 2017

Course Code: AM 2202

Course Title: Engg Mechanics Lab

Number of times taught: 1st time

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: 1st

Session: MO 2016

Course Code: ME 1202

Course Title: Engg. Graphics Theory

Number of times taught: 1st time

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sujeet Kumar Mishra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Programme: B.E.

Semester: 1st

Session: MO 2016

Course Code: ME 1202

Course Title: Engg Graphics Lab

Number of times taught: 1st time

Mobile: 8709148515

E-mail: sujeetmishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.