

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ashoke Sharon Designation: Professor
Department: Chemistry Programme: PG
Semester: M. Sc, Sem-III Session: MO-19
Course Code: CH507 Course Title: SELECTED TOPICS IN-ORGANIC SYNTHESIS
Number of times taught: 3
Mobile: 9470959291 E-mail: asharon@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4
No		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Ashoke Sharon Designation: Professor
 Department: Chemistry Programme: PG
 Semester: M. Sc, Sem-II Session: SP-21
 Course Code: CH414 Course Title: Theoretical & Computational Chemistry Lab

Number of times taught: 4
 Mobile: 9470959291 E-mail: asharon@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ashoke Sharon Designation: Professor
Department: Chemistry Programme: PG
Semester: M. Sc, Sem-IV Session: SP-21
Course Code: CH515 Course Title: Interdisciplinary Organic Chemistry
Number of times taught: 4
Mobile: 9470959291 E-mail: asharon@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ashoke Sharon Designation: Professor
Department: Chemistry Programme: PG
Semester: MSc, Sem-III Session: MO-20
Course Code: CH504 Course Title: ADVANCED ORGANIC SYNTHESIS
Number of times taught: 4
Mobile: 9470959291 E-mail: asharon@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ashoke Sharon Designation: Professor
 Department: Chemistry Programme: UG
 Semester: IMSc, Sem-V Session: MO-20
 Course Code: CH306 Course Title: Molecular Modeling & Drug Design

Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 9470959291 E-mail: asharon@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ashoke Sharon
 Department: Chemistry
 Semester: IMSc, Sem-V
 Course Code: CH312

Designation: Professor
 Programme: UG
 Session: MO-20
 Course Title: Molecular Modeling & Drug Design Lab

Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 9470959291 E-mail: asharon@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Usha Jha
Department: Chemistry
Semester: VI
Course Code: IMSC6004
Number of times taught: 5
Mobile:9955827985

Designation: Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: SP20
Course Title: Industrial Chemistry Lab
E-mail: ushajha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement


Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Usha Jha
Department: Chemistry
Semester: VIII
Course Code: SAC2109
Number of times taught: 5
Mobile:9955827985

Designation: Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: SP20
Course Title: Environmental Monitoring & Control
E-mail: ushajha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement


Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
2.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
3.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
4.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
5.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
6.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
7.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
8.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
9.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
10.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
11.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
12.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
13.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Usha Jha
Department: Chemistry
Semester: VIII
Course Code: SAC2002
Number of times taught: 5
Mobile:9955827985

Designation: Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: SP20
Course Title: Inorganic Chemistry Lab
E-mail: ushajha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement


Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
3.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
4.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
5.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
6.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
7.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
8.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
9.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
10.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
11.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
12.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
13.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
14.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Usha Jha
Department: Chemistry
Semester: I
Course Code: CH103
Number of times taught: 5
Mobile:9955827985

Designation: Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: MO20
Course Title: Inorganic Chemistry-I
E-mail: ushajha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement


Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
4.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
5.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
6.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
7.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
8.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
9.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
10.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
11.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
12.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
13.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
14.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
15.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Usha Jha
Department: Chemistry
Semester: VII
Course Code: SAC 1011
Number of times taught: 5
Mobile:9955827985

Designation: Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: MO20
Course Title: Environmental Chemistry
E-mail: ushajha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement


Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
5.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
6.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
7.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
8.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
9.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
10.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
11.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
12.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
13.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
14.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
15.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
16.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Usha Jha
Department: Chemistry
Semester: I
Course Code: CH103
Number of times taught: 5
Mobile:9955827985

Designation: Professor
Programme: UG (BTech)
Session: MO21
Course Title: Chemistry
E-mail: ushajha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement


Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
6.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
7.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
8.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
9.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
10.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
11.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
12.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
13.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
14.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
15.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
16.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
17.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Usha Jha
Department: Chemistry
Semester: I
Course Code: CH103
Number of times taught: 5
Mobile:9955827985

Designation: Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: MO21
Course Title: Inorganic Chemistry-I
E-mail: ushajha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement


Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
7.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
8.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
9.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
10.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
11.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
12.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
13.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
14.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
15.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
16.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
17.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
18.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Usha Jha
Department: Chemistry
Semester: IX
Course Code: SAC3004
Number of times taught: 5
Mobile:9955827985

Designation: Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: MO21
Course Title: Industrial Chemistry Lab
E-mail: ushajha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement


Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
8.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
9.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
10.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
11.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
12.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
13.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
14.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
15.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
16.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
17.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
18.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
19.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Usha Jha
 Department: Chemistry
 Semester: II
 Course Code: SAC2019
 Number of times taught: 5
 Mobile:9955827985

Designation: Professor
 Programme: PG(MSc)
 Session: SP21
 Course Title: Environmental Monitoring & Control
 E-mail: ushajha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
9.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
10.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
11.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
12.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
13.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
14.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
15.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
16.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
17.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
18.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
19.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
20.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Usha Jha
Department: Chemistry
Semester: VI
Course Code: CH313
Number of times taught: 5
Mobile:9955827985

Designation: Professor
Programme: UG(IMSC)
Session: SP21
Course Title: Inorganic Chemistry
E-mail: ushajha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement


Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
10.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
11.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
12.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
13.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
14.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
15.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
16.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
17.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
18.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
19.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
20.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
21.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Usha Jha
Department: Chemistry
Semester: I
Course Code: CH101
Number of times taught: 5
Mobile:9955827985

Designation: Professor
Programme: UG(BTech)
Session: SP21
Course Title: Chemistry
E-mail: ushajha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement


Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
11.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
12.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
13.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
14.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
15.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
16.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
17.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
18.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
19.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
20.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
21.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
22.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. J.P Pandey
Department: Chemistry
Semester: IMSc (Chemistry), Semester-I
Course Code: CH104
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 9431382696

Designation: Professor
Programme: UG
Session: MO/19 & MO/20
Course Title: Physical Chemistry-I
E-mail: jppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. J.P Pandey
Department: Chemistry
Semester: BTech, Semester-II
Course Code: CH101
Number of times taught: 1
Mobile: 9431382696

Designation: Professor
Programme: UG
Session: SP/21
Course Title: Chemistry
E-mail: jppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. J.P Pandey
Department: Chemistry
Semester: BTech, Semester-II
Course Code: CH102
Number of times taught: 1
Mobile: 9431382696

Designation: Professor
Programme: UG
Session: SP/21
Course Title: Chemistry Lab
E-mail: jppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. J.P Pandey
Department: Chemistry
Semester: IMSc (Chemistry), Semester-I
Course Code: SAC1001
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 9431382696

Designation: Professor
Programme: PG
Session: MO/19 & MO/20
Course Title: Advanced Physical Chemistry
E-mail: jppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. J.P Pandey
Department: Chemistry
Semester: IMSc (Chemistry), Semester-VI
Course Code: IMC6001
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 9431382696

Designation: Professor
Programme: UG
Session: SP/20 & SP/21
Course Title: Physical Chemistry-I
E-mail: jppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. J.P Pandey

Department: Chemistry

Semester: IMSc (Chemistry), Semester-VIII

Course Code: SAC2001

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 9431382696

Designation: Professor

Programme: PG

Session: SP/20 & SP/21

Course Title: Theoretical Chemistry

E-mail: jppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. J.P Pandey
Department: Chemistry
Semester: MSc (Chemistry), Semester-II
Course Code: CH411 Course Title: Equilibrium, Non-equilibrium & Statistical Thermodynamics
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 9431382696

Designation: Professor
Programme: PG
Session: SP/20 & SP/21
E-mail: jppandey@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Sumit Mishra

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: UG

Semester: I

Session: SP21

Course Code: CH 101

Course Title: Chemistry

Number of times taught: 5

E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile:8210629045

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Sumit Mishra

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: UG

Semester: VI

Session: SP21

Course Code: CH313

Course Title: Inorganic Chem IV

Number of times taught: 2

E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile:8210629045

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

NO

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Sumit Mishra
 Department: Chemistry
 Semester: II
 Course Code: SAC 2011
 Number of times taught: 5
 Mobile:8210629045

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: PG
 Session: SP21
 Course Title: Chemistry
 E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement


Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Course upgradation required in more structured manner
 Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Sumit Mishra

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: UG

Semester: I

Session: MO20

Course Code: CH 111

Course Title: GeneralChemistry

Number of times taught: 2

E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile:8210629045

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
25.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
26.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
27.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
28.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
29.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
30.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
31.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
32.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
33.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
34.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
35.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
36.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


YES

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Sumit Mishra

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: UG

Semester: I

Session: MO20

Course Code: CH 105

Course Title: Inorg Chem I Lab

Number of times taught: 2

E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile:8210629045

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
37.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
38.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
39.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
40.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
41.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
42.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
43.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
44.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
45.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
46.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
47.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
48.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Sumit Mishra

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: UG

Semester: III

Session: MO20

Course Code: CH 201

Course Title: Inorg Chem II

Number of times taught: 2

E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile:8210629045

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
49.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
50.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
51.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
52.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
53.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
54.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
55.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
56.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
57.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
58.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
59.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
60.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**

Syllabus revised recently, no changes required.



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Sumit Mishra

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: UG

Semester: VI

Session: MO20

Course Code: CH 303

Course Title: Ana methods in chem

Number of times taught: 2

E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile:8210629045

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
61.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
62.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
63.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
64.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
65.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
66.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
67.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
68.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
69.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
70.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
71.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
72.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

YES

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Some more new topics need to be added keeping in view continuation with similar topics in higher semester

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Sumit Mishra

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: PG

Semester: I

Session: MO20

Course Code: CH 404

Course Title: Organomet Chem

Number of times taught: 3

E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile:8210629045

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
73.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
74.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
75.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
76.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
77.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
78.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
79.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
80.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
81.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
82.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
83.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
84.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

YES

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Sumit Mishra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: III
Course Code: CH502

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: PG
Session: MO20
Course Title: Solid state &

Nuclear Chem

Number of times taught: 2
Mobile:8210629045

E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
85.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
86.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
87.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
88.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
89.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
90.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
91.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
92.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
93.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
94.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
95.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
96.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

YES

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Sumit Mishra

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: PG

Semester: III

Session: MO20

Course Code: SAC 3009

Course Title: Polymer Chemistry

Number of times taught: 7

E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile:8210629045

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
97.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
98.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
99.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
100.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
101.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
102.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
103.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
104.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
105.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
106.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
107.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
108.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


YES

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus upgradation required to keep pace with industrial requirements

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Sumit Mishra

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: UG

Semester: I

Session: SP20

Course Code: CH 101

Course Title: Chemistry

Number of times taught: 4

E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile:8210629045

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Sumit Mishra

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: UG

Semester: VI

Session: SP20

Course Code: CH313

Course Title: Inorganic Chem IV

Number of times taught: 1

E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile:8210629045

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
109.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
110.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
111.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
112.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
113.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
114.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
115.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
116.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
117.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
118.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
119.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
120.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

NO

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Sumit Mishra

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: PG

Semester: II

Session: SP21

Course Code: SAC 2011

Course Title: Chemistry

Number of times taught: 4

E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile:8210629045

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
121.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
122.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
123.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
124.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
125.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
126.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
127.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
128.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
129.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
130.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
131.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
132.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Course upgradation required in more structured manner

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Sumit Mishra
 Department: Chemistry
 Semester: I
 Course Code: CH 101
 Number of times taught: 5
 Mobile:8210629045

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: UG
 Session: SP21
 Course Title: Chemistry
 E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
25.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
26.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
27.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
28.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
29.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
30.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
31.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
32.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
33.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
34.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
35.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
36.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Sumit Mishra

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: UG

Semester: VI

Session: SP21

Course Code: CH313

Course Title: Inorganic Chem IV

Number of times taught: 2

E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile:8210629045

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
133.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
134.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
135.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
136.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
137.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
138.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
139.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
140.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
141.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
142.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
143.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
144.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

NO

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sumit Mishra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: II
Course Code: CH 505
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile:8210629045

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: PG
Session: MO 19
Course Title: Bioinorg Chem
E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in


Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
145.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
146.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
147.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
148.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
149.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
150.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
151.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
152.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
153.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
154.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
155.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
156.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3
No		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sumit Mishra
 Department: Chemistry
 Semester: III
 Course Code: SAC 3003
 Number of times taught: 8
 Mobile:8210629045

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: PG
 Session: MO 19
 Course Title: Industrial Chem
 E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
157.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
158.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
159.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
160.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
161.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
162.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
163.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
164.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
165.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
166.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
167.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
168.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Course upgradation required as per industrial needs

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sumit Mishra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: II
Course Code: CH 102
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile:8210629045

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: UG
Session: MO 19

Course Title: Chem Lab
E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in


Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
169.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
170.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
171.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
172.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
173.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
174.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
175.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
176.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
177.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
178.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
179.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
180.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3
No		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sumit Mishra

Department: Chemistry

Semester: II

Course Code: CH112

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile:8210629045

Designation: Associate Professor

Programme: PG

Session: MO 19

Course Title: Gen Chem lab I

E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement


Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
181.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
182.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
183.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
184.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
185.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
186.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
187.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
188.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
189.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
190.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
191.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
192.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3
No		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sumit Mishra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: II
Course Code: CH 505
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile:8210629045

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: PG
Session: MO 19
Course Title: Bioinorg Chem
E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in


Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
193.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
194.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
195.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
196.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
197.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
198.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
199.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
200.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
201.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
202.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
203.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
204.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3
No		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sumit Mishra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: II
Course Code: IMC 5002
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile:8210629045

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: UG
Session: MO 19
Course Title: InorgChem lab I
E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in


Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
205.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
206.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
207.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
208.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
209.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
210.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
211.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
212.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
213.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
214.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
215.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
216.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3
No		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr.Sumit Mishra

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: PG

Semester: I

Session: MO20

Course Code: CH 404

Course Title: Organomet Chem

Number of times taught: 2

E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile:8210629045

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
217.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
218.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
219.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
220.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
221.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
222.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
223.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
224.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
225.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
226.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
227.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
228.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

YES

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sumit Mishra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: II
Course Code: CH3004
Number of times taught: 7
Mobile:8210629045

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: PG
Session: MO 19
Course Title: Indus Chem Lab
E-mail: smishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
229.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
230.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
231.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
232.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
233.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
234.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
235.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
236.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
237.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
238.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
239.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
240.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Lab upgradation required

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Subhendu Naskar Designation: Associate Professor
 Department: Chemistry Programme: IMSc-Physics & Math
 Semester: Sem-I Session: MO-19
 Course Code: CH111 Course Title: General Chemistry-I
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 9470162183 E-mail: subhendu@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4
No		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Subhendu Naskar

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Subhendu Naskar Designation: Associate Professor
 Department: Chemistry Programme: IMSc-Physics & Math
 Semester: Sem-I Session: MO-19
 Course Code: CH112 Course Title: General Chemistry Lab - I
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 9470162183 E-mail: subhendu@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4
No		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Subhendu Naskar

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Subhendu Naskar Designation: Associate Professor
 Department: Chemistry Programme: IMSc
 Semester: IX Session: MO-20
 Course Code: SAC3001 Course Title: Application of Spectroscopy
 Number of times taught: 4
 Mobile: 9470162183 E-mail: subhendu@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

No	
-----------	--

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Subhendu Naskar Designation: Associate Professor
 Department: Chemistry Programme: IMSc/MSc
 Semester: VII/I Session: MO-20
 Course Code: CH401 Course Title: Basic Inorganic Chemistry
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 9470162183 E-mail: subhendu@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Subhendu Naskar Designation: Associate Professor
 Department: Chemistry Programme: B.Tech
 Semester: Sem-I Session: MO-20
 Course Code: CH102 Course Title: Chemistry Lab (B.Tech.)
 Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 9470162183 E-mail: subhendu@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Subhendu Naskar Designation: Associate Professor
 Department: Chemistry Programme: MSc-Chemistry
 Semester: III Session: MO-20
 Course Code: CH413 Course Title: Inorganic Chemistry-V Lab
 Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 9470162183 E-mail: subhendu@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3
No		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Subhendu Naskar Designation: Associate Professor
 Department: Chemistry Programme:IMSc
 Semester: IV Session: SP-21
 Course Code: CH207 Course Title: Inorganic Chemistry-III;
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 9470162183 E-mail: subhendu@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

No	
----	--

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Subhendu Naskar Designation: Associate Professor
 Department: Chemistry Programme: IMSc/MSC
 Semester: VII/I Session: SP-21
 Course Code: CH408 Course Title: Advanced Inorganic Chemistry
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 9470162183 E-mail: subhendu@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

No	
-----------	--

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Subhendu Naskar Designation: Associate Professor
 Department: Chemistry Programme: MSc
 Semester: III Session: SP-21
 Course Code: CH513 Course Title: Inorganic Photochemistry
 Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 9470162183 E-mail: subhendu@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No	
-----------	--

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Subhendu Naskar

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Pradip Kar Designation: Associate Professor
Department: Chemistry Programme: UG
Semester: I.M.Sc, Sem-III Session: MO-19
Course Code: CH203 Course Title: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY-II
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 9470159816 E-mail: pkar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4
No		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Pradip Kar Designation: Associate Professor
Department: Chemistry Programme: PG
Semester: M.Sc, Sem-III Session: MO-19
Course Code: CH504 Course Title: ADVANCED ORGANIC SYNTHESIS
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 9470159816 E-mail: pkar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3
No		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Pradip Kar Designation: Associate Professor
Department: Chemistry Programme: PG
Semester: M.Sc, Sem-III Session: MO-19
Course Code: CH507 Course Title: SELECTED TOPICS IN ORGANIC SYNTHESIS
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 9470159816 E-mail: pkar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3
No		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Pradip Kar Designation: Associate Professor
Department: Chemistry Programme: PG
Semester: M.Sc, Sem-III Session: MO-19
Course Code: CH511 Course Title: ORGANIC SPECIAL LAB
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 9470159816 E-mail: pkar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Pradip Kar Designation: Associate Professor
Department: Chemistry Programme: PG
Semester: I.M.Sc, Sem-II Session: SP-20
Course Code: CH108 Course Title: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY-I
Number of times taught: 1
Mobile: 9470159816 E-mail: pkar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4
No		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Pradip Kar Designation: Associate Professor
Department: Chemistry Programme: UG
Semester: I.M.Sc. Sem-V Session: MO-20
Course Code: CH314 Course Title: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY-IV
Number of times taught: 3
Mobile: 9470159816 E-mail: pkar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3
No		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Pradip Kar Designation: Associate Professor
Department: Chemistry Programme: UG
Semester: I.M.Sc. Sem-V Session: MO-20
Course Code: CH320 Course Title: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY-IV Lab
Number of times taught: 3
Mobile: 9470159816 E-mail: pkar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2
No		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Chandralata Bal Designation: Associate Professor
 Department: Chemistry Programme: PG
 Semester: M.Sc, Sem-III Session: MO-19
 Course Code: CH507 Course Title: SELECTED TOPICS IN-ORGANIC SYNTHESIS
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 7070381160 E-mail: cbal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Chandralata Bal Designation: Associate Professor
Department: Chemistry Programme: PG
Semester: M.Sc, Sem-I Session: MO-20
Course Code: CH407 Course Title: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY - VI
Number of times taught: 4
Mobile: 7070381160 E-mail: cbal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Chandralata Bal Designation: Associate Professor
Department: Chemistry Programme: PG
Semester: M. Sc, Sem-IV Session: SP-20
Course Code: CH515 Course Title: Interdisciplinary Organic Chemistry
Number of times taught: 4
Mobile: 7070381160 E-mail: cbal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Chandralata Bal Designation: Associate Professor
 Department: Chemistry Programme: UG
 Semester: B. Tech, Sem-II Session: SP-20
 Course Code: CH102 Course Title: CHEMISTRY LAB
 Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 7070381160 E-mail: cbal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Real

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Chandralata Bal Designation: Associate Professor
Department: Chemistry Programme: UG
Semester: IMSc, Sem-II Session: SP-20
Course Code: CH212 Course Title: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY-III LAB
Number of times taught: 1
Mobile: 7070381160 E-mail: cbal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Chandralata Bal Designation: Associate Professor
 Department: Chemistry Programme: UG
 Semester: IMSc, Sem-III Session: MO-20
 Course Code: CH213 Course Title: CHEMISTRY II
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 7070381160 E-mail: cbal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Chandralata Bal Designation: Associate Professor
Department: Chemistry Programme: PG
Semester: MSc, Sem-III Session: MO-20
Course Code: CH504 Course Title: ADVANCED ORGANIC SYNTHESIS
Number of times taught: 4
Mobile: 7070381160 E-mail: cbal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Chandralata Bal Designation: Associate Professor
Department: Chemistry Programme: UG
Semester: IMSc, Sem-V Session: MO-20
Course Code: CH308 Course Title: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY-IV LAB
Number of times taught: 1
Mobile: 7070381160 E-mail: cbal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Chandralata Bal Designation: Associate Professor
Department: Chemistry Programme: UG
Semester: IMSc, Sem-I Session: SP-21
Course Code: CH108 Course Title: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY-I
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 7070381160 E-mail: cbal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

No


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Chandralata Bal Designation: Associate Professor
Department: Chemistry Programme: PG
Semester: MSc, Sem-II Session: SP-21
Course Code: CH410 Course Title: MODERN ORGANIC CHEMISTRY
Number of times taught: 4
Mobile: 7070381160 E-mail: cbal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: IMSc (Physics), Semester-III
Course Code: CH213
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: UG
Session: MO/19
Course Title: General Chemistry-II
E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: III
Course Code: CH202
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: UG
Session: MO/19
Course Title: Physical Chemistry-III
E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: III
Course Code: CH402
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: PG
Session: MO/19
Course Title: Chemical Kinetics & Surface Chemistry
E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: III
Course Code: SAC1001
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: PG
Session: MO/19
Course Title: Advanced Physical Chemistry

E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: III
Course Code: CH402
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: PG
Session: MO/19
Course Title: Chemical Kinetics & Surface Chemistry
E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: UG

Semester: I

Session: MO/19

Course Code: CH102

Course Title: Chemistry Lab

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 8986710015

E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: III
Course Code: CH205
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: UG
Session: MO/19
Course Title: Physical Chemistry-III Lab

E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: PG

Semester: I

Session: MO/19

Course Code: CH406

Course Title: Physical Chemistry-VI Lab

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 8986710015

E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: B.Tech. Semester-II
Course Code: CH101
Number of times taught: 3
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: UG
Session: SP/20
Course Title: Chemistry
E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: IMSc, Semester-II
Course Code: CH109
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: UG
Session: SP/20
Course Title: Physical Chemistry II Lab
E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: IMSc Semester-VI
Course Code: IMC6002
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: UG
Session: SP/20
Course Title: Physical Chemistry Lab-I
E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: IMSc Semester-VIII
Course Code: SAC2001
Number of times taught: 3
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: PG
Session: SP/20
Course Title: Theoretical Chemistry
E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: MSc Semester-II
Course Code: CH409
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: PG
Session: SP/20
Course Title: Quantum Chemistry & Group Theory
E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: PG

Semester: MSc, Semester-II

Session: SP/20

Course Code: CH411 Course Title: Equilibrium, Non-equilibrium & Statistical Thermodynamics

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 8986710015

E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: IMSc Semester-VI
Course Code: IMC6002
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: UG
Session: SP/20
Course Title: Physical Chemistry Lab-I
E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: IMSc (Physics), Semester-III
Course Code: CH213
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: UG
Session: MO/20
Course Title: General Chemistry-II
E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: IMSc, Semester-III
Course Code: CH202
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: UG
Session: MO/20
Course Title: Physical Chemistry-III
E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: BTech, Semester-I
Course Code: CH213
Number of times taught: 3
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: UG
Session: MO/20
Course Title: Chemistry Lab
E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: UG

Semester: IMSc (Physics & math), Semester-I

Session: MO/20

Course Code: CH112

Course Title: General Chemistry Lab-I

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 8986710015

E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: IMSc, Semester-III
Course Code: CH205
Number of times taught: 1
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: UG
Session: MO/20
Course Title: Physical Chemistry-III Lab
E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: IMSc, Semester-VII
Course Code: SAC1001
Number of times taught: 2
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: PG
Session: MO/20
Course Title: Advanced Physical Chemistry
E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: MSc, Semester-I
Course Code: CH406
Number of times taught: 1
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: PG
Session: MO/20
Course Title: Physical Chemistry-VI Lab
E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
 Department: Chemistry
 Semester: BTech, Semester-II
 Course Code: CH101
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
 Programme: UG
 Session: SP/21
 Course Title: Chemistry
 E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: BTech, Semester-II
Course Code: CH102
Number of times taught: 3
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: UG
Session: SP/21
Course Title: Chemistry Lab
E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
Department: Chemistry
Semester: MSc, Semester-II
Course Code: CH409
times taught: 2
Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
Programme: PG
Session: SP/21
Course Title: Quantum Chemistry & Group Theory Number of
E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra
 Department: Chemistry
 Semester: MSc, Semester-II
 Course Code: CH412
 taught: 2
 Mobile: 8986710015

Designation: Assistant Professor
 Programme: PG
 Session: SP/21
 Course Title: Advanced Analytical Techniques Number of times
 taught: 2
 E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: PG

Semester: MSc, Semester-II

Session: SP/21

Course Code: CH406

Course Title: Physical Chemistry-VI Lab

Number of times

taught: 2

Mobile: 8986710015

E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Susanta Sinha Mahapatra

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: PG

Semester: IMSc, Semester-VIII

Session: SP/21

Course Code: SAC2004

Course Title: Physical Chemistry Lab-II

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 8986710015

E-mail: ssmahapatra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

S. S. Mahapatra

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Barnali Dasgupta Ghosh

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: UG

Semester: I

Session: SP21

Course Code: CH 101

Course Title: Chemistry

Number of times taught: 4

E-mail: barnalidg@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile: 8340619698

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Barnali Dasgupta Ghosh

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: UG

Semester: I

Session: SP21

Course Code: CH103

Course Title: **Inorganic Chemistry-I: Atomic Structure & Chemical Bonding-**

I

Number of times taught: 2

E-mail: barnalidg@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile: 8340619698

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Barnali Dasgupta Ghosh

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: PG

Semester: VII

Session: SP21

Course Code: SAC2011

Course Title: **Advanced Inorganic Chemistry**

Number of times taught: 5

E-mail: barnalidg@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile: 8340619698

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Course upgradation required.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Barnali Dasgupta Ghosh

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: PG

Semester: III

Session: MO20

Course Code: CH505

Course Title: **Bio Inorganic Chemistry**

Number of times taught: 2

E-mail: barnalidg@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile: 8340619698

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4
No		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Barnali Dasgupta Ghosh

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: PG

Semester: III

Session: MO20

Course Code: CH502

Course Title: **Solid state and Nuclear Chemistry**

Number of times taught: 3

E-mail: barnalidg@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile: 8340619698

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4
No		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Barnali Dasgupta Ghosh

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemistry

Programme: PG

Semester: VIII

Session: MO20

Course Code: SAC3001

Course Title: **Bio Inorganic & Organo -Metallic Chemistry**

Number of times taught: 5

E-mail: barnalidg@bitmesra.ac.in

Mobile: 8340619698

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Course upgradation and proper distribution of module required.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bimal Verma
Department: Chemistry
Semester: II
Course Code: CH102
Number of times taught: 3
Mobile: 9431596642

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: UG (BTech) (SEC-G, H&J)
Session: SP20
Course Title: Engineering Chemistry Lab
E-mail: bimalverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bimal Verma
Department: Chemistry
Semester: VIII
Course Code: SAC2003
Number of times taught: 1
Mobile:9431596642

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: SP20
Course Title: Synthetic Organic Chemistry
E-mail: bimalverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
2.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
3.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
4.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
5.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
6.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
7.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
8.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
9.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
10.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
11.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
12.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
13.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bimal Verma
Department: Chemistry
Semester: II
Course Code: CH110
Number of times taught: 3
Mobile: 9431596642

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: SP20
Course Title: Organic Chemistry I Lab
E-mail: bimalverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
3.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
4.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
5.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
6.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
7.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
8.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
9.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
10.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
11.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
12.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
13.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
14.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bimal Verma
Department: Chemistry
Semester: IV
Course Code: CH214
Number of times taught: 3
Mobile: 9431596642

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: SP20
Course Title: General Chemistry II Lab
E-mail: bimalverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
4.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
5.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
6.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
7.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
8.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
9.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
10.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
11.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
12.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
13.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
14.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
15.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bimal Verma
Department: Chemistry
Semester: IV
Course Code: CH212
Number of times taught: 3
Mobile: 9431596642

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: SP20
Course Title: Organic Chemistry-III Lab
E-mail: bimalverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
5.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
6.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
7.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
8.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
9.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
10.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
11.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
12.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
13.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
14.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
15.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
16.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bimal Verma
Department: Chemistry
Semester: IV
Course Code: CH 212
Number of times taught: 3
Mobile:9431596642

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: SP20
Course Title: Organic Chemistry-III Lab
E-mail: bimalverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
6.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
7.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
8.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
9.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
10.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
11.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
12.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
13.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
14.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
15.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
16.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
17.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bimal Verma
Department: Chemistry
Semester: III
Course Code: CH 504
Number of times taught: 1
Mobile:9431596642

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: MO21
Course Title: Advanced Synthesis PE V
E-mail: bimalverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
7.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
8.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
9.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
10.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
11.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
12.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
13.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
14.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
15.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
16.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
17.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
18.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bimal Verma
Department: Chemistry
Semester: IX
Course Code: SAC3005
Number of times taught: 1
Mobile:9431596642

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: MO21
Course Title: Advanced Organic Chemistry
E-mail: bimalverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
8.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
9.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
10.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
11.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
12.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
13.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
14.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
15.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
16.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
17.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
18.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
19.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bimal Verma
Department: Chemistry
Semester: III
Course Code: CH 203
Number of times taught: 1
Mobile:9431596642

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: MO21
Course Title: Organic Chemistry II
E-mail: bimalverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
9.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
10.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
11.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
12.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
13.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
14.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
15.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
16.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
17.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
18.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
19.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
20.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bimal Verma
Department: Chemistry
Semester: I
Course Code: CH 405
Number of times taught: 1
Mobile:9431596642

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: MO21
Course Title: Principal of Synthesis Organic
E-mail: bimalverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
10.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
11.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
12.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
13.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
14.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
15.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
16.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
17.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
18.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
19.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
20.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
21.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bimal Verma
Department: Chemistry
Semester: III
Course Code: CH 203
Number of times taught: 1
Mobile:9431596642

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: MO21
Course Title: Organic Chemistry-II
E-mail: bimalverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
11.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
12.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
13.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
14.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
15.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
16.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
17.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
18.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
19.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
20.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
21.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
22.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bimal Verma

Department: Chemistry

Semester: I

Course Code: CH 403

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile:9431596642

Designation: Associate Professor

Programme: UG (IMSC)

Session: MO21

Course Title: Reaction Mechanism in Organic Chemistry

E-mail: bimalverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
12.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
13.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
14.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
15.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
16.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
17.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
18.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
19.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
20.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
21.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
22.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
23.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bimal Verma
Department: Chemistry
Semester: VII
Course Code: SAC 1003
Number of times taught: 3
Mobile:9431596642

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: MO20
Course Title: Organic Reaction Mechanism
E-mail: bimalverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bimal Verma

Department: Chemistry

Semester: I

Course Code: CH403

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile:9431596642

Designation: Associate Professor

Programme: UG (IMSC)

Session: MO20

Course Title: Reaction Mechanism in Organic Chemistry

E-mail: bimalverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
14.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
15.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
16.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
17.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
18.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
19.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
20.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
21.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
22.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
23.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
24.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
25.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bimal Verma
 Department: Chemistry
 Semester: II
 Course Code: CH108
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile:9431596642

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: UG (IMSC)
 Session: SP21
 Course Title:
 E-mail: bimalverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
15.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
16.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
17.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
18.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
19.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
20.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
21.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
22.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
23.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
24.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
25.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
26.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bimal Verma
Department: Chemistry
Semester: VIII
Course Code: SAC2003
Number of times taught: 1
Mobile:9431596642

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: UG (IMSC)
Session: SP21
Course Title:
E-mail: bimalverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
16.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
17.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
18.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
19.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
20.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
21.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
22.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
23.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
24.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
25.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
26.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
27.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bimal Verma
 Department: Chemistry
 Semester: II
 Course Code: CH108
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile:9431596642

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: UG (IMSC)
 Session: SP21
 Course Title:
 E-mail: bimalverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
17.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
18.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
19.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
20.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
21.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
22.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
23.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
24.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
25.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
26.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
27.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
28.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bimal Verma
 Department: Chemistry
 Semester: VIII
 Course Code: SAC2003
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile:9431596642

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: UG (IMSC)
 Session: SP21
 Course Title:
 E-mail: bimalverma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
18.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
19.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
20.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
21.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
22.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
23.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
24.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
25.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
26.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
27.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
28.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
29.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

yes

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus restructuring required for uniform distribution of classes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **No**



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:..Dr.Deep Shikha
 .Designation ...Assistant Prof.....
 Department:...Chemistry..... Programme: UG.....
 Semester: I..... Session:.....SP/2021.....
 Course Code:.....CH101.....Course Title:Chemistry

.....
 Number of times taught: 3times.....
 Mobile:9955989189..E-mail: .deepshikha1011@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

 Any other specific comments including program revision if any:
NIL.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:..Dr.Deep Shikha
 .Designation ...Assistant Prof.....
 Department:...Chemistry..... Programme: UG.....
 Semester: I..... Session:.....SP/2021.....
 Course Code:.....CH316.....Course Novel Inorganic
 Solid
 Number of times taught: 1times.....
 Mobile:9955989189..E-mail: .deepshikha1011@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

No

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....NIL.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
Department: CHEMISTRY
Semester: Vth
Course Code: CH 303
Number of times taught: 01
Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
Programme: IMSc (Chemistry)
Session: MO 2020
Course Title: Analytical Methods in Chemistry
E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	
NO		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: YES

The course is brilliantly designed to cultivate much needed analytical skills within the students.



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
Department: CHEMISTRY
Semester: III
Course Code: CH 501
Number of times taught: 02
Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
Programme: MSc (Chemistry)
Session: MO 2020
Course Title: Spectroscopic Elucidation of Molecular Structure
E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4
NO		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO

.



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
Department: CHEMISTRY
Semester: I
Course Code: GC 111
Number of times taught: 01
Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
Programme: IMSc (PHY & MATHS)
Session: MO 2020
Course Title: General Chemistry I

E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


NO

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
 Department: CHEMISTRY
 Semester: IX
 Course Code: SAC 2007
 Number of times taught: 03+
 Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
 Programme: IMSc (Chemistry)
 Session: MO 2020
 Course Title: Application of Spectroscopy
 E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement


Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
Department: CHEMISTRY
Semester: Vth
Course Code: CH 303
Number of times taught: 02
Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
Programme: IMSc (Chemistry)
Session: MO 2021
Course Title: Analytical Methods in Chemistry
E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	
NO		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: YES
The course is brilliantly designed to cultivate much needed analytical skills within the students.



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
Department: CHEMISTRY
Semester: Vth
Course Code: CH 309
Number of times taught: 02
Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
Programme: IMSc (Chemistry)
Session: MO 2021
Course Title: Analytical Methods in Chemistry LAB
E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	
NO		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: YES
The course satisfies the much needed hands on experience to students.



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
Department: CHEMISTRY
Semester: III
Course Code: CH 501
Number of times taught: 03
Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
Programme: MSc (Chemistry)
Session: MO 2021
Course Title: Spectroscopic Elucidation of Molecular Structure
E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

NO

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
Department: CHEMISTRY
Semester: III
Course Code: CH 503
Number of times taught: 01
Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
Programme: MSc (Chemistry)
Session: MO 2021
Course Title: Molecular Spectroscopy

E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	


NO

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
Department: CHEMISTRY
Semester: III
Course Code: CH 508
Number of times taught: 03
Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
Programme: MSc (Chemistry)
Session: MO 2021
Course Title: Advanced Characterization LAB
E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4
NO		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO

.



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
 Department: CHEMISTRY
 Semester: IX
 Course Code: SAC 2007
 Number of times taught: 03+
 Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
 Programme: IMSc (Chemistry)
 Session: MO 2021
 Course Title: Application of Spectroscopy
 E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

NO

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
Department: CHEMISTRY
Semester: IX
Course Code: SAC 3004
Number of times taught: 03+
Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
Programme: IMSc (Chemistry)
Session: MO 2021
Course Title: Advanced Characterization LAB
E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4
NO		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO

.



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
 Department: CHEMISTRY
 Semester: IX
 Course Code: SAC 3007
 Number of times taught: 03+
 Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
 programme: IMSc (Chemistry)
 Session: MO 2021
 Course Title: Polymer Chemistry

E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

NO

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
 Department: CHEMISTRY
 Semester: II
 Course Code: CH 102
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
 Programme: B.Tech (ALL)
 Session: SP 2020
 Course Title: Chemistry LAB

E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

NO

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
 Department: CHEMISTRY
 Semester: IV
 Course Code: CH 213
 Number of times taught: 03+
 Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
 Programme: IMSc (PHY & MATHS)
 Session: SP 2020
 Course Title: Chemistry LAB

E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

NO

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
Department: CHEMISTRY
Semester: II
Course Code: CH 412
Number of times taught: 01
Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
Programme: MSc (Chemistry)
Session: SP 2020
Course Title: Analytical Chemistry

E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

NO

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
 Department: CHEMISTRY
 Semester: II
 Course Code: MQA201T
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
 Programme: M.PHARM
 Session: SP 2020
 Course Title: Hazards & Safety Management
 E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement


Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4
NO		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
 Department: CHEMISTRY
 Semester: VIII
 Course Code: SAC 2005
 Number of times taught: 03+
 Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
 Programme: IMSc (Chemistry)
 Session: SP 2020
 Course Title: Advanced Analytical Techniques
 E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


NO

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
Department: CHEMISTRY
Semester: IV
Course Code: CH 213
Number of times taught: 01
Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
Programme: IMSc (PHY & MATHS)
Session: SP 2021
Course Title: General Chemistry II
E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

NO

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
Department: CHEMISTRY
Semester: IV
Course Code: CH 214
Number of times taught: 01
Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
Programme: IMSc (PHY & MATHS)
Session: SP 2021
Course Title: General Chemistry II LAB
E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

NO

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
Department: CHEMISTRY
Semester: VI
Course Code: CH 309
Number of times taught: 01
Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
Programme: IMSc (Chemistry)
Session: MO 20
Course Title: Analytical methods in Chemistry LAB
E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4
NO		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
Department: CHEMISTRY
Semester: VI
Course Code: CH 214
Number of times taught: 01
Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
Programme: IMSc (Chemistry)
Session: SP 2021
Course Title: Polymer Chemistry
E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4
NO		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO

.



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
 Department: CHEMISTRY
 Semester: VIII (IMSc)/II (MSc)
 Course Code: CH 412
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
 Programme: IMSc/MSc (Chemistry)
 Session: SP 2021
 Course Title: Analytical Chemistry

E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

NO

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
Department: CHEMISTRY
Semester: III
Course Code: CH 508
Number of times taught: 01
Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
Programme: MSc (Chemistry)
Session: SP 2021
Course Title: Advanced Characterization LAB
E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement


Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4
NO		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
 Department: CHEMISTRY
 Semester: II
 Course Code: MQA201T
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
 Programme: M.PHARM
 Session: SP 2021
 Course Title: Hazards & Safety Management
 E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement


Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4
NO		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sen
Department: CHEMISTRY
Semester: IX
Course Code: SAC 3004
Number of times taught: 03+
Mobile: 9470137364

Designation: Assistant professor
Programme: IMSc (Chemistry)
Session: SP 2021
Course Title: Advanced Characterization LAB
E-mail: gautamsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


NO

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO



Signature of the Teacher

