

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. A.P. Krishna** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: ... **Ist Semestaer**..... Session: ... **MO2019**.....

Course Code: ... **RS506**..... Course Title... **Remote Sensing in Disaster Management Lab.**

Number of times taught: ... **02**.....

Mobile: ... **9431100447**..... E-mail: .. **apkrishna@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

.....
.....Course syllabus may be revised to incorporate state of the

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **None**

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. A.P. Krishna** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: ... **Ist Semestaer**..... Session: ... **MO2019**.....

Course Code: ... **RS509**..... Course Title... **Remote Sensing in Disaster Management Lab**.

Number of times taught: ... **02**.....

Mobile: ... **9431100447**..... E-mail: .. **apkrishna@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **None**

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. A.P. Krishna** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: ... **IIIrd Semestaer**..... Session: ... **MO2019**.....

Course Code: **RS602** Course Title... **Data Sources, Statistics and Research Methods in Geospatial Domain**..... Number of times taught: ... **01**.....

Mobile: ... **9431100447**..... E-mail: .. **apkrishna@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **None**

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. A.P. Krishna** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Sc. (GI)**.....

Semester: ... **IIIrd Semestaer**..... Session: ... **MO2019**.....

Course Code: **GI607** Course Title... **Geoinformatics for Disaster Management**

Number of times taught: ... **01**.....

Mobile: ... **9431100447**..... E-mail: .. **apkrishna@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **None**

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. A.P. Krishna** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Sc. (GI)**.....

Semester: ... **IIIrd Semestaer**..... Session: ... **MO2019**.....

Course Code: **GI609** Course Title... **Geoinformatics for Disaster Management Lab**

Number of times taught: ... **01**.....

Mobile: ... **9431100447**..... E-mail: .. **apkrishna@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **None**

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. A.P. Krishna** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: ... **IInd Semestaer** Session: ... **SP20**.....

Course Code: ... **RS516**..... Course Title... **Remote Sensing in Snow & Glacier Hydrology..**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431100447**..... E-mail: .. **apkrishna@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. A.P. Krishna** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: ... **IInd Semestaer** Session: ... **SP20**.....

Course Code: ... **RS518**..... Course Title... **Remote Sensing in Snow & Glacier Hydrology Lab..**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431100447**..... E-mail: .. **apkrishna@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. A.P. Krishna** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Sc. (GI)**.....

Semester: ... **IVth Semestaer**..... Session: ... **SP20**.....

Course Code: ... **GI609**..... Course Title... **Geoinformatics for Disaster Management Lab.**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431100447**..... E-mail: .. **apkrishna@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. A.P. Krishna** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: ... **Ist Semestaer**..... Session: ... **MO2020**.....

Course Code: ... **RS506**..... Course Title... **Remote Sensing in Disaster Management..**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431100447**..... E-mail: .. **apkrishna@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

.....
.....

Course syllabus may be revised to incorporate state of the arts development

.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **Non**

.....
.....
.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. A.P. Krishna** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: ... **Ist Semestaer**..... Session: ... **MO2020**.....

Course Code: ... **RS509**..... Course Title... **Remote Sensing in Disaster Management Lab.**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431100447**..... E-mail: .. **apkrishna@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **None**

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. A.P. Krishna** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: ... **IIIrd Semestaer**..... Session: ... **MO2020**.....

Course Code: ... **RS602**..... Course Title... **Data Sources, Statistics & Research Methods in Geospatial Domain**.. Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431100447**..... E-mail: .. **apkrishna@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: Non

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. A.P. Krishna** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Sc. (GI)**.....

Semester: ... **IIIrd Semestaer**..... Session: ... **MO2020**.....

Course Code: ... **GI607**..... Course Title... **Geoinformatics for Disaster Management**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431100447**..... E-mail: .. **apkrishna@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **None**

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. A.P. Krishna** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: ... **IInd Semestaer** Session: ... **SP21**.....

Course Code: ... **RS516**..... Course Title... **Remote Sensing in Snow & Glacier Hydrology..**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431100447**..... E-mail: .. **apkrishna@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. A.P. Krishna** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: ... **IInd Semestaer** Session: ... **SP21**.....

Course Code: ... **RS518**..... Course Title... **Remote Sensing in Snow & Glacier Hydrology Lab..**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431100447**..... E-mail: .. **apkrishna@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. A.P. Krishna** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Sc. (GI)**.....

Semester: ... **IVth Semestaer**..... Session: ... **SP21**.....

Course Code: ... **GI609**..... Course Title... **Geoinformatics for Disaster Management Lab.**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431100447**..... E-mail: .. **apkrishna@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
1	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
1	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
1	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....
 Semester:**1st** Session:**MO-19**.....
 Course Code:**GI 502**..... Course Title ...**Geographic Information System**
 Number of times taught:**2**..... Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....
 E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....
 Semester:**1st** Session:**MO-19**.....
 Course Code:**GI 506**..... Course Title ... **Geographic Information System**
Laboratory.... Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**7763859236**..... E-
 mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....
 Semester:**3rd** Session:**MO-19**.....
 Course Code:**GI 602**..... Course Title ...**Advanced Geospatial Modelling & DSS**
 Number of times taught:**2**..... Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....
 E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
25.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
26.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
27.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
28.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
29.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
30.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
31.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
32.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
33.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
34.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
35.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
36.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher


Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....
 Semester:**3rd** Session:**MO-19**.....
 Course Code:**GI 603**..... Course Title ... **Aerial, Satellite, UAV Based
 Photogrammetry & Application** Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....
 Semester:**3rd** Session:**MO-19**.....
 Course Code:**GI 604**..... Course Title ...**Advanced Geospatial Modelling & DSS Laboratory**....
 Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....
 Semester:**3rd** Session:**MO-19**.....
 Course Code:**GI 605**..... Course Title ...**Aerial, Satellite, UAV Based
 Photogrammetry & Application Laboratory**....Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
25.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
26.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
27.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
28.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
29.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
30.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
31.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
32.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
33.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
34.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
35.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
36.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....
 Semester:**3rd** Session:**MO-19**.....
 Course Code:**RS 602**..... Course Title ...**Date Sources, Statistics and Research Methods in Geospatial Domain**Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher


Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....
 Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-20**.....
 Course Code:**RS 511**..... Course Title ...**Aerial and Satellite Photogrammetry & Image Interpretation**Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....
 Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-20**.....
 Course Code:**RS 512**..... Course Title ...**Advanced Remote Sensing and Geospatial Modelling** Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher 

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....
 Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-20**.....
 Course Code:**RS 513**..... Course Title ...**Aerial and Satellite Photogrammetry & Image Interpretation Laboratory**Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....
 Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-20**.....
 Course Code:**RS 514**..... Course Title ... **Advanced Remote Sensing and Geospatial Modelling Laboratory**Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....
 Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-20**.....
 Course Code:**RS 515**..... Course Title ... **Programming and Customisation in Geospatial Domain Laboratory**Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher


Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....
 Semester:**3rd** Session:**MO-20**.....
 Course Code:**GI 602**..... Course Title ...**Advanced Geospatial Modelling & DSS**
 Number of times taught:**2**..... Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....
 E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....
 Semester:**3rd** Session:**MO-20**.....
 Course Code:**GI 603**..... Course Title ... **Aerial, Satellite, UAV Based
 Photogrammetry & Application** Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....
 Semester:**3rd** Session:**MO-20**.....
 Course Code:**GI 602**..... Course Title ...**Advanced Geospatial Modelling & DSS**
 Number of times taught:**2**..... Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....
 E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....
 Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-21**.....
 Course Code:**RS 511**..... Course Title ...**Aerial and Satellite Photogrammetry & Image Interpretation**Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....
 Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-21**.....
 Course Code:**RS 512**..... Course Title ...**Advanced Remote Sensing and Geospatial Modelling** Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher


Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....
 Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-21**.....
 Course Code:**RS 513**..... Course Title ...**Aerial and Satellite Photogrammetry & Image Interpretation Laboratory**Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....
 Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-21**.....
 Course Code:**RS 514**..... Course Title ... **Advanced Remote Sensing and Geospatial Modelling Laboratory**Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....
 Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-21**.....
 Course Code:**RS 515**..... Course Title ... **Programming and Customisation in Geospatial Domain Laboratory**Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....
 Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-21**.....
 Course Code:**GI 506**..... Course Title ...**Geographic Information System Laboratory**
 Number of times taught:**2**..... Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....
 E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Note:Due to COVID-19, this MO-20 course has been taught in SP-21
Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. C. Jeganathan** Designation**Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....
 Semester:**4th** Session:**SP-21**.....
 Course Code:**GI 604**..... Course Title ...**Advanced Geospatial Modelling & DSS
 Laboratory**..... Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**7763859236**..... E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Note: Due to COVID-19, this MO-20 course has been taught in SP-21

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher


Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: ... **Ist Semester** Session: ... **MO-19** ... **2019-2020**.....

Course Code: ... **RS507**..... Course Title ... **RS in Hydrology & Water Resources**..

Number of times taught: ... **02**.....

Mobile: ... **9431100357**..... E-mail: ... **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

.....
.....Few field studies can be undertaken

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel**Designation **Professor**.....

Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: **M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: **Ist Semester MO19**..... Session: **MO-19**... **2019-2020**.....

Course Code:**RS510**.....Course Title**RS in Hydrology & Water Resources (Sessionals)**..

Number of times taught: **02**.....

Mobile: **9431100357**.....E-mail: **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

.....
.....Few field studies can be undertaken

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Sc. (GI)**.....

Semester: ... **Ist Semester**..... Session: ... **MO-19**... **2019-2020**.....

Course Code: ... **GI501**..... Course Title ... **Principles of Remote Sensing**

Number of times taught: ... **05**.....

Mobile: ... **9431100357**..... E-mail: ... **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

.....
.....Few field visits could be undertaken

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

N.Patel

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: ... **Ist Semester**..... Session: ... **MO-19**... **2019-2020**.....

Course Code: ... **RS504**..... Course Title ... **Advanced Image Acquisition and Interpretation for Environmental Mapping**..

Number of times taught: ... **01**.....

Mobile: ... **9431100357**..... E-mail: ... **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

N. Patel

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Sc. (GI)**.....

Semester: ... **Ist Semester**..... Session: ... **MO-19**... **2019-2020**.....

Course Code: ... **GI505**..... Course Title ... **Remote Sensing (Sessionals)**..

Number of times taught: ... **02**.....

Mobile: ... **9431100357**..... E-mail: ... **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

.....
.....Few field studies can be undertaken

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel** Designation ... **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: ... **Ist Semester**..... Session: ... **MO-19**... **2019-2020**.....

Course Code: ... **RS508**..... Course Title ... **Advanced Image Acquisition and Interpretation for Environmental Mapping Lab.**

Number of times taught: ... **01**.....

Mobile: ... **9431100357**..... E-mail: ... **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

N. Patel

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel**Designation **Professor**.....

Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: **M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: **IInd Semester** Session:**SP-20**.....

Course Code:**RS602**.....Course Title**Data Sources, Statistics & Research Methods in Geospatial Domain**.....Number of times taught:1.....

Mobile: **9431100357**.....E-mail: **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Industrial visits.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel** Designation **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: **M.Sc. (GI)**.....

Semester: **IInd Semester** Session: **SP-20**.....

Course Code: **GI505**..... Course Title: **Remote Sensing Laboratory**.....

Number of times taught: **2**.....

Mobile: **9431100357**..... E-mail: **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Field

visits.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel** Designation **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: **M.Sc. (GI)**.....

Semester: **IInd Semester** Session: **SP-20**.....

Course Code: **GI508**..... Course Title: **Advanced Image Acquisition & Interpretation for Environment Mapping**... Number of times taught: **2**.....

Mobile: **9431100357**..... E-mail: **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Field

visits.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Name: ..**Dr. N. Patel**Designation ...**Professor**.....
 Department:..**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**M.Sc. (GI)**.....
 Semester: ...**IInd Semester** Session:... **SP-20**.....
 Course Code:..**GI509**.....Course Title...**Digital Satellite Image Processing**...
 Number of times taught:3.....
 Mobile: ...**9431100357**.....E-mail: ..**npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Field

visits.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel** Designation **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: **M.Sc. (GI)**.....

Semester: **IInd Semester** Session: **SP-20**.....

Course Code: **GI510**..... Course Title: **Research Methods and Statistics in Geoinformatics**...

Number of times taught: **2**.....

Mobile: **9431100357**..... E-mail: **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

...Industrial visits.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel** Designation **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: **M.Sc. (GI)**.....

Semester: **IInd Semester** Session: **SP-20**.....

Course Code: **GI514**..... Course Title: **Geoinformatics for Climate Change and**

Environmental Impact AssessmentB..... Number of times taught: **2**.....

Mobile: **9431100357**..... E-mail: **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Field

visits.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel**Designation **Professor**.....

Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: **M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: **Ist Semester** Session:**MO-20**.....

Course Code:**RS501**.....Course Title**Principles of Remote Sensing & Digital Satellite Image Processing**.....Number of times taught: **2**.....

Mobile: **9431100357**.....E-mail: **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

...Field

visits.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel** Designation **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: **M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: **Ist Semester** Session: **MO-20**.....

Course Code: **RS503**..... Course Title: **Remote Sensing and Digital Satellite Image Processing**

Lab..... Number of times taught: **2**.....

Mobile: **9431100357**..... E-mail: **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Field

visits.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel** Designation **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: **M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: **Ist Semestaer** Session: **MO-20**.....

Course Code: **RS507**..... Course Title: **Remote Sensing in Hydrology & Water Resources**

Number of times taught: **2**.....

Mobile: **9431100357**..... E-mail: **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

...Field

visits.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Name: ..**Dr. N. Patel**Designation ...**Professor**.....

Department:..**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**M.Tech. (RS)**.....

Semester: ...**Ist Semester** Session:... **MO-20**.....

Course Code:..**RS510**.....Course Title...**Remote Sensing in Hydrology & Water Resources Lab**

Number of times taught:2.....

Mobile: ...**9431100357**.....E-mail: ..**npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Field

visits.....

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....
.....
.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel** Designation **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: **M.Sc. (GI)**.....

Semester: **Ist Semester** Session: **MO-20**.....

Course Code: **GI501**..... Course Title: **Principles of Remote Sensing**

Number of times taught: **3**.....

Mobile: **9431100357**..... E-mail: **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Field

visits.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Name: ..**Dr. N. Patel**Designation ...**Professor**.....

Department:..**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**M.Sc. (GI)**.....

Semester: ...**Ist Semester** Session:... **MO-20**.....

Course Code:..**GI504**.....Course Title...**Advanced Image Acquisition and Interpretation for Environmental Mapping**Number of times taught:**2**.....

Mobile: ...**9431100357**.....E-mail: ..**npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Field

visits.....

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel** Designation **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: **M.Sc. (GI)**.....

Semester: **IInd Semester** Session: **SP-21**.....

Course Code: **GI505**..... Course Title: **Remote Sensing Laboratory**.....

Number of times taught: **2**.....

Mobile: **9431100357**..... E-mail: **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

Field studies are suggested.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel** Designation **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: **M.Sc. (GI)**.....

Semester: **IInd Semester** Session: **SP-21**.....

Course Code: **GI508**..... Course Title: **Advanced Image Acquisition & Interpretation for Environmental Mapping** Number of times taught: **2**.....

Mobile: **9431100357**..... E-mail: **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Field studies.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel** Designation **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: **M.Sc. (GI)**.....

Semester: **IInd Semester** Session: **SP-21**.....

Course Code: **GI509**..... Course Title: **Digital Satellite Image Processing**

Number of times taught: **3**.....

Mobile: **9431100357**..... E-mail: **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Field studies.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel** Designation **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: **M.Sc. (GI)**.....

Semester: **IInd Semester** Session: **SP-21**.....

Course Code: **GI510**..... Course Title: **Research Methods and Statistics in Geoinformatics**.

Number of times taught: **2**.....

Mobile: **9431100357**..... E-mail: **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

...Industrial visits.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. N. Patel** Designation **Professor**.....

Department: **Remote Sensing**..... Programme: **M.Sc. (GI)**.....

Semester: **IInd Semester** Session: **SP-21**.....

Course Code: **GI514**..... Course Title. **Geoinformatics for Climate Change & Environmental Impact Assessment**... Number of times taught: **2**.....

Mobile: **9431100357**..... E-mail: **npatel@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Field

visits.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation**Assistant Prof**.....

Department:....**Remote Sensing** Programme: ... **MTech**.....

Semester:**I**..... Session: **MO-2019**

Course Code:.... **GI504**.....Course Title **Adv. Image Acq & Int for Env Mapping**

Number of times taught: ...**2**.....

Mobile: **9431382641**.....E-mail: **vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NO**

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation**Assistant Prof**.....

Department:....**Remote Sensing** Programme: ... **MSc-Geoinformatics**)...

Semester:**I**..... Session: **MO- 2019**

Course Code:.... **GI508**.....Course Title **Adv. Image Acq &Int for Env Mapping Lab**

Number of times taught: ...**2**.....

Mobile: ..**9431382641**.....E-mail: ...**vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NO**

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation**Assistant Prof**.....

Department:..**Remote Sensing** Programme: ...**MSc.-Geoinformatics**...

Semester:**I**..... Session:**MO-2019**

Course Code:... **GI 503**.....Course Title...**Digital Cartography & GPS**.....

Number of times taught: ...**2**.....

Mobile: ..**9431382641**.....E-mail: ...**vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NO**

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation**Assistant Prof**.....

Department:...**Remote Sensing** Programme: ...**MSc-Geoinformatics**...

Semester:**I**..... Session: **MO-2019**

Course Code:... **GI 507**.....Course Title **Digital Cartography & GPS Lab**.

Number of times taught: ...**2**.....

Mobile: ..**9431382641**.....E-mail: ...**vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

NO

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NO**

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation**Assistant Prof**.....

Department:...**Remote Sensing** Programme: ... **MSc-Geoinformatics**

Semester:**I**..... Session: **MO-2019**.....

Course Code:... **GI502**.....Course Title...**Remote Sensing in GIS & Sat. Nav. System**

Number of times taught: ...**2**.....

Mobile: ..**9431382641**.....E-mail: ...**vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

NO

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NO**

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation**Assistant Prof**.....

Department:...**Remote Sensing** Programme: ... **MSc-Geoinformatics**

Semester:**I**..... Session:**MO-2019**

Course Code:... **GI504**.....Course Title **Remote Sensing in GIS & Sat. Nav. System lab**

Number of times taught: ...**2**.....

Mobile: ..**9431382641**.....E-mail: ...**vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NO**

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr. V.S Rathore**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**II**..... Session:...**SP-2020**.....

Course Code: **RS 512**...Course Title **Advance Remote Sensing and Geospatial Modelling**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431382641**.....E-mail: ... **vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**...

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:..... **Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**II**..... Session:...**SP-2020**.....

Course Code: **RS 602**...Course Title **Data Sources Statistics & Research Methods in Geospatial Domain**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431382641**.....E-mail: ... **vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name **Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MSc. Geoinformatics**.....

Semester:**II**..... Session:...**SP-2020**.....

Course Code: **GI 508** Course Title **Adv. Image Acq & Int for Env Mapping**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431382641**.....E-mail: ... **vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

Yes

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MSc Geoinformatics**..

Semester:**II**..... Session:...**SP-2020**.....

Course Code: **GI 510** Course Title **Research Methods and Statistics in Geoinformatics**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: **9431382641**.....E-mail: **vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

Yes

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:..... **Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MSc Geoinformatics**.....

Semester:**II**..... Session:...**SP-2020**.....

Course Code: **GI 515**.....Course Title **Geoinformatics for Hydrology and Water Resources**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: **9431382641**.....E-mail: **vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

Yes

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:..... **Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MSc...Geoinformatics**.

Semester:**IV**..... Session:....**SP-2020**.....

Course Code: **GI 609**.....Course Title **Geoinformatics for Disaster Management Lab**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: **9431382641**.....E-mail: **vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

Yes

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr. V.S Rathore**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**I**..... Session: ...**MO-2020**.....

Course Code: **RS 502**...Course Title **GIS & Satellite Navigation System**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431382641**.....E-mail: ... **vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**...

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:..... **Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**I**..... Session: ...**MO-2020**.....

Course Code: **RS 504**...Course Title **GIS & Satellite Navigation System Lab**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431382641**.....E-mail: ... **vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name **Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **MTech**

Semester:**I**..... Session:... **MO-2020**.....

Course Code: **RS 506** Course Title **Remote Sensing Disaster Management**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431382641**.....E-mail: ... **vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **MTech.**

Semester:**I**..... Session:...**MO-2020**.....

Course Code: **RS 509** Course Title **Remote Sensing Disaster Management Lab**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: **9431382641**.....E-mail: **vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

YES

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:..... **Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MSc Geoinformatics**.....

Semester:**I**..... Session:...**MO-2020**.....

Course Code: **GI 503**.....Course Title **Digital Cartography & GPS**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: **9431382641**.....E-mail: **vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

Yes

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NO

.....

.....

.....

.....



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:..... **Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MSc... Geoinformatics**.

Semester:**I**..... Session:...**MO-2020**.....

Course Code: **GI 504**.....Course Title **Adv. Image Acq &Int for Env Mapping**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: **9431382641**.....E-mail: **vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....
.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:..... **Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MSc...Geoinformatics**.

Semester:**III**..... Session:...**MO-2020**.....

Course Code: **GI 607**.....Course Title **Geoinformatics for Disaster Management**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: **9431382641**.....E-mail: **vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr. V.S Rathore**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**II**..... Session:... **SP-2021**.....

Course Code: **RS 512** Course Title **Advanced Remote Sensing & Geospatial Modelling**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431382641**.....E-mail: ... **vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**...

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:..... **Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**II**..... Session:... **SP-2021**.....

Course Code: **RS 514**...Course Title **Advanced Remote Sensing & Geospatial Modelling Lab**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431382641**.....E-mail: ... vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name **Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MSc Geoinformatics**..

Semester:**II**..... Session:... **SP-2021**.....

Course Code: **RS 508** Course Title **Adv. Image Acq &Int for Env Mapping**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ... **9431382641**.....E-mail: ... **vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

Yes

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ... **MSc Geoinformatics**..

Semester:**II**..... Session:... **SP-2021**.....

Course Code: **RS 510** Course Title **Research Methods and Statistics in Geoinformatics**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: **9431382641**.....E-mail: **vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

Yes

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:..... **Dr. V.S. Rathore**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MSc Geoinformatics**.....

Semester:**IV**..... Session:.... **SP-2021**.....

Course Code: **GI 609**.....Course Title **Geoinformatics for Disaster Management Lab**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: **9431382641**.....E-mail: **vsrathore@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

Yes

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: No

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....
 Semester:**1st** Session:**MO-19**.....
 Course Code:**GI 503**..... Course Title ...**Digital Cartography & GPS**
 Number of times taught:**2**..... Mobile:.....**9431361769**.....
 E-mail:.....**richasharma@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NA

.....



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....

Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....

Semester:**1st** Session:**MO-19**.....

Course Code:**GI 507**..... Course Title ...**Digital Cartography & GPS Laboratory**..... Number of times taught:**2**.....

Mobile:.....**9431361769**..... E-mail:.....**richasharma@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NA

.....

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....

Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....

Semester:**3rd** Session:**MO-19**.....

Course Code:**GI 603**..... Course Title ... **Aerial, Satellite, UAV Based Photogrammetry & Application**..... Number of times taught:**1**.....

Mobile:.....**9431361769**..... E-mail:.....**richasharma@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NA

.....

.....

.....

.....

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....

Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....

Semester:**3rd** Session:**MO-19**.....

Course Code:**GI 605**..... Course Title ... **Aerial, Satellite, UAV Based Photogrammetry & Application Laboratory**..... Number of times taught:**1**..... Mobile:.....**9431361769**..... E-mail:.....**richasharma@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NA

.....
.....
.....
.....

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....
 Semester:**1st** Session:**MO-19**.....
 Course Code:**RS 501**..... Course Title ...**Principle of Remote Sensing & Digital Satellite Image Processing** Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**9431361769**..... E-mail:.....**richasharma@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NA

.....

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....
 Semester:**1st** Session:**MO-19**.....
 Course Code:**RS 503**..... Course Title ...**Principle of Remote Sensing & Digital
 Satellite Image Processing Laboratory** Number of times taught:
**2**..... Mobile:.....**9431361769**..... E-
 mail:.....**richasharma@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NA

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....

Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....

Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-20**.....

Course Code:**GI 513**..... Course Title ...**Field Tour**

Number of times taught:**2**.....

Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....

E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....

Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....

Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-20**.....

Course Code:**RS 511**..... Course Title ...**Aerial and Satellite Photogrammetry & Image Interpretation**Number of times taught:**2**.....

Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....

Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....

Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-20**.....

Course Code:**RS 513**..... Course Title ...**Aerial and Satellite Photogrammetry & Image Interpretation Laboratory**Number of times taught:**2**.....

Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher’s Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....

Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....

Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-20**.....

Course Code:**RS 517**..... Course Title ...**Remote Sensing and Climate Change and Environmental Impact Assessment**Number of times taught:**2**.....

Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Note: In case of ‘Disagree’ or ‘Strongly disagree’ kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....

Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....

Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-20**.....

Course Code:**RS 519**..... Course Title ...**Remote Sensing and Climate Change and Environmental Impact Assessment Laboratory**.....Number of times taught:**2**.....

Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-

mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
.....

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

.....
.....


Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....
 Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-20**.....
 Course Code:**GI 505**..... Course Title ...**Remote Sensing Laboratory**.....Number of
 times taught:**2**..... Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-
 mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....
 Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-20**.....
 Course Code:**GI 509**..... Course Title ...**Digital Satellite Image Processing**.....
 Number of times taught:**2**..... Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....
 Semester:**1st** Session:**MO-20**.....
 Course Code:**GI 501**..... Course Title ...**Principle of Remote Sensing**
 Number of times taught:**2**..... Mobile:.....**9431361769**.....
 E-mail:.....**richasharma@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NA

.....



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....
 Semester:**1st** Session:**MO-20**.....
 Course Code:**GI 503**..... Course Title ...**Digital Cartography & GPS**
 Number of times taught:**2**..... Mobile:.....**9431361769**.....
 E-mail:.....**richasharma@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NA

.....

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....

Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....

Semester:**3rd** Session:**MO-19**.....

Course Code:**GI 603**..... Course Title ... **Aerial, Satellite, UAV Based Photogrammetry & Application**..... Number of times taught:**1**.....

Mobile:.....**9431361769**..... E-

mail:.....**richasharma@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NA

.....

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....
 Semester:**1st** Session:**MO-20**.....
 Course Code:**RS 501**..... Course Title ...**Principle of Remote Sensing & Digital
 Satellite Image Processing** Number of times taught:**2**.....
 Mobile:.....**9431361769**..... E-mail:.....**richasharma@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NA

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....
 Semester:**1st** Session:**MO-20**.....
 Course Code:**RS 503**..... Course Title ...**Principle of Remote Sensing & Digital
 Satellite Image Processing Laboratory** Number of times taught:
**2**..... Mobile:.....**9431361769**..... E-
 mail:.....**richasharma@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NA

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....

Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....

Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-21**.....

Course Code:**RS 511**..... Course Title ...**Aerial and Satellite Photogrammetry & Image Interpretation**Number of times taught:**2**.....

Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.



Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....

Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....

Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-21**.....

Course Code:**RS 513**..... Course Title ...**Aerial and Satellite Photogrammetry & Image Interpretation Laboratory**Number of times taught:**2**.....

Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....

Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....

Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-20**.....

Course Code:**RS 517**..... Course Title ...**Remote Sensing and Climate Change and Environmental Impact Assessment**Number of times taught:**2**.....

Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....

Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Tech. (Remote Sensing)**.....

Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-20**.....

Course Code:**RS 519**..... Course Title ...**Remote Sensing and Climate Change and Environmental Impact Assessment Laboratory**.....Number of times taught:**2**.....

Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-

mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

.....
.....


Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....
 Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-21**.....
 Course Code:**GI 505**..... Course Title ...**Remote Sensing Laboratory**.....Number of
 times taught:**2**..... Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-
 mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:**Dr. Richa Sharma** Designation**Assistant Professor**.....
 Department:**Remote Sensing**..... Programme:**M.Sc. (Geoinformatics)**.....
 Semester:**2nd** Session:**SP-21**.....
 Course Code:**GI 509**..... Course Title ...**Digital Satellite Image Processing**.....
 Number of times taught:**2**..... Mobile:.....**7763859236**.....E-mail:.....**Jeganathanc@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...Assistant Prof.

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...MSc.....

Semester:**III**..... Session:...**MO-2019**.....

Course Code:...**GI604**.....Course Title...**Advance Geospatial Modelling and DSS Lab**....

Number of times taught: ...**1**.....

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NA**

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...Assistant Prof.

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...MSc.....

Semester:**I**..... Session:...**MO-2019**.....

Course Code:...**GI506**.....Course Title...**Geographic Information System Lab**....

Number of times taught: ...**2**.....

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NA**

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...Assistant Prof.

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...MSc.....

Semester:**I**..... Session:...**MO-2019**.....

Course Code:...**GI502**.....Course Title... **Geographic Information System**

Number of times taught: ...**2**.....

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NA**

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**I**..... Session:...**MO-2019**.....

Course Code:...**RS 504**.....Course Title...**GIS and Satellite Navigation System Lab**....

Number of times taught: ...**2**.....

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NA**

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**I**..... Session:...**MO-2019**.....

Course Code:...**RS 502**.....Course Title... **GIS and Satellite Navigation System**

Number of times taught: ...**2**.....

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NA**

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MSc**.....

Semester:**III**..... Session:...**MO-2019**.....

Course Code:...**GI 602**.....Course Title **Advance Geospatial Modelling and DSS**

Number of times taught: ...**1**.....

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NA**

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr.Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**II**..... Session:...**SP-2020**.....

Course Code: **RS 512**...Course Title **Advance Remote Sensing and Geospatial Modelling**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr.Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**II**..... Session:...**SP-2020**.....

Course Code: **RS 517**...Course Title **RS in Climate Change and Env. Impact Assessment**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr.Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**II**..... Session:...**SP-2020**.....

Course Code: **RS 514** Course Title **Advance Remote Sensing and Geospatial Modelling Lab**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr.Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**II**..... Session:...**SP-2020**.....

Course Code: **RS 519** Course Title **RS in Climate Change and Env. Impact Assessment Lab**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr.Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MSc**.....

Semester:**II**..... Session:...**SP-2020**.....

Course Code: **GI 506**.....Course Title **Geographic Information System Lab**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr.Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MSc**.....

Semester:**IV**..... Session:...**SP-2020**.....

Course Code: **GI 604**.....Course Title **Advanced Geospatial Modelling & DSS Lab**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr.Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**I**..... Session:...**MO-2020**.....

Course Code: **RS 502**...Course Title **GIS & Satellite Navigation System**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr.Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**I**..... Session:....**MO-2020**.....

Course Code: **RS 504**...Course Title **GIS & Satellite Navigation System Lab**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr.Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**I**..... Session:...**MO-2020**.....

Course Code: **RS 502**...Course Title **GIS & Satellite Navigation System**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr.Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**I**..... Session:...**MO-2020**.....

Course Code: **RS 502**...Course Title **GIS & Satellite Navigation System**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr.Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**II**..... Session:...**SP-2021**.....

Course Code: **RS 512**...Course Title **Advanced Remote Sensing & Geospatial Modelling**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr.Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**II**..... Session:...**SP-2021**.....

Course Code: **RS 517**...Course Title **RS in Climate Change & Env. Impact Assessment**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr.Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MTech**.....

Semester:**II**..... Session:...**SP-2021**.....

Course Code: **RS 514**...Course Title **Advanced Remote Sensing & Geospatial Modelling Lab**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr.Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MSc**.....

Semester:**II**..... Session:...**SP-2021**.....

Course Code: **GI 506**...Course Title **Geographic Information System Lab**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name:.....**Dr.Mili Ghosh Nee Lala**.....Designation ...**Assistant Prof.**

Department:.....**Remote Sensing**..... Programme: ...**MSc**.....

Semester:**IV**..... Session:...**SP-2021**.....

Course Code: **GI 604**...Course Title **Advanced Geospatial Modelling & DSS Lab**

Number of times taught:

Mobile: ...**9431161249**.....E-mail: ...**mili@bitmesra.ac.in**.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.