

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANUJ KUMAR TOPPO Designation: Asstant Professor.....
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: BARCH.....
 Semester: FIRST Session: MO.19.....
 Course Code: AR.103 Course Title: Primary Building Material.....
 Number of times taught: 4.....
 Mobile: 9334457848 E-mail: toppo9@rediffmail.com.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anuj Kumar Toppo
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: M. Vinod K. Pathak Designation: Asst. Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme: B.A.R.C.A.
 Semester: P. Sr Session: Mo-2019
 Course Code: Mo-104 Course Title: Creative Arts
 Number of times taught: 13
 Mobile: 7673256756 E-mail: vinodkpathak@bistomedia.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: MRINAL K. PATNAK..... Designation Asst. Professor.....
 Department: Architecture..... Programme: B:ARCH.....
 Semester: IV..... Session: MO-2019.....
 Course Code: AR-113..... Course Title: Construction technique and Model making.....
 Number of times taught: 3.....
 Mobile: 7673256764..... E-mail: mrinal.pallob@bitanet.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Pallob
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: SMRITI MISHRA Designation ASSISTANT PROFESSOR
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B. Arch.
 Semester: I Session: MO/2019
 Course Code: AR.113 Course Title Construction Tech. & Model Making Workshop
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 8084825903 E-mail: smritimishra@bitmeera.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	02

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No Yes No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Smriti Mishra

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Anjali Pathak Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture and Planning Programme: B.Arch.
 Semester: I Session: MO 2019
 Course Code: AR112 Course Title: Descriptive Geometry
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 7985343561 E-mail: ar.anjali.pathak@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

Anjali

Anjali Pathak

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RAJAN CHANDRA SINHA Designation: ASST. PROFESSOR
 Department: ARCHITECTURE & PLANNING Programme: B. ARCH
 Semester: Ist Session: M0/19
 Course Code: AR.604 Course Title: Disaster Management and planning
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 821067747 E-mail: rajanchandra.sinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Rajan

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Ritu Agrawal.**

Designation: **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Architecture and Planning**

Programme: **B.Arch.**

Semester: **III (FIRST)**

Session: **MO/19**

Course Code: **AR 111**

Course Title: **Architectural Design-I (Lab)**

Number of times taught: **02**

Mobile: **9431382679**

E-mail: **rituagrawal@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

RAA

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Anjali Pathak Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Architecture and Planning Programme: B.Arch.

Semester: III Session: MO 2019

Course Code: AR112 Course Title: Building Construction II (LAB)

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 7985343561 E-mail: ar.anjali.pathak@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

Anjali

Anjali Pathak

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Anjali Pathak Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Architecture and Planning Programme: B.Arch.

Semester: III Session: MO 2019

Course Code: AR 212 Course Title: Building Construction II (LAB)

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 7985343561 E-mail: ar.anjali.pathak@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

Anjali

Anjali Pathak

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: SMRITI MISHRA Designation: ASST. PROFESSOR
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B. Arch
 Semester: III Session: M0/2019 ;
 Course Code: AR 203 Course Title: Contemporary Architecture
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 8084825903 E-mail: smriti.mishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	03
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/No No

NO

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Full syllabus covering all courses were revised in 2018 as per CBCS system.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Smriti Mishra

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANILA SMRITI SURIN Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme: B. ARCH
 Semester: 3rd Session: MO 19
 Course Code: AR 211 Course Title: Architectural Design - III
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 9798985210 E-mail: anilasurin@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Anila S. Surin

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Tiwari Pavan Kumar Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: Architecture & Planning Programme: B. Arch
 Semester: IIIrd Session: MO-19
 Course Code: AR-201 Course Title: Climatology
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 8850246013 E-mail: Pavan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO.

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NO.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RIZWAN KAZMI Designation ASST. PROF......
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B.ARCH......
 Semester: 3RD..... Session: 2019 (M.O.19), ~~M021~~
 Course Code: AR202..... Course Title: BLDG. CONSTN. & CODES.....
 Number of times taught: 01.....
 Mobile: 6200810109..... E-mail: rizwan@hitmesra.ac.in.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Rizwan Kazmi

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: BIMAL CHANDRA ROY Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture & Planning Programme: M.U.P.
 Semester: III Session: Mo/19
 Course Code: AR 702 Course Title: Sustainable City Planning
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 8084994277 E-mail: bimalcroj@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANUJ KUMAR TOPPO Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B.ARCH
 Semester: Session: No 19
 Course Code: CE 205 Course Title: Civil Engineering Drawing
 Number of times taught: 1st
 Mobile: 9334457848 E-mail: toppo.g@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO.

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anuj Kumar Toppo
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANUJ KUMAR TOPPO Designation Assitant Professor.....
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: BARCH.....
 Semester: 5th Session: MO19.....
 Course Code: AR 5304 Course Title Working Drawing - I.....
 Number of times taught: 5.....
 Mobile: 9334457848 E-mail: toppo9@rediffmail.com.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anuj Kumar Toppo
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANUJ KUMAR TOPPO Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B.ARCH.
 Semester: 5th Session: AR 5301 MO 19
 Course Code: AR 5301 Course Title: Building Services III (Electrical & Lighting)
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 933 4457848 E-mail: toppo9@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anuj Kumar Toppo
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RIZWAN KAZMI..... Designation ASST. PROF......
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B.Arch. (NON-CB.CS)
 Semester: 5TH..... Session: M.O.19.....
 Course Code: AR5306... Course Title: LANDSCAPE DESIGN.....
 Number of times taught: 01.....
 Mobile: 6200810109..... E-mail: rizwan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	03
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	02
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	01
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RAJAN CHANDRA SINHA Designation: ASST. PROFESSOR
 Department: Architecture & Planning Programme: B. Arch
 Semester: Vth Session: M.C./2019
 Course Code: AR5302 Course Title: Architectural Design - V
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 8210677747 E-mail: rajan.chandra.sinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Rajendra

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: BIMAL CHANDRA ROY Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture Dept. Programme: B.Arch
 Semester: V Session: Mo./2019
 Course Code: AR 5302 Course Title: Architectural Design - V
 Number of times taught: 08.05
 Mobile: 8084994277 E-mail: bimalcroo@bitoma.org.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANILA SMRITI SURIN

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Architecture

Programme: B. ARCH

Semester: Vth

Session: MD-19

Course Code: AR 5304 Course Title: Working Drawing - I

Number of times taught: 03

Mobile: 9798985210

E-mail: anilasurin@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Anila S. Surin

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Ritu Agrawal.**

Designation: **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Architecture and Planning**

Programme: **B.Arch.**

Semester: **VIIth**

Session: **MO/19.**

Course Code: **MUP2102**

Course Title: **Urban Ecology & Environmental Planning
Free Elective-I (Theory)**

Number of times taught: **01**

Mobile: **9431382679**

E-mail: **rituagrawal@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Ritu Agrawal.**

Designation: **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Architecture and Planning**

Programme: **B.Arch.**

Semester: **VII**

Session: **MO19**

Course Code: **AR7304**

Course Title: **Interior Design (Lab)**

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9431382679

E-mail: rituagrawal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Anjali Pathak Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Architecture and Planning Programme: B.Arch.

Semester: 7 Session: MO 2019

Course Code: AR7301 Course Title: Structural Concept in Architecture

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 7985343561 E-mail: ar.anjali.pathak@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

Anjali

Anjali Pathak

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. MANJARI CHAKRABORTY Designation : Professor & Head

Department: Architecture Programme: B.ARCH

Semester: VII Session: MO/19

Course Code: AR 7302 Course Title: Architectural Design VII

Number of times taught: *Once*

Mobile: 9431175057 E-mail: dr_manjari@bitmesra.ac.in, hod.arp@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
More no. of small projects may be floated
in this semester alongwith one major project.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Manjari 9/12/21
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. MANJARI CHAKRABORTY Designation : Professor & Head

Department: Architecture Programme: B.ARCH

Semester: VII Session: MO/19

Course Code: AR 7305 Course Title: Building Science –II [Energy Efficient Building]

Number of times taught: *...Three...times.....*

Mobile: 9431175057 E-mail: dr_manjari@bitmesra.ac.in, hod.arp@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

In module 5, the new development related to energy efficiency like "Circular Economy", 'Green energy', etc., should be incorporated.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

@lab 9/12/21
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Mr. N. K. Patnaik Designation: Asst. Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme: B.A.RCH
 Semester: 7th Session: Mo-2019
 Course Code: AR2309 Course Title: ART & Culture (Breadth Paper)
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 9677256764 E-mail: ominalpatnaik@iitbbsr.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

Yes

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Already prepared the syllabus for next semester.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Pell
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Tiwari Pavan Kumar Designation: Asst Prof.
 Department: Architecture & Planning Programme: B.Arch.
 Semester: VIIth Session: MO19
 Course Code: AR7302 Course Title: Architectural Design - VII
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 8850246013 E-mail: Pavan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NO.



 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RAJAN CHANDRA SINHA Designation: ASST. PROFESSOR
 Department: ARCHITECTURE & PLANNING Programme: B.ARCH
 Semester: VIII Session: MD/2019
 Course Code: AR 7303 Course Title: Housing and Settlement systems
 Number of times taught: 5
 Mobile: 8210677747 E-mail: rajanchandrasinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

RCSINH

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RIZWAN KAZMI Designation ASST. PROF.
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B.Arch. (NON-CBCS)
 Semester: 7TH Session: MO19
 Course Code: AR7304 Course Title: INTERIOR DESIGN
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 6200810109 E-mail: rizwan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	03
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	03
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	01
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: BIMAL CHANDRA ROY Designation Assistant Professor
 Department: Architectures & Engg Programme: Mo/2019 B.Arch
 Semester: IX Session: Mo/2019
 Course Code: AR 9301 Course Title Construction Management
 Number of times taught: 04
 Mobile: 8084994277 E-mail: bimalcroy@bitemsoa.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Ritu Agrawal.**

Designation: **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Architecture and Planning**

Programme: **B.Arch.**

Semester: **I**

Session: **SP/20**

Course Code: **AR161**

Course Title: **Architectural Design – II**

Number of times taught: **02**

Mobile: **9431382679**

E-mail: **rituagrawal@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RIZWAN KAZMI Designation ASST. PROF.
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B.ARCH.
 Semester: 2ND Session: SP20
 Course Code: AR152 Course Title: HISTORY OF ARCHITECTURE - WESTERN
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 6200810109 E-mail: rizwan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	02
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Ritu Agrawal.**

Designation: **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Architecture and Planning**

Programme: **B.Arch.**

Semester: **II**

Session: ~~MO/19~~ **SP/20**

Course Code: **AR 163**

Course Title: **Architectural Rendering Techniques**

Number of times taught: **01**

Mobile: **9431382679**

E-mail: **rituagrawal@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Satyalekhi Sankar Designation: Asso. Prof
 Department: Architecture Programme: BArch
 Semester: 2nd Session: SP-20
 Course Code: AR16.2 Course Title: Building Construction-I
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 9431597302 E-mail: satyaleksankar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Anuj Kumar Toppo Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: BARCH
 Semester: 2nd Session: SP 20
 Course Code: AR15J Course Title: Advanced Building Material
 Number of times taught: 5
 Mobile: 9334457848 E-mail: toppo9@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anuj Kumar Toppo
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: MARINAL K PATNAK Designation: Asst. Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme: B.Arch
 Semester: III Session: Sp-20
 Course Code: MC-104 Course Title: Creative Art
 Number of times taught: 13
 Mobile: 7672256264 E-mail: marinal.patlak@bapna.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: MRINAL K. PATEL Designation: Asst. Professor
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B-ARCH
 Semester: Session: SP-2020
 Course Code: AR-163 Course Title: Architectural Rendering technique
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 7637259264 E-mail: mrinal.patel@vit.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RATAN CHANDRA SINHA Designation: ASST. PROFESSOR
 Department: ARCHITECTURE & PLANNING Programme: B. ARCH
 Semester: IInd PLANNING Session: SP/2020
 Course Code: AR2306 Course Title: Building Construction - I
 Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 8210677747 E-mail: rajanchandrasinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Ratan

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Tiwari Pavan Kumar Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: Architecture & Planning Programme: B. Arch.
 Semester: IIIrd Session: SP-20
 Course Code: AR-251 Course Title: Building Services - I
 Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 8850246013 E-mail: Pavan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NO :

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. MANJARI CHAKRABORTY Designation : Professor & Head

Department: Architecture Programme: B.ARCH

Semester: IV Session: SP/20

Course Code: AR 261 Course Title: Architectural Design IV

Number of times taught: *a few times*

Mobile: 9431175057 E-mail: dr_manjari@bitmesra.ac.in, hod.arp@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
Syllabus & teaching pattern may be restructured for online teaching mode.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
Difficult to handle the subject in online mode.

@lak 9/12/21
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RIZWAN KAZMI.....Designation ASST. PROF......
 Department: ARCHITECTURE..... Programme: B.ARCH......
 Semester: 4TH..... Session: SP20.....
 Course Code: AR 261..... Course Title: ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN-IV & ACADEMIC FIELD TRIP
 Number of times taught: 01.....
 Mobile: 6200810109..... E-mail: rizwan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	02
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	02
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANUJ KUMAR TOPPD..... Designation Asstt. Professor
 Department: ARCHITECTURE..... Programme: B.Arch
 Semester: IV..... Session: SP.20
 Course Code: AR 252... Course Title Building Services-II (Electrical & lighting)
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 9334457848..... E-mail: toppd.g@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anuj Kumar Toppd
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RIZWAN KAZMI Designation ASST. PROF.
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B.TECH., B.ARCH. (NON-CBCS)
 Semester: 4TH, ADDITIONAL SEMESTER Session: SP20, MO20 (ADDITIONAL)
 Course Code: AR251 Course Title: BLDG. SERVICES - I (WATER SUPPLY & SANITATION)
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 6200810109 E-mail: rizwan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	02
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	03
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Rizwan Kazmi

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RAJAN CHANDRA SINHA Designation: Asst. PROFESSOR
 Department: ARCHITECTURE & PLANNING Programme: B.Arch
 Semester: IVth Session: SP/2020
 Course Code: AR 4304 Course Title: Building Construction III
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 8210677747 E-mail: rajan.chandrasinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RAJAN CHANDRA SINHA Designation ASST. PROFESSOR
 Department: ARCHITECTURE & PLANNING Programme: B.ARCH
 Semester: VIIth (IMSE) Session: S.P. 2020
 Course Code: AR 8037 Course Title Disaster Management
 Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 8210677747 E-mail: rajanchandra.sinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Rajank

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: BIMAL CHANDRA ROY Designation Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture & Planning Programme: B. Arch.
 Semester: IV Session: SP/2020
 Course Code: AR4304 Course Title (AR 4304) Building Construction-III
 Number of times taught: 03
 Mobile: 8034994277 E-mail: bimalcroy@bitemsoa.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Tiwari Pavan Kumar Designation: Asst Prof.
 Department: Architecture & Planning Programme: B. Arch.
 Semester: VIth Session: SP-20
 Course Code: AR-6301 Course Title: Building Services - IV
 Number of times taught: 0. 1.
 Mobile: 8850246013 E-mail: Pavan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NO


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: BIMAL CHANDRA ROY Designation Assistant Professor
 Department: Architectures & Planning Programme: B. Arch.
 Semester: VI Session: SP/2020
 Course Code: AR 6406 Course Title Specification Estimation & Costing
 Number of times taught: 04
 Mobile: 8084994277 E-mail: bimalcroj@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Saljaki Sankar Designation: Assoc Prof
 Department: Architecture Programme: B.Arch
 Semester: 6th Session: S.P./20
 Course Code: AR6302 Course Title: Architecture Design - VI
 Number of times taught: 06
 Mobile: 9431597302 E-mail: saljaki.sankar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Anjali Pathak Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Architecture and Planning Programme: B.Arch.

Semester: 6 Session: SP 20

Course Code: AR 6302 Course Title: Architectural Design VI

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 7985343561 E-mail: ar.anjali.pathak@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

Anjali

Anjali Pathak

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Anjali Pathak Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture and Planning Programme: B.Arch.
 Semester: 8 Session: SP 20
 Course Code: MUP 3109 Course Title: City and Metropolitan Planning
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 7985343561 E-mail: ar.anjali.pathak@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....


 Anjali Pathak

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANUJ KUMAR TOPPO Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B.A.R.C.H.
 Semester: open elective VIIth Session: SP20
 Course Code: AR 406 Course Title: Specification, Estimation & Costing
 Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 9334457848 E-mail: toppo.g@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO.

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anuj Kumar Toppo
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Anjali Pathak Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Architecture and Planning Programme: B.Arch.

Semester: Session: SP 20

Course Code: AR 655 Course Title: Regional and Rural Planning (Open Elective)

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 7985343561 E-mail: ar.anjali.pathak@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	1
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

Content is modules overlap and is broadly mentioned. Structuring it will help the teacher to get enough time for discussions and interactive sessions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Anjali Pathak

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: SMRITI MISHRA Designation ASSISTANT PROFESSOR
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B. Arch
 Semester: VIII Session: SP/2020
 Course Code: AR 8307 Course Title Disaster Management
 Number of times taught: 03
 Mobile: 8084825903 E-mail: smritimishra@bitmeera.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions



The full syllabus for B. Arch program
was revised in 2018 as per CBCS including
the course AR 8307 Disaster Management.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Smriti Mishra

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANILA SMRITI SURIN

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Architecture

Programme: B. ARCH

Semester: VIIIth Session: SP 2020, SP 2021

Course Code: AR 8401 Course Title: Principles of Human Settlement & Urban Design

Number of times taught: 04

Mobile: 9798985210

E-mail: anilasurin@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anila S. Surin
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANILA SMRITI SURIN

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Architecture

Programme: B. ARCH.....

Semester: VIIIth.....

Session: SP. 2020.....

Course Code: AR. B304... Course Title: Introduction to Thesis Project & Research Methodology

Number of times taught: 01.....

Mobile: 9798985210

E-mail: anilasurin@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Anila S. Surin
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANILA SMRITI SURIN Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme: B. ARCH.....
 Semester: VIth..... Session: SP-2020.....
 Course Code: AR 6304..... Course Title: Working Drawing -II.....
 Number of times taught:
 Mobile: 9798985210 E-mail: anilasurin@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anila S. Surin

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. MANJARI CHAKRABORTY Designation : Professor & Head

Department: Architecture Programme: B.ARCH

Semester: VIII Session: SP/20

Course Code: AR 8311 Course Title: Sustainability and Built Environment

Number of times taught: *...More...than...3...times...since...it...was...an...elective...subject.*

Mobile: 9431175057 E-mail: dr_manjari@bitmesra.ac.in, hod.arp@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

..... *...this course has been discontinued.*

.....

.....

.....

Manjari 9/12/21
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RIZWAN KAZMI Designation ASST. PROF.
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B.ARCH. (NON-CBRS)
 Semester: B.T.H Session: S.P.20
 Course Code: AR8304 Course Title: INTRODUCTION TO THESIS PROJECT & RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 6200810109 E-mail: rizwan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	02
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	03
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	02

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Rizwan Kazmi

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANUJ KUMAR TOPPO Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Assistant Professor Programme: BARCH
 Semester: 1st Session: SP/2021
 Course Code: AR 151 Course Title: Advanced Building Material
 Number of times taught: 2nd
 Mobile: 9334457848 E-mail: toppo9@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anuj Kumar Toppo
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Arvind P. Palkar Designation: Asst. Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme: B:ARCH
 Semester: IV Session: ~~10-11~~ SP-2021
 Course Code: AR-163 Course Title: Architectural Rendering techniques
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 7870256265 E-mail: arvind.palkar@vit.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANUJ KUMAR TOPPO Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B.ARCH
 Semester: 1st Session: M.20
 Course Code: AR 102 Course Title: Primary Building Material
 Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 9334457848 E-mail: toppo9@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anuj Kumar Toppo
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: MRINAL K PATIL Designation: Asst. Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme: B.Arch
 Semester: PSI Session: M.O - 2020
 Course Code: AR-11 Course Title: Architectural Design - I
 Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 7673256264 E-mail: mrinalpatil@bitsonline.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Mrinal K. Patnaik Designation: Asst. Professor
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B.Arch
 Semester: IV Session: NO-2020
 Course Code: AR-113 Course Title: Construction Technique & model making workshop
 Number of times taught: 4
 Mobile: 9677256764 E-mail: mrinalpatnaik@bitanusa.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

N/A

.....

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Ritu Agrawal.**

Designation: **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Architecture and Planning**

Programme: **B.Arch.**

Semester: **VI I .**

Session: **MO/20**

Course Code: **AR 113**

Course Title: **Construction Technique and Model Making Workshop (Lab)**

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9431382679

E-mail: rituagrawal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: SMRITI MISHRA Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR
 Department: Architecture Programme: B. Arch
 Semester: I Session: Mo/2020
 Course Code: AR111 Course Title: Architectural Design - I
 Number of times taught: 04
 Mobile: 8084825903 E-mail: smritimishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Course content was revised in 2018

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Smriti Mishra

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Ritu Agrawal.**

Designation: **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Architecture and Planning**

Programme: **B.Tech. (Civil)**

Semester: **II**

Session: **MO/20**

Course Code: **CE 205**

Course Title: **Civil Engineering Drawing**

Number of times taught: **01**

Mobile: **9431382679**

E-mail: **rituagrawal@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	-----------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANUJ KUMAR TOPPO Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B.Arch
 Semester: III Sem Session: MO 20
 Course Code: AR 213 Course Title: Computer Application in Architecture
 Number of times taught: 3rd
 Mobile: 9334457848 E-mail: toppo.g@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4 3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anuj Kumar Toppo
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANUJ KUMAR TOPPO Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B.A.R.C.H.
 Semester: III Session: MO 20'
 Course Code: AR 212 Course Title: Building construction - II
 Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 9334457848 E-mail: toppo.g@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anuj Kumar Toppo
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANUJ KUMAR TOPPO Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B.ARCH
 Semester: MUP 3rd Sem Session: NO - 20
 Course Code: AR 703 Course Title: Sustainable City Planning
 Number of times taught: 1st
 Mobile: 9334457848 E-mail: toppo@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anuj Kumar Toppo

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: SMRITI MISHRA Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme: B. Arch
 Semester: III Session: MO/2020
 Course Code: AR 203 Course Title: Contemporary Architecture
 Number of times taught: 03
 Mobile: 8084825903 E-mail: smritimishra@bitmeera.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	03
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No No

No.

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. MANJARI CHAKRABORTY Designation : Professor & Head

Department: Architecture Programme: B.ARCH

Semester: III Session: MO/20

Course Code: AR 201 Course Title: Climatology

Number of times taught: 3 Times

Mobile: 9431175057 E-mail: dr_manjari@bitmesra.ac.in, hod.arp@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	<u>4</u>
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	<u>4</u>
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	<u>4</u>
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	<u>3</u>
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	<u>3</u>
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	<u>3</u>
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	<u>3</u>
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
There is scope for addition of some applied knowledge in the course structure.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
update in every two years are necessary.

Manjari
 09/12/21
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Satyalei Sarlean Designation Assoc. Prof
 Department: Architecture Programme: B.Arch
 Semester: 3rd Session: MO/20
 Course Code: AR 202 Course Title Building construction & Codes
 Number of times taught: 05
 Mobile: 9431597302 E-mail: satyalei.sarlean@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Amrkat

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: BIMAL CHANDRA ROY Designation Assistant Professor
 Department: Architectural Engg Programme: B. Arch.
 Semester: III Session: Mo/2020
 Course Code: AR 212 Course Title Building Construction - II
 Number of times taught: 03
 Mobile: 8084994277 E-mail: bimalroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: MRINAL K PATNAIK Designation: Asst. Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme: B.A.R.C.H
 Semester: III Session: SP-2: MO-2P
 Course Code: MC-204 Course Title: Creative Arts
 Number of times taught: 13
 Mobile: 7677256764 E-mail: mrinal.pattai@vitmaersa.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RIZWAN KAZMI Designation ASST. PROF.
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B:ARCH
 Semester: III Session: MO 20
 Course Code: AR 211 Course Title ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN - II
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 6200810109 E-mail: rizwan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	02
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	03

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Rizwan Kazmi

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RAJAN CHANDRA SINHA Designation: ASST. PROFESSOR
 Department: ARCHITECTURE & PLANNING Programme: B. ARCH.
 Semester: IIIrd Session: MO/2020
 Course Code: AR. 21.2 Course Title: Building Construction - II
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 8210677747 E-mail: rajanchandrasinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Tiwari Pavan Kumar Designation: Asst Prof.
 Department: Architecture & Planning Programme: B. Arch.
 Semester: IIIrd Session: MO-20.
 Course Code: AR-313 Course Title: Building information Modelling- Lab.
 Number of times taught: 1.
 Mobile: 8850246013 E-mail: Pavan@bitmesra.ac.in.

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

No



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Tiwari Pavan Kumar Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: Architecture & Planning Programme: B. Arch.
 Semester: IIIrd Session: MO-20
 Course Code: AR-302 Course Title: Building Services- III
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 8850246013 E-mail: Pavan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

NO

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NO



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Tiwari Pavan Kumar Designation: Asst Prof.
 Department: Architecture & Planning Programme: B. Arch.
 Semester: Vth Session: MO-20.
 Course Code: AR-311 Course Title: Architectural Design - I
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 8850246013 E-mail: Pavan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NO



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: BIMAL CHANDRA ROY Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture & Planning Programme: B. Arch
 Semester: V Session: Mo/2020
 Course Code: AR 301 Course Title: Acoustics
 Number of times taught: 05
 Mobile: 8084994277 E-mail: bimalcroj@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Satyalei Sarbkar Designation: Assoc. Prof.
 Department: Architecture Programme: B.Arch
 Semester: 5th Session: MO/20
 Course Code: AR311 Course Title: Architectural Design - V
 Number of times taught: 08
 Mobile: 9431597302 E-mail: satyaleisarkar@bitmusa.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No ✓
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RAJAN CHANDRA SINHA Designation ASST. PROFESSOR
 Department: ARCHITECTURE & PLANNING Programme: B.ARCH
 Semester: VII Session: M.O/2020
 Course Code: AR 7302 Course Title Architectural Design - VII
 Number of times taught: 4
 Mobile: 821067747 E-mail: rajanchandrasinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Rajan Chandra Sinha

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RAJAN CHANDRA SINHA Designation: ASST. PROFESSOR
 Department: ARCHITECTURE & PLANNING Programme: B. ARCH
 Semester: VU-TH Session: SEM. MD. | 2020
 Course Code: AR 7303 Course Title: HOUSING AND SETTLEMENT SYSTEMS
 Number of times taught: 6
 Mobile: 8210677417 E-mail: rajanchandrasinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: BIMAL CHANDRA ROY Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture & Planning Programme: B. Arch.
 Semester: VII Session: Mo/2020
 Course Code: AR.73.02 Course Title: Architectural Design-VII
 Number of times taught: 05
 Mobile: 8084994277 E-mail: bimalcroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANILA SMRITI SURIN Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme: *B. ARCH*
 Semester: ... *VII* Session: *MO. 2020*
 Course Code: *AR 7301* Course Title: *Structural Concepts in Architecture*
 Number of times taught: *03*
 Mobile: 9798985210 E-mail: anilasurin@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	<i>4</i>
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	<i>4</i>
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	<i>4</i>
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	<i>3</i>
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	<i>4</i>
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	<i>4</i>
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	<i>3</i>
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	<i>2</i>
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	<i>4</i>
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	<i>4</i>
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	<i>4</i>
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	<i>3</i>

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anila S. Surin
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Minal K. Patil Designation: Asst. Professor
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B.Arch
 Semester: 7th Session: Mo. 2020
 Course Code: AR-3309 Course Title: ART & Culture (Broadly Paper)
 Number of times taught: 4
 Mobile: 98225266 E-mail: minalpatil@bitonara.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No/Yes

Already communicated new
Syllabus for Art & Culture:

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Minal K. Patil
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Ritu Agrawal.**

Designation: **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Architecture and Planning**

Programme: **B.Arch.**

Semester: **VII**

Session: **MO/20**

Course Code: **AR7304**

Course Title: **Interior Design (Lab)**

Number of times taught: **02**

Mobile: **9431382679**

E-mail: **rituagrawal@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Ritu Agrawal.**

Designation: **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Architecture and Planning**

Programme: **B.Arch.**

Semester: **VIIIth**

Session: **MO/20**

Course Code: **MUP2102**

Course Title: **Urban Ecology & Environmental Planning
Free Elective-I (Theory)**

Number of times taught: **01**

Mobile: **9431382679**

E-mail: **rituagrawal@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: BIMAL CHANDRA ROY Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Architectures & Planning Programme: B. Arch
 Semester: IX Session: Mo/2020
 Course Code: AR9103 Course Title: Construction Management
 Number of times taught: 05
 Mobile: 8084994277 E-mail: bimalcroj@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RIZWAN KAZMI Designation ASST. PROF.
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: M. B. ARCH. (NON-CBES)
 Semester: 8TH Session: M.O.20 (ADDITIONAL)
 Course Code: MUP3109 Course Title: CITY & METROPOLITAN PLANNING
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 6200810109 E-mail: rizwan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	03
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	02
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Anjali Pathak Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Architecture and Planning Programme: B.Arch.

Semester: Session: MO 20

Course Code: AR 605 Course Title: Urban Ecology and Environmental Planning (OE)

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 7985343561 E-mail: ar.anjali.pathak@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....



Anjali Pathak

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Anuj Kumar Toppo Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Assistant Professor Programme: B.Arch
 Semester: 1st Session: SP/2021
 Course Code: AR 151 Course Title: Advanced Building Material
 Number of times taught: 2nd
 Mobile: 9334457848 E-mail: toppo9@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anuj Kumar Toppo
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Primal P. Patil Designation: Asst. Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme: B:ARCH
 Semester: IV Session: ~~HO-11~~ SR-2021
 Course Code: AR-163 Course Title: Architectural Rendering technique
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 7272256265 E-mail: primal.patil@vitkareasa.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: BIMAL CHANDRA ROY Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture Dept. Programme: B.Arch.
 Semester: II Session: SP/2021
 Course Code: AR2036 Course Title: Building Construction - I
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 8084994277 E-mail: bimalcroy@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Tiwari Pavan Kumar Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: Architecture & Planning Programme: B. Arch.
 Semester: IIIrd Session: SP-21
 Course Code: AR-281 Course Title: Building Services - I.
 Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 8850246013 E-mail: Pavan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

No.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Ritu Agrawal.**

Designation: **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Architecture and Planning**

Programme: **B.Arch.**

Semester: **IV**

Session: ~~MO/20~~ **SP/21.**

Course Code: **AR 262**

Course Title: **Building Construction – III**

Number of times taught: **01**

Mobile: **9431382679**

E-mail: **rituagrawal@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Anuj Kumar Toppo Designation: Asstt Prof
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B.Arch
 Semester: IVth Session: SP/2021
 Course Code: AR252 Course Title: Building Services - II (Electrical & Lighting)
 Number of times taught: 8
 Mobile: 9334457898 E-mail: toppo9@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anuj Kumar Toppo
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. MANJARI CHAKRABORTY Designation : Professor & Head

Department: Architecture Programme: B.ARCH

Semester: IV Session: SP/21

Course Code: AR 261 Course Title: Architectural Design IV

Number of times taught: *a few times*

Mobile: 9431175057 E-mail: dr_manjari@bitmesra.ac.in, hod.arp@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
Syllabus & teaching pattern may be restructured
for online teaching mode.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....
Difficult to handle the subject in online mode.

@lak . 9/12/21
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Prinid K. Pottale Designation: Asst. Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme: B.Arch
 Semester: IV Session: Sp-21
 Course Code: MC-204 Course Title: Creative Art
 Number of times taught: 13
 Mobile: 9677255754 E-mail: prinid.pottale@vit.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Tiwari Pavan Kumar Designation: Asst Prof.
 Department: Architecture & Planning Programme: B.Tech.
 Semester: Vth Session: S.P.-21
 Course Code: AR-251 Course Title: Building Services - I. (open electve)
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 8850246013 E-mail: Pavan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

No



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANUJ KUMAR TOPPO Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: BARCH
 Semester: VI Session: SP./2021
 Course Code: AR 362 Course Title: Working Drawing - II
 Number of times taught: 4
 Mobile: 9334457848 E-mail: toppo90@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anuj Kumar Toppo
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RIZWAN KAZMI Designation: ASST. PROF.
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B: ARCH.
 Semester: GTH Session: SP21
 Course Code: AR36 Course Title: WORKING DRAWINGS -II
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 6200810109 E-mail: rizwan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	02
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	03
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Rizwan Kazmi
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RAJAN CHANDRA SINHA Designation: Asst. PROFESSOR
 Department: ARCHITECTURE & PLANNING Programme: B. ARCH
 Semester: VIth Session: SP/2021
 Course Code: AR 351 Course Title: Specification, Estimation & Costing
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 8210677747 E-mail: rajanchandrasinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Rajan

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: BIMAL CHANDRA ROY Designation Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture & Planning Programme: B Arch
 Semester: VI Session: S.P./2024
 Course Code: AR351 Course Title Specification, Estimation & Costing
 Number of times taught: 05
 Mobile: 8084994277 E-mail: bimalcroy@bitemas.org.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Saljalei Sarkar Designation: Asstt Prof
 Department: Architecture Programme: B. Arch.
 Semester: 6th Session: SP/21
 Course Code: AR 3.61 Course Title: Arch. Des. and Acad. Field Trip-VI
 Number of times taught: 08
 Mobile: 9431597302 E-mail: saljaleisarkar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Sarkar

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: SMRITI MISHRA Designation Assistant Professor
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B. Arch
 Semester: VIII Session: SP/2021
 Course Code: AR8307 Course Title Disaster Management
 Number of times taught: 04
 Mobile: 8084825903 E-mail: smritimishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Smriti Mishra

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: BIMAL CHANDRA ROY Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture Dept. Programme: B. Arch.
 Semester: VIII Session: SP-2021
 Course Code: AR8302 Course Title: Architectural Design-VIII
 Number of times taught: 04
 Mobile: 8084994277 E-mail: bimalcroj@kimsaqa.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. MANJARI CHAKRABORTY Designation : Professor & Head

Department: Architecture Programme: B.ARCH

Semester: VIII Session: SP/21

Course Code: AR 8311 Course Title: Sustainability and Built Environment

Number of times taught: *More than 3 times since it was an elective sub.*

Mobile: 9431175057 E-mail: dr_manjari@bitmesra.ac.in, hod.arp@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

This course has been discontinued.

.....

.....

M. Manjari
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Ritu Agrawal.**

Designation: **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Architecture and Planning**

Programme: **B.Arch.**

Semester: **VIII**

Session: **SP/21**

Course Code: **AR 8304**

Course Title: **Introduction to Thesis Project &**

Research Methodology

Number of times taught: **01**

Mobile: **9431382679**

E-mail: **rituagrawal@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RAJAN CHANDRA SINHA Designation ASST. PROFESSOR
 Department: ARCHITECTURE & PLANNING Programme: B. ARCH.
 Semester: VIII Session: SP/2021
 Course Code: AA 8302 Course Title Architectural Design - VIII
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 8210677747 E-mail: rajanchandrasinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Rajendra

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Tiwari Pavan Kumar Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: Architecture & Planning Programme: M.Tech (Open Elective) / M.Sc.
 Semester: 1st Session: MO-19
 Course Code: AR-604 Course Title: Disaster Management and Planning.
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 8850246013 E-mail: Pavan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NO.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RIZWAN KAZMI Designation: ASST. PROF.
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: MUP
 Semester: 01ST Session: MO19
 Course Code: AR.605 Course Title: URBAN ECOLOGY & ENV. PLANNING
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 6200810109 E-mail: rizwan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Rizwan Kazmi
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANILA SMRITI SURIN Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme: MUP
 Semester: 1st Session: MD19, MD20
 Course Code: AR 602 Course Title: Transportation Planning
 Number of times taught: 04
 Mobile: 9798985210 E-mail: anilasurin@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anila S. Surin

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: SMRITI MISHRA Designation Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme: Master in Urban Planning
 Semester: I Session: Mo/2019
 Course Code: AR.611 Course Title Plng Studio / Workshop I (Lab)
 Number of times taught: 03
 Mobile: 8084825903 E-mail: smritimishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/No No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

Course was revised in 2018 as per CBCS system

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Smriti Mishra

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Ritu Agrawal.**

Designation: **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Architecture and Planning**

Programme: **MUP**

Semester: **Ist**

Session: **MO/19**

Course Code: **AR601**

Course Title: **Introduction to Town and Regional Planning (Theory)**

Number of times taught: **01**

Mobile: **9431382679**

E-mail: **rituagrawal@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Satyalei Sarbkar Designation Assoc. Prof.
 Department: Ar Phitecture Programme: MUP
 Semester: 1st Session: MO/19
 Course Code: AR611 Course Title Planning studio / workshop
 Number of times taught: 04
 Mobile: 9431597302 E-mail: satyalei.sarbkar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No Yes No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Satyaki Sankar Designation: Assoc. Prof.
 Department: Architecture Programme: B.Arch MUP
 Semester: 3rd Session: MO/19
 Course Code: AR 701 Course Title: Urban Regeneration & Con. Tech.
 Number of times taught: 11
 Mobile: 9431597302 E-mail: satyakisankar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Little reorganisation of course and introduction of latest examples are required

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: BIMAL CHANDRA ROY Designation: Assistants Professor
 Department: Architectures & Planning Programme: MUP
 Semester: II Session: SP/2020
 Course Code: AR 653 Course Title: Urban Infrastructure Planning
 Number of times taught: 03
 Mobile: 8084994277 E-mail: bimalcroy@bitemsrq.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANILA SMRITI SURIN Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme:MUP.....
 Semester:2nd..... Session:.....SP-2020, SP-2021.....
 Course Code: AR 654..... Course Title: New Town Planning.....
 Number of times taught:02.....
 Mobile: 9798985210 E-mail: anilasurin@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anila S. Surin

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: SMRITI MISHRA Designation Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme: Masters in Urban Plng (MUP)
 Semester: II Session: SP/2020
 Course Code: AR661 Course Title Plng Studio / Workshop -II
 Number of times taught: 03
 Mobile: 8084825903 E-mail: smriti.mishra@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Smriti Mishra

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Ritu Agrawal.**

Designation: **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Architecture and Planning**

Programme: **MUP**

Semester: **II**

Session: **SP/20**

Course Code: **AR 655**

Course Title: **Regional and Rural Planning (Programme Elective)**

Number of times taught: **01**

Mobile: **9431382679**

E-mail: **rituagrawal@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	-----------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Tiwari Pavan Kumar Designation: Asst Prof.
 Department: Architecture & Planning Programme: M.U.P.
 Semester: IInd Session: SP-20
 Course Code: AR 661 Course Title: Planning Studio / Workshop-II
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 8850246013 E-mail: Pavan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NO



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANILA SMRITI SURIN Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme: MUP
 Semester: Ist Session: MO. 2020
 Course Code: AR 611 Course Title: Planning Studio / Workshop - I
 Number of times taught: 03
 Mobile: 9798985210 E-mail: anilasurin@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anila S. Surin
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: SMRITI MISHRA Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme: MUP
 Semester: I Session: MO/2020
 Course Code: AR611 Course Title: Planning Studio/Workshop I (Lab)
 Number of times taught: 04
 Mobile: 80825903 E-mail: smritimishra@bitmeera.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/No No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Course was revised in 2018 as per CBCS system

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Smriti Mishra

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Smriti Mishra Designation Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme: Masters in Urban Planning
 Semester: I Session: Mo/2020
 Course Code: AR605 Course Title Urban Ecology & Env. Plng.
 Number of times taught: 04
 Mobile: 8084825903 E-mail: smritimishra@bitmeera.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	01
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	03
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Course content in Module 4 & Module 5 can be reduced.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

Smriti Mishra

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Ritu Agrawal.** Designation: **Assistant Professor**
 Department: **Architecture and Planning** Programme: **MUP**
 Semester: **Ist** Session: **MO/20**
 Course Code: **AR601** Course Title: **Introduction to Town and Regional Planning (Theory)**
 Number of times taught: **02**
 Mobile: **9431382679** E-mail: **rituagrawal@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RAJAN CHANDRA SINHA Designation ASST. PROFESSOR
 Department: ARCHITECTURE & PLANNING Programme B.ARECH. M.U.P.
 Semester: 1st Session: MOI 2020
 Course Code: AR.604 Course Title Disaster Management & Planning
 Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 8210677747 E-mail: rajanchandrasinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Rajendra

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Tiwari Pavan Kumar Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: Architecture & Planning Programme: M.Tech / M.Sc (Open electve)
 Semester: 1st / 3rd Session: MO-20.
 Course Code: AR-604 Course Title: Disaster management & Planning.
 Number of times taught: 2.
 Mobile: 8850246013 E-mail: Pavan@bitmesra.ac.in.

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....NO.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: BIMAL CHANDRA ROY Designation Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture & Plng. Programme: MUP
 Semester: II Session: SP/2021
 Course Code: AR653 Course Title Urban Infrastructure Planning
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 8084994277 E-mail: bimalcroy@bitmesrg.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ANILA SMRITI SURIN Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Architecture Programme:MUP.....
 Semester:2nd..... Session:SP 2021.....
 Course Code: AR 661 Course Title: Planning Studio / Workshop - II
 Number of times taught:03.....
 Mobile: 9798985210 E-mail: anilasurin@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Anila S. Surin
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: SMRITI MISHRA Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: MUP
 Semester: II Session: SP/2021
 Course Code: AR661 Course Title: Planning Studio / Workshop II (Lab)
 Number of times taught: 04
 Mobile: 8084825903 E-mail: smritimishra@bitmeera.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/No Yes No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Smriti Mishra

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Ritu Agrawal.**

Designation: **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Architecture and Planning**

Programme: **MUP**

Semester: **II**

Session: **SP/21**

Course Code: **AR 655**

Course Title: **Regional and Rural Planning (Open Elective)**

Number of times taught: **01**

Mobile: **9431382679**

E-mail: **rituagrawal@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Ritu Agrawal.**

Designation: **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Architecture and Planning**

Programme: **MUP**

Semester: **II**

Session: **SP/21**

Course Code: **AR 655**

Course Title: **Regional and Rural Planning (Programme Elective)**

Number of times taught: **02**

Mobile: **9431382679**

E-mail: **rituagrawal@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RAJAN CHANDRA SINHA Designation: ASST. PROFESSOR
 Department: ARCHITECTURE & PLANNING Programme: ~~B.Arch~~ M.U.P.
 Semester: III Session: S.P. 2021
 Course Code: AR 652 Course Title: HOUSING AND COMMUNITY PLANNING
 Number of times taught: 5
 Mobile: 8210677747 E-mail: rajanchandrasinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

R. Sinha

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: RIZWAN KAZMI Designation: ASST. PROF.
 Department: ARCHITECTURE Programme: B.A. I. M.Sc. (MATHS)
 Semester: 8TH Session: SP21
 Course Code: AR8037 Course Title: DISASTER MANAGEMENT
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 6200810109 E-mail: rizwan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	01
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	03
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	03
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	02
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.