

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bappa Acherjee Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: Production & Industrial Engg. Programme: B. Tech in Production Engg.
 Semester: Vth Session: Nov 20
 Course Code: PE303 Course Title: Design of Machine Elements.
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 8294270873 E-mail: bappa.raua@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

Yes

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

This is crucial subject for students studying Production Engg. The syllabus of the course is well designed and achieves course objectives. However, I believe the substance of the syllabus of the course is too extensive for a single semester. The syllabus content may be refined further to optimize the course content without undermining the course objectives.
 Any other specific comments:

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bappa Acherjee Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: Production & Industrial Engg. Programme: B.Tech in Production Engg.
 Semester: VI Session: SP/21
 Course Code: PE 312 Course Title: Machin Tool Design Semisnal
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 8294270873 E-mail: bappa.rau@bitnem.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bappa Acharjee Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: Production & Industrial Engg. Programme: B.Tech in Product Engg.
 Semester: VI Session: SP/21
 Course Code: PE 311 Course Title: Machine Tool Design
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 8274270873 E-mail: bappa_sana@bidnera.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree - 4	Agree - 3	Neither agree nor disagree - 2	Disagree	Strongly disagree - 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	----------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	5 4 3 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bappa Achegjee Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: Production & Industrial Engg. Programme: B. Tech (AU)
 Semester: I & II Session: SP/21
 Course Code: PE 101 Course Title: Workshop Practices.
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 8274270873 E-mail: bappa_saua@bitnema.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Somak Datta
 Department: Production & Industrial Engg.
 Semester: IV
 Course Code: PE206
 Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 7631255287

Designation: Assistant Professor
 Programme: B.Tech.
 Session: SP/21
 Course Title: Metrology & measurement
 E-mail: somakdatta@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Somak Datta
 11/12/24
 (Dr. Somak Datta)

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Dr. Somak Datta*

Designation: *Assistant Professor*

Department: *Production & Industrial Engineering*

Programme: *B.E.*

Semester: *VII*

Session: *MO/20*

Course Code: *PE 7021*

Course Title: *Maintenance Engineering*

Number of times taught: *3rd years.*

Mobile: *7631255287*

E-mail: *Somak.datta@bitmesra.ac.in*

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

It will be better for understanding of students, if some hands-on experiments are included on condition monitoring techniques and some case-study from industries may be performed.

Any other specific comments:

Somak 01/12/21
Signature of the Teacher
(Dr. Somak Datta)

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Somak Datta

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Production & Industrial Engg.

Programme: B.Tech.

Semester: III

Session: M0/20

Course Code: PE213

Course Title: Manufacturing Processes

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 7631255287

E-mail: somakedatta@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

..... The course has been designed according to the requirements of mechanical Engineering department students.

Signature of the Teacher

Somak Datta
(Dr. Somak Datta)

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Dy. L. N. Pattanaike*

Designation: *Assoc. Prof.*

Department: *Prod & IE*

Programme: *Ph.D*

Semester: *I*

Session: *Sp'21*

Course Code: *PE 601*

Course Title: *Data Analytics*

Number of times taught: *1*

Mobile: *9430731527*

E-mail: *lnpattanaike@bitmesra.ac.in*

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	<i>4</i>
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	<i>3</i>
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	<i>4</i>
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	<i>4</i>
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	<i>3</i>
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	<i>3</i>
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	<i>3</i>
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	<i>3</i>
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	<i>3</i>
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	<i>4</i>
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	<i>4</i>
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	<i>2</i>

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

[Signature]
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. L.N. Pattanaik

Designation: Assoc. Prof.

Department: Prod & IE

Programme: BTech

Semester: IV

Session: Sp'21

Course Code: PE 208

Course Title: Project Engineering

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 9430731527

E-mail: lnpattanaik@iitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. L. N. Pattanaik

Designation: Assoc. Prof.

Department: Psod & IE

Programme: M.Tech

Semester: III

Session: Mo'20

Course Code: PE 601

Course Title: Data Analytics

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9430731527

E-mail: ln.pattanaik@nitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Drr L. N. Pattanaik*

Designation: *Assoc. Prof.*

Department: *Prod & IE*

Programme: *BTech*

Semester: *V*

Session: *Mo '20*

Course Code: *PE 308*

Course Title: *Logistics & Supply Chain Mgmt.*

Number of times taught: *2*

Mobile: *9430731527*

E-mail: *lnpattanaik@kietmessa.ac.in*

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	<i>4</i>
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	<i>4</i>
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	<i>4</i>
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	<i>4</i>
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	<i>4</i>
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	<i>3</i>
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	<i>3</i>
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	<i>3</i>
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	<i>3</i>
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	<i>4</i>
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	<i>4</i>
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	<i>3</i>

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

[Signature]
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. RANDHIR KUMAR

Designation: Assistant Prof.

Department: Production

Programme: BE

Semester: 7th

Session: MO 20

Course Code: PE 7011

Course Title: Advanced Manufacturing Processes

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9304538489

E-mail: randhirkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Randhir Kumar

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. RANDHIR KUMAR

Designation: Assistant Prof.

Department: Production & Ind. Engg.

Programme: B.Tech.

Semester: 6th.

Session: SP21

Course Code: PE 303

Course Title: Project Management.

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9304538489

E-mail: randhir.kumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO.

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

R.kumar.

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. RANDHIR KUMAR Designation: Assistant Prof.
 Department: Production & Ind. Engg. Programme: Pre-Ph.D.
 Semester: 1st Session: SP21
 Course Code: PE508 Course Title: Operations and Supply chain Management
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 9304538489 E-mail: randhirkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

R Kumar

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Dr. RANDHIR KUMAR*

Designation: *Assistant Prof.*

Department: *Production & Ind. Engg.*

Programme: *Pre-Ph.D.*

Semester: *1st*

Session: *SP21*

Course Code: *PES06*

Course Title: *Manufacturing Technology*

Number of times taught: *01*

Mobile: *9304538489*

E-mail: *randhirkumar@hitmssu.ac.in*

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	<i>4</i>
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	<i>4</i>
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	<i>4</i>
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	<i>4</i>
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	<i>4</i>
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	<i>4</i>
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	<i>4</i>
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	<i>4</i>
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	<i>4</i>
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	<i>4</i>
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	<i>4</i>
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	<i>4</i>

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO.

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Randhir Kumar

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ritesh Kumar Singh
Department: Production Engineering
Semester: VI
Course Code: PE 314
Number of times taught: 3
Mobile: 9431171181

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: BE Production Engg.
Session: SP/2021
Course Title: SQC
Email: riteshsingh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....
.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ritesh Kumar Singh
 Department: Production Engineering
 Semester: V
 Course Code: PE 304
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 9431171181

Designation: Associate Professor
 Programme: BE Production Engg.
 Session: MO/2020
 Course Title: Production & Operations Mngt.
 Email: riteshsingh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments: **Students are not interactive in online classes**

.....

.....

R.K. Singh

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ritesh Kumar Singh

Designation: Associate Professor

Department: Production Engineering

Programme: BE

Semester: VI

Session: SP/2021

Course Code: PE 101

Course Title: Workshop Practice

Number of times taught: 11

Mobile: 9431171181

Email: riteshsingh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
19.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....
.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ritesh Kumar Singh
Department: Production Engineering
Semester: V
Course Code: PE 101
Number of times taught: 10
Mobile: 9431171181

Designation: Associate Professor
Programme: BE Production Engg.
Session: MO/2020
Course Title: Workshop Practice
Email: riteshsingh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
19.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....
.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments:

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Dr. Abhishek Kumar Singh*

Designation: *Assistant Prof.*

Department: *Production & Industrial Engg.*

Programme: *B.Tech*

Semester: *III*

Session: *M021*

Course Code: *MT 131*

Course Title: *Understanding Harmony*

Number of times taught: *01*

Mobile: *9955648907*

E-mail: *abhishekkumar@pitmesu.ac.in*

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	<i>4</i>
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	<i>4</i>
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	<i>4</i>
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	<i>3</i>
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	<i>3</i>
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	<i>4</i>
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	<i>4</i>
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	<i>3</i>
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	<i>4</i>
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	<i>4</i>
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	<i>4</i>
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	<i>2</i>

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Abhishek M. Singh

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Gayatri Paul Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: Production & Industrial Engg Programme: B.Tech
 Semester: III Session: M02021
 Course Code: PE-214 Course Title: Metallurgical and Materials Engineering
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 8252380241 E-mail: gayatri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Gayatri Paul
 01/12/21
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Dr. Abhishek kumar Singh*

Designation: *Assistant Prof.*

Department: *PIE*

Programme: *Ph.D course work*

Semester: *I*

Session: *SP21*

Course Code: *PE506*

Course Title: *Manufacturing Technology.*

Number of times taught: *02*

Mobile: *9955648907*

E-mail: *abhishekkumar@bitmesra.ac.in*

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	<i>4</i>
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	<i>4</i>
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	<i>4</i>
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	<i>4</i>
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	<i>4</i>
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	<i>4</i>
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	<i>4</i>
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	<i>4</i>
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	<i>4</i>
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	<i>4</i>
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	<i>4</i>
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	<i>4</i>

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

Abhishek kumar Singh

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Dr. Abhishek Kumar Singh*

Designation: *Assistant Prof.*

Department: *PIE*

Programme: *B.E.*

Semester:

Session: *SP21*

Course Code: *PE206*

Course Title: *Metrology & Measurement.*

Number of times taught: *01*

Mobile: *9955648307*

E-mail: *abhishek.kumar@bitsmera.ac.in*

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	<i>4</i>
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	<i>4</i>
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	<i>4</i>
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	<i>4</i>
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	<i>4</i>
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	<i>4</i>
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	<i>4</i>
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	<i>4</i>
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	<i>4</i>
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	<i>4</i>
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	<i>4</i>
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	<i>4</i>

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

<i>NO</i>

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

Abhishek Kumar Singh

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: JOYJEET GHOSE

Designation: ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

Department: PRODUCTION ENGG.

Programme: BTECH

Semester: IV

Session: SP2021

Course Code: PE204

Course Title: Manufacturing Processes - I

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9431927425

E-mail:

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No Yes No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: JOYJEET GHOSE

Designation: ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

Department: PRODUCTION ENGG.

Programme: BTECH

Semester: IV

Session: SP2021

Course Code: PE205

Course Title: MP-I Lab.

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile:

E-mail:

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: JOYJEET GHOSE

Designation: ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

Department: PRODUCTION ENGG.

Programme: BTECH

Semester: V

Session: M02020

Course Code: PE302

Course Title: MPJ Lab

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile:

E-mail:

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: JOYJEET GHOSE

Designation: ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

Department: PRODUCTION ENGG.

Programme: BTECH

Semester: V

Session: MO2020

Course Code: PE301

Course Title: PE301 Manufacturing Processes-1

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9431927425

E-mail:

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bappa Acherjee Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: Production & Industrial Engg. Programme: B. Tech in Production Engg.
 Semester: Vth Session: Nov/21
 Course Code: PE303 Course Title: Design of Machine Elements.
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 8294270873 E-mail: bappa.raua@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

This is crucial subject for students studying Production Engg. The syllabus of the course is well designed and achieves course objectives. However, I believe the substance of the syllabus of the course is too extensive for a single semester. The syllabus content may be refined further to optimize the course content without undermining the course objectives.
 Any other specific comments:

.....

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Bappa Acherjee
 Department: Production & Industrial Engg.
 Semester: VIIth
 Course Code: PE 406
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 8294270873

Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Programme: B. Tech in Production Engg.
 Session:
 Course Title: Non-conventional Machining Processes
 E-mail: bappe_rana@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions


No.

This course is newly introduced and it does not require any updation at this moment. This course includes the recent development in the field of NCM in form of hybrid NCM processes.

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S V S K DEEPAK KUMAR
Professor

Designation: Assistant

Department: Production & Industrial Engineering

Programme: B.Tech

Semester: 5 Sem

Session: MO-2020

Course Code: PE 305

Course Title: **COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN**

& DRAFTING LAB

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9000291444

E-mail: skumar.teqip@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments: very useful PRACTICAL course

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S V S K DEEPAK KUMAR

Designation: Assistant

Professor

Department: Production & Industrial Engineering

Programme: B.Tech

Semester: 5 Sem

Session: MO-2020

Course Code: PE 305

Course Title: **COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN**

& DRAFTING LAB

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9000291444

E-mail: skumar.teqip@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments: very useful PRACTICAL course

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S V S K DEEPAK KUMAR
Professor

Designation: Assistant

Department: Production & Industrial Engineering

Programme: B.Tech

Semester: 3 Sem

Session: SP-2021

Course Code: PE 318

Course Title: RAPID PROTOTYPING

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9000291444

E-mail: skumar.teqip@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....
.....
.....
.....

Any other specific comments: very useful course

.....
.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Sanjiv Kumar Tiwari

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Production & Industrial Engineering

Semester: 3rd

Course Code: PE203

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: +91-9431356570

Programme: B. Tech

Session: MO-21

Course Title: Operation Research

E-mail: sanjivtiwari@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Sanjiv Kumar Tiwari

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Production & Industrial Engineering

Programme: B. Tech

Semester: 5th

Session: MO-21

Course Code: PE211

Course Title: Engineering Economy

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: +91-9431356570

E-mail: sanjivtiwari@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement


Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.