Name: Dr. Priyank Kumar

ar Designation : Assistant Professor

Department: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.

Semester: 1st

Session: MO19

Course Code: Course Title SR508 Aerodynamic Stability & Control

Number of times taught: 8

Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

\pm Strong V agree = 4 \pm Agree = 3	Naithar narao nor dionarao - 2	Diaman and a second	Cu 1 1' A
I DUDUGLY CRIVE T MELCE J	Neither agree nor disagree $= 7$	11530 $ree = 1$	Strongly disagree = ()
Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3		121000100	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

4

••••••	••••••	••••••	••••••	••••••	
•••••		•••••	••••••		
•••••					
••••••					
	••••••				

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

······

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Priyank Kumar Designation : Assistant Professor

Department: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.

Semester: 1st

Session: MO19

Course Code: Course Title SR507 Aerodynamics Lab

Number of times taught: 13

Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disa	gree $= 0$
--	------------

S. No.	Statements	
l.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

ŧ.

n yes, please mention die modele and onggestiona	
	••••••
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
	••••••
	••••••

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Priyank Kumar Designation : Assistant Professor

Department: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.

Session: SP20

Course Code: Course Title SR577 Boundary Layer Theory

Number of times taught: 13

Semester: 2nd

Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

		Contraction of the second second second	C
Stuppoly gampa = 1 Agence = 2	1. Northon ognoo non droognoo 🖃 (1	Vice ana - L	Strongly discorpo = ()
Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3	= Nethel agree nor disagree $=$ $/$	1820 ee - 1	Strongly disagree $= 0$
Charles in the second		D rockar of	orionally chockare o

S. No.	Statements	Marks
i.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
T La	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

đ

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

	••••••
Ra	······

Signature of the Teacher

Session: SP20

Name: Dr. Priyank Kumar Designation : Assistant Professor

Department: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.

Semester: 2nd

Course Code: Course Title SR579 Experimental Aerodynamics

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree $= 3$	Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-------------	----------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
Ι.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

4

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA
·····
···· X · J ·····

Signature of the Teacher

Session: SP20

Name: Dr. Priyank Kumar

Designation : Assistant Professor

Department: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.

Semester: 2nd

Course Code: Course Title SR580 Elements of Hypersonic Flight

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agre	ee = 3 Neither agree nor disagree	= 2 Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------	-------------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
١.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12,	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

••••••	 ••••••	
	 	 •••••

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

~	
ha	

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Priyank Kumar D

Designation : Assistant Professor

Department: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.

Semester: 2nd

Session: SP20

Course Code: Course Title SR582 Low Speed Aerodynamics Lab

Number of times taught: 13

Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

	Strongly agree $= 4$	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree $= 0$
--	----------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-------------------------

S. No.	Statements			
ι.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4		
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4		
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4		
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4		
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4		
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4		
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4		
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

0

••••••	••••••		
		•••••••••••••••••••••••	

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

£)	
ho	
Signature of the Teacher	

Session: MO19

Name: Dr. Priyank Kumar Designation : Assistant Professor

Department: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.

Semester: 3rd

Course Code: Course Title SR611 Fundamental of Turbulence

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree =	3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
----------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	5. Statements			
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4		
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4		
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4		
4	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4		
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4		
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4		
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4		
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4		
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4		
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4		
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4		
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

4

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA
Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA
Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA
Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
\mathcal{A}

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Priyank Kumar

Designation : Assistant Professor

Department: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.

Semester: 1st

Session: MO20

Course Code: Course Title SR508 Aerodynamic Stability & Control

Number of times taught: 9

Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Crossing Labor Contract and A	1	Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Discourse = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
Sirongiv agree = 4	Agree = 1	Neimer agree nor disagree – Z	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree $= 0$
and and a set of the s			10 10 10 H BI # #	strong. J mong. et a

S. No.	D. Statements		
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4	
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4	
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4	
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3	
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.		
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.		
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.		
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.		
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4	
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4	
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4	
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.		

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

•••••	 ••••••	••••••	

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

 •••••

Signiture of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Priyank Kumar

Designation : Assistant Professor

Department: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.

Semester: 1st

Session: MO20

Course Code: Course Title SR507 Aerodynamics Lab

Number of times taught: 14

Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disag	ree = 0
---	---------

S. No.	0. Statements	
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

đ

••••••	 	
	 •••••••	
••••••	 ••••••	
	 ••••••	

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Priyank KumarDesignation : Assistant ProfessorDepartment: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.Semester: 2ndSession: SP21Course Code: Course Title SR577 Boundary Layer TheoryNumber of times taught: 14Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	D. Statements	
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

đ

 	••••••

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

ha-	

Signature of the Teacher

Name: SUDIP DAS Designation Professor
Department: Space Enge & Pockety. Programme: M- Tech
Semester: [] Session: SP-2021
Course Code: SR.S.F.6 Course Title Compressible How S
Number of times taught: 3
Mobile: 9431178604 E-mail: Sudifdas @ bstmesna ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree =	3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
----------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	9
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	2
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

C	
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
	8

Signature of the Teacher

Name: SUDIP DAS	Designation Paofeseor
Praine Cocce Enge & Packety Pr	ogramme:
Department:	Session:
Semester:	A sul anone A. Contring Hars
Course Code: .S.R.6.1.2 Course Title	Aerodynamics of Enternal Hors
Number of times taught. 5	
Mobile:	sudific Ognail.com
and the second sec	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strangly agree = 1	A gree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
Strongly agree - 4	Agree 5	Itomier agree not energy		

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

2	

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Signature of the Teacher

Name CLIDIP DAS	Designation Professor
Name	manne. Mitech
Department: Space they Rochery Pro	ogramme:M. Jed.
	Session
a and \$\$ 509 Course Title	Aeroacoustics
Number of times taught:	endepdas @ bomesna .ae. in
Mobile: 0431178604 E-mail:	Sudip alas Ca Billies - 10
TITOTIC	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

		11	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
Strongly agree = 4	Agree $= 3$	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagiou	0
Shongry agree	8			

S. No.	Statements	Marks
	to be a final and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	7
4.	mi u han of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	-1-
	the internet and combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	- 2
5. 6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has chough this for	3
	discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	7
9.	The second secon	7
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Oniversities.	7
11.		7
12.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using to recent The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

J , I	

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

***************************************	*****************

Signature of the Teacher

Name: SUDIP DP3	Designation Professor
Department: Spare. Engg. S. Rocketty Prog	ramme:M. Treeh
Semester: İ	Session:
Course Code: SR 50.2 Course Titlel	Teenrente J. Aerederannice
Number of times taught:	
Mobile:	Suddadas @ 6 mesne ac in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree =	3 Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
----------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	9
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
· 11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

4-19

94

Viscons Flans & boundary layer need to be segregated and limited by the nog modules cash
and trinited by the nog modules cash
cuficat choud have
······)

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

6-	*
P	

Signature of the Teacher

Name: SUDIP DAS	Designation Poorfessor
Department: Sprie Ever & Rocketer, Pr	ogramme: Mr. Teeh
Somester: 11	Session:
Course Code: .SR.5.7.9 Course Title	Experimental Aerodynamous.
Number of times taught.	
Mobile:	cudifidas @ Kimeera .ae .in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 1	$\Delta \operatorname{aree} = 3$	Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
Ntrong V agree -4	Agree - J	Incluter agree not disugree =		V V

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
7.	discussion. Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

		1	

			 ••••••
	· ·		
			*

Signature of the Teacher

Name: SUDIP	DAS	Designation	Poofesson
Dementer Space	Erec & Pockety	Programme:	M. Treel
Constant II		Session:	p-2010
Course Code: SR. 5	7.6 Course Titl	e Cemparson	ble Hops
N. I. C.C. second	1 2		
Mobile: 94311786	5 0 4 E-n	nail:Surelifices	@pspmeene . ae im

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree $= 2$	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The gullabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The second such that teachers taught if by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is designed such that teachers tangat it of designed such tangat it of	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

J , F	

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

	/
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	***************************************
///	
	÷

Signature of the Teacher

Name: SUDIP DAS	
Department: Space Engy & Locketty. Progr	ramme:Mr. tech
Semester:	Session:
Course Code: SR. 6.12 Course Title A	cradynamics of Internal Hows
Number of times taught: 2	
Mobile: 9431178604 E-mail:	sudif the @ gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	. Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11-	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	631/48

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No. If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

The modules are exhaustive at he end.
Maybe touncation is a way out to save on the time
covered for each bodule.
·····

Yes

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

\sim
 6

Signature of the Teacher

Name: SUDIP DAS Designation Profession
Department: Space Tres & Rockelly Programme: M- Tech
Session' Session'
Course Code: S.R. 502. Course Title Element. J. Aerodynamics
Number of times taught: 15
Mobile: 9431178604 E-mail: Sudif des @ / mesna . ae. in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree $= 0$	
Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 5	Neither agree not usagice -2	Disagree 1	Ottoligiy disagree o	10

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	1
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	33
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

The exan ovien ple prop Hovever ne

Yig

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Revisson is not see us red at the women

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Pur Malas	Designation		
Department: SER	Programme: MTech, PLD		
	Session: .S.P		
Course Code: S.R. 5.78. Course Title Computation Chind Dynamic			
Number of times taught:?	1		
Mobile: . 70913233 00 E-mai	1: pmondal @ bitnesser an in		

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	o. Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	Y
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	Y
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

¢

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Port Mr 08/12/2021.

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Park Mondal	
Department: Mech, brod (minor) Prog	ramme: B. Tech
Semester:	Session:M.O 2020
Course Code: ME. 3.5.3 Course Title	mp tabiand find Dignam
Number of times taught:Q	
Number of times taught: $$ Mobile: $\frac{9091323300}{E-mail:}$	pmondal@ bitness.aum

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	Y
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	Ч
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	?
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	7
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

M

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Part Hoe osphron

Name: Dr. Part	Model Designation A. M. P. J.
Department: Math	
	Session: MQ - 20 20
Course Code: ⋦AM 3 0	. Course Title . Computition flind Dynamin
Number of times taught:	<u>9</u> 4
Mobile: 709132-3300	E-mail:bmm-dal@ bit mega.a.i

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	Ч
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	Ч
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	2
	discussion.	2
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	3
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

N

đ

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Park Mo 08/12/2021

Signature of the Teacher

Name	Dr.	Panna	Malal Designation 1217 Prof.	
			Programme: M. Tech	
			Session: .M0 - 2020	
Course	Code:	SRS78	Course Title Computation Flund by namin (ot	3)
Number	r of tim	es taught:	e	
Mobile:	70	9132 33 0	01 E-mail: pronded @ bitmesse. a in "	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0 Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	ч
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	2
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	3
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

M

1

..... Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Porter Maler Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Parte Mondal Designation ASSA. Br	1
Department: SER Programme: M.T.e.h	
Semester:	
Course Code: S.S. 5.7.8. Course Title Computation Fluid	1 Dynamic
Number of times taught:	
Mobile: 7091323300 E-mail: Provel @ bitrem.a	e.h.

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	Ч
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	Y
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

M

đ

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Parter Mondal Oglid2021 Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Parta Mondal	Designation NZVA . RYJ
Department: Ster Chen, Mech. PI	ogramme: M: Tech
	Session:MO - 2019
	Computational Fluid Dynamics
Number of times taught:Ø	
Mobile: .70 91323300 E-mail:	pmondal @ bitmera.ac.i

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree	ree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0	
--	-------------------------------	--

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	1
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	-5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

÷

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

farm two ostor/2/2021 Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Part Would Designation 18317 - Prof.
Department: MAH Programme: IMSC
Semester: 12 Session: $M0 - 2019$
Course Code: SAM 3001 Course Title Computation f. f. huid Dx namis
Number of times taught:
Number of times taught:

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
			- Jougice 1	

S. No.	S. No. Statements	
		Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	
2.		Y
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fund	3
6.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	0
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with circiler	3
11.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
12.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Parter to 2 1 Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Range Mondal De	signation ASH . Rot
Department: $S \in \mathcal{K}$ Programm	ne: M. Tech
Semester:	on: Mo-2019
Course Code: SR.61.4 Course Title	
Number of times taught:	
Mobile:	elle bitmesracaci

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly again - A	A	The result of the second sec	I contract of the second se		
J JUUIRIV deree = 4	A gree = 3	Neither agree nor dicagree - 7	Discourse 1	0	
0, 0, 0,	- muce of	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0	
	54 (P	0			

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	Ч
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	9
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	101
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	7
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	-
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern	- 3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

N

đ

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Rojiv Kuman Designation Assistant Professor
Department: Space Engineering and Programme: Rocket Propubion
Department: Spores Engineering and Programme: Rocket Propulsion Semester: I. Session: MO-20
Course Code: S.R. 5.0.1 Course Title Elements of Rocket Propulsion
Number of times taught:
Mobile: 954620.9234 E-mail: sajiv @ bitmonra av in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree	e = 0	1
--	-------	---

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1,	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	2
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

ŵ

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: MIA

 •••••

Rajiv Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Rajiv Kuman Designation Assistant Profeman
Department: Space Engineering Programme: Rockey, Propublic
Semester:
Course Code: . S.R. 5.0.1 Course Title E lemmes. of Rodel Pro fullion
Number of times taught:Q.3
Mobile: 9546209234 E-mail: rajir @ bitmesra. ac in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor dis	ee = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0
--	---

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	2
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

4

	00		
••••••			
•••••			
•••••			
		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Any other specific com	nments including program rev	Tision if any: N/A	

•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••

Raying

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Rafir Kumar Designation Assistant Profeman
Department: Space Engineering Programme: Rocket Propulsion Semester: III. Session: MO-19
Semester:
Course Code: SR 605 Course Title Cryogenic propulsion
Number of times taught:
Mobile: 9546209234 E-mail: 201 Ditmesra. al in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree $= 0$
---	--------------	-------------------------

S. No.	o. Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	A
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	2
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

-

 ·····
 ••••••

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N/A

••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••

Raji

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Rajiv Kerman Designation Assertant Profeman	
Department: Space Englineening and Programme: Rocket Propulsion Semester: II Session: DAG S.P-21	
Semester:	
Course Code: S.R. 5.5.1 Course Title Solid Rocket Propubrion	
Number of times taught:Q.3	
Mobile: 9546209234 E-mail: szajiv @ bitmona.ae. in	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

trongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--	--------------	-------------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

54

•••••	

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N/μ

•••••••		 ••••••
••••••	••••••	••••••
		 ••••••••••••••••

Rajirz

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Swar	uplumare y	sepurliare	.Designation	Assiptant Res	Fessor
Department:	562	Progr	amme: MTe	up (Rouber Pre	puterion
Semester:	I	\$	Session:	SP21	
Course Code:	R550 Cours	e Title Ligu	id & rupor	id Rodelt Prog	pulsion
Number of time	es taught:02				
Mobile: . 993	5649852	E-mail:	swanip		

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	1
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	1
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	82

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course:	Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions	

NO

-

 •••••
 •••••

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Signifie

Name: Swaruph	umar Y. Jeju	rlar Designatio	n Assptant	, Professor
Department:	R	Programme:	utech (Roch	et Propulsion>
Semester:	I	Session:	SP-21	******
Course Code: 52557	Course Title	uqui à f ny	or 2 propuls	ia Lassedory
Number of times tau				
Mobile:	9852	nail: svany) 	

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	З
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	1
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

4

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

-

Sante.

Signature of the Teacher

Name. Swaruphimar Y	Jejudiar Designation Arristant Professor
Department: SGR	Programme: MTech (Rodet Propulsian)
I	Session: MD-20
Course Code: 58,503	Course Title. Space Engineering and Space Bynamics
Number of times taught:	03
Mobile: 9935649852	E-mail: suarup

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	3
7.	discussion. Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	1
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	1
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

-

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Signature of the Teacher



Name: Susar	upkennar 4.	Jejuchar Designati	on Acorpta	ant Professor	
Department:	SGR	Programme:	collet Prope	Wor'an < MTech	>
Semester:	I	Session:	M0-1	19	÷
Course Code:	SR503 Cours	se Title. Space Eng	ineering a	no space byna	uni co
Number of times	s taught: <mark>02-</mark>				
Mobile:	649852	E-mail: Swany			•

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0 Agree = 3 Strongly agree = 4

S. No.	. Statements		
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	1	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	1	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2	

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

-

7
Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Signature of the Teacher Symbe

Name. Swar	uphumae	y-Jepudiar	.Designation .	Assistant Purfessor
Department:	SGR	Progr	amme: MTe	ch (Rochel Propulsion)
Semester:	M	5	Session:	MO-19
Course Code:	SR606	Course Title. Gue	rgeties	¿ Compussión Labocatory
Number of tin	nes taught:	2		
Mobile: 992	\$649852	E-mail:		

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	1
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	1
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	Э
Н.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course:	Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions	

NO

-

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Signature of the Teacher



Name: Swaruplumare y. Jerwdiar Designation Amptant Reofensor
Department: SGR Programme: MTCCh (Rodult Propulsia)
T Session: SF4
Course Code: SR555 Course Title Neat Transfer in Space Applications
Number of times taught:
Mobile:9935649852E-mail:SUTAND

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
---	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	З
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	1
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	1
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No	
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions	


NO

-

••••••

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Name Swarupunar Y- Jepur	Leve Designation Acceptant Professor
Department: SER	Programme: MTech (Rochet Propulsion)
Somoster: I	Session: 57-20
Course Code: \$8555 Course Title	Meat Transfer in space Applications
Number of times taught:	
Mobile: 99356 49 852	ail: sugnup

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	A
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	З
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabu's provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	1
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	1
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes	′ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions	

NO

4

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Signature of the Teacher Southe



Name: Dr. Mohan Varma	Designation: Professor
Department: Space Engg. & Rocketry	Programme: M.Tech
Semester:I	Session:Mo-2020
Course Code: SR 505 Course Ti	tle: Flame Propagation & Stability
Number of times taught: Second	
Mobile: 9431383073 E-mail: n	nohanvarma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0 | Strongly disagr

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	3
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

The course has been revised as per CBCS norm in AY 2018-19.

In next revision, Module 3 may be revised and 'Extinction of Flames' content with specific reference to rocket motors be included.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: None

.....

Hohan Varma.

Signature of the Teacher

Name: Dr. Mohan Varma Design	ation: Professor
Department: Space Engg. & Rocketry Progra	mme: M.Tech
Semester:III Sessio	n:Mo-2019
Course Code: SR 601 Course Title: Pro-	opellant Technology
Number of times taught:First	
Mobile: 9431383073 E-mail: mohanv	arma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3 Neither agree nor disagree = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for	4
	discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive	3
	examination.	

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

The course has been revised as per CBCS norm in AY 2018-19 and offered for the first time.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: None

Mohan Varma.

Signature of the Teacher