

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Priyank Kumar Designation : Assistant Professor
 Department: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.
 Semester: 1st Session: MO19
 Course Code: Course Title SR508 Aerodynamic Stability & Control
 Number of times taught: 8
 Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Priyank Kumar Designation : Assistant Professor
 Department: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.
 Semester: 1st Session: MO19
 Course Code: Course Title SR507 Aerodynamics Lab
 Number of times taught: 13
 Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Priyank Kumar

Designation : Assistant Professor

Department: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.

Semester: 2nd

Session: SP20

Course Code: Course Title SR579 Experimental Aerodynamics

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NA**

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Priyank Kumar

Designation : Assistant Professor

Department: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.

Semester: 2nd

Session: SP20

Course Code: Course Title SR580 Elements of Hypersonic Flight

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Priyank Kumar Designation : Assistant Professor
 Department: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.
 Semester: 2nd Session: SP20
 Course Code: Course Title SR582 Low Speed Aerodynamics Lab
 Number of times taught: 13
 Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Priyank Kumar Designation : Assistant Professor
 Department: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.
 Semester: 3rd Session: MO19
 Course Code: Course Title SR611 Fundamental of Turbulence
 Number of times taught: 1
 Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NA**

.....

.....

.....


 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Priyank Kumar

Designation : Assistant Professor

Department: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.

Semester: 1st

Session: MO20

Course Code: Course Title SR508 Aerodynamic Stability & Control

Number of times taught: 9

Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **NA**

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Priyank Kumar

Designation : Assistant Professor

Department: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.

Semester: 1st

Session: MO20

Course Code: Course Title SR507 Aerodynamics Lab

Number of times taught: 14

Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Priyank Kumar

Designation : Assistant Professor

Department: Space Engineering & Rocketry Programme: Master of Engg.

Semester: 2nd

Session: SP21

Course Code: Course Title SR577 Boundary Layer Theory

Number of times taught: 14

Mobile: 9470137267 E-mail: priyankkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
13.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
14.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
15.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
16.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
17.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
18.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
19.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
20.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
21.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
22.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
23.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
24.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: SUDIP DAS Designation: Professor
 Department: Space Engg & Rocketry Programme: M-Tech
 Semester: II Session: SP-2021
 Course Code: SR576 Course Title: Compressible flows
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 9431178604 E-mail: sudipdas@bmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: SUDIP DAS Designation: Professor
 Department: Space Engg & Rocketry Programme: Mr. Tech
 Semester: III Session: NO-2020
 Course Code: SR.6.12 Course Title: Aerodynamics of Internal Flow
 Number of times taught: 3
 Mobile: 9431178604 E-mail: sudipno@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: SUDIP DAS Designation: Professor
 Department: Space Engg. & Rocketry Programme: Mr. Tech.
 Semester: I Session: Mo-2020
 Course Code: SR509 Course Title: Aeroacoustics
 Number of times taught: 0
 Mobile: 9431178604 E-mail: sudip.das@bmeera.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: SUDIP DAS Designation: Professor
 Department: Space Engg. & Rocketry Programme: M. Tech
 Semester: I Session: NO-2020
 Course Code: SR502 Course Title: Elements of Aerodynamics
 Number of times taught: 16
 Mobile: 9431178604 E-mail: sudip.das@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

.....
 Viscous flows & boundary layer need to be segregated
 and limited by the no. of modules each
 subject should have.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: SUDIP DAS Designation: Professor
 Department: Space Engg & Rocketry, Programme: M. Tech
 Semester: II Session: SP-2020
 Course Code: SR579 Course Title: Experimental Aerodynamics
 Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 9431178604 E-mail: sudip.das@knnmra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: SUDIP DAS Designation: Professor
 Department: Space Energy & Rocketry Programme: M. Tech
 Semester: II Session: SP-2020
 Course Code: SR 576 Course Title: Compressible flows
 Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 9431178609 E-mail: sudipdas@bhmra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: SUDIP DAS Designation: Professor.
 Department: Space Engg & Rocketry Programme: M.Tech
 Semester: III Session: MO-2019
 Course Code: SR.612 Course Title: Aerodynamics of Internal Flows
 Number of times taught: 2
 Mobile: 9431178604 E-mail: sudip.tho@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2
		(31/48)

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

*The modules are exhaustive at the end.
 Maybe truncation is a way out to save on the time covered for each module.*

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: SUDIP DAS Designation: Professor
 Department: Space Engg & Rocketry Programme: M.Tech
 Semester: I Session: Mo-2019
 Course Code: SR502 Course Title: Elements of Aerodynamics
 Number of times taught: 15
 Mobile: 9431178604 E-mail: sudip.das@iitbmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2
		(41/48)


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The last module needs a bit of application oriented example problems to highlight. However the time also should be sufficient to accommodate the same.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Revision is not required at the moment



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Parth Malal Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: SER Programme: M.Tech, Ph.D
 Semester: II Session: S.P.-2021
 Course Code: S.R.578 Course Title: Computational Fluid Dynamic
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 9091323300 E-mail: pmalal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Parth Malal
08/12/2021.

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Parth Mondal Designation: Asst. Prof
 Department: Mech, Prod (minor) Programme: B. Tech
 Semester: V Session: MO-2020
 Course Code: ME 353 Course Title: Computational Fluid Dynamic
 Number of times taught: 0
 Mobile: 9091323300 E-mail: pmondal@btfms.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

N

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Parth Mondal
 08/12/2021

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Parth Mondal Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: Math Programme: MSc
 Semester: 1st Session: MO-2020
 Course Code: SAM3001 Course Title: Computational Fluid Dynamics
 Number of times taught: 04
 Mobile: 7091323300 E-mail: pmondal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Parth Mondal
 08/12/2021
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Parth Moadal Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: Mech Programme: M.Tech
 Semester: I Session: MO-2020
 Course Code: SR578 Course Title: Computational Fluid Dynamic (OE)
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 70 9132 3300 E-mail: pmoadal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Parth Moadal
 Signature of the Teacher 08/12/2021

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Partha Mondal Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: SEB Programme: M.Tech
 Semester: II Session: S.P.-2020
 Course Code: SEB578 Course Title: Computational Fluid Dynamics
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 7091323300 E-mail: pmondal@bithub.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Partha Mondal
 Signature of the Teacher 08/12/2021

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Partha Mondal Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: SR Chem. Mech. Programme: M. Tech
 Semester: I Session: MO - 2019
 Course Code: SR.S78 Course Title: Computational Fluid Dynamics
 Number of times taught: 0
 Mobile: 7091323300 E-mail: pmondal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Partha Mondal
 Signature of the Teacher 08/02/2021

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Parman Mondal Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: SEE MATH Programme: I.M.Sc.
 Semester: IX Session: MO-2019
 Course Code: SAM3001 Course Title: Computational Fluid Dynamics
 Number of times taught: 03
 Mobile: 7091323300 E-mail: pmondal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Parman Mondal
 Signature of the Teacher 08/12/2021

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Partha Mondal Designation: Asst. Prof.
 Department: SER Programme: M.Tech
 Semester: II Session: MO-2019
 Course Code: SR614 Course Title: Turbulence Modeling in CFD
 Number of times taught: 00
 Mobile: 7091323370 E-mail: p.mondal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Partha Mondal
 Signature of the Teacher 08/12/2021

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rajiv Kumar Designation: Assistant Prof.
 Department: Space Engineering and Rocketry Programme: Rocket Propulsion
 Semester: I Session: MO-20
 Course Code: S.R.5.01 Course Title: Elements of Rocket Propulsion
 Number of times taught: 04
 Mobile: 9546209234 E-mail: rajiv@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	2
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N/A

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rajiv Kumar Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Space Engineering and Rocketry Programme: Rocket Propulsion
 Semester: I Session: M.O-19
 Course Code: SR.5.01 Course Title: Elements of Rocket Propulsion
 Number of times taught: 0.3
 Mobile: 9546209234 E-mail: rajiv@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	2
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N/A

.....

Rajiv

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rajiv Kumar Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Space Engineering and Robotics Programme: Rocket Propulsion
 Semester: III Session: MO-19
 Course Code: SR.6.05 Course Title: Cryogenic propulsion
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 9546209234 E-mail: rajiv@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N/A

.....

Rajiv

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rajiv Kumar Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: Space Engineering and Rocketry Programme: Rocket Propulsion
 Semester: II Session: SP-21
 Course Code: S.R.55.1 Course Title: Solid Rocket Propulsion
 Number of times taught: 03
 Mobile: 9546209234 E-mail: rajiv@bitmesa.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N/A

.....


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Swarnapurna Y. Jegudhae Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: SGR Programme: M.Tech (Rocket Propulsion)
 Semester: II Session: SP21
 Course Code: SR550 Course Title: Liquid & Hybrid Rocket Propulsion
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 99356 49852 E-mail: swarnap

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	1
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	1
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	82

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher *Swarnapurna Y. Jegudhae*

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Swarup Kumar Y. Jeyachari Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: SCR Programme: M.Tech (Rocket Propulsion)
 Semester: II Session: SP-21
 Course Code: SRS57 Course Title: Liquid & Hybrid Propulsion Laboratory
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 99256 49 852 E-mail: swarup

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	1
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher



Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Swarnapurnaxi Y. Tejwade Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: SGR Programme: M.Tech (Rocket Propulsion)
 Semester: I Session: M0-20
 Course Code: SR503 Course Title: Space Engineering and Space Dynamics
 Number of times taught: 03
 Mobile: 9935649852 E-mail: swarnp

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	1
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	1
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher Swarnapurnaxi Y. Tejwade

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Swarupkumar V. Jeyachari Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: SCR Programme: Rocket Propulsion <M.Tech>
 Semester: I Session: MO-19
 Course Code: SR503 Course Title: Space Engineering and Space Dynamics
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 9935649852 E-mail: swamp

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	1
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	1
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Swamp

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Swarnapurna V. Dejudax Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: SGR Programme: M.Tech (Rocket Propulsion)
 Semester: III Session: MO-19
 Course Code: SR606 Course Title: Energetics & Combustion Laboratory
 Number of times taught: 0
 Mobile: 9935649852 E-mail: swarnap

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	1
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	1
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Swarnapurna

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Swarnapurna Y. Jegudua Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: SCR Programme: M.Tech (Rocket Propulsion)
 Semester: III Session: SP-21
 Course Code: SR555 Course Title: Heat Transfer in Space Applications
 Number of times taught: 02
 Mobile: 9935649852 E-mail: swarnap

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	1
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	1
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher Swarnapurna

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Swarup Kumar Y. Tejwade Designation: Assistant Professor
 Department: SCR Programme: MTECH (Rocket Propulsion)
 Semester: II Session: SP-20
 Course Code: SR555 Course Title: Heat Transfer in Space Applications
 Number of times taught: 01
 Mobile: 9935649852 E-mail: swarnup

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	1
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	1
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher Swarup

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Mohan Varma..... Designation: Professor.....
 Department: Space Engg. & Rocketry..... Programme: M.Tech.
 Semester: ...I..... Session: ...Mo-2020.....
 Course Code: SR 505..... Course Title: Flame Propagation & Stability
 Number of times taught: Second.....
 Mobile: 9431383073..... E-mail: mohanvarma@bitmesra.ac.in.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

The course has been revised as per CBCS norm in AY 2018-19.

In next revision, Module 3 may be revised and 'Extinction of Flames' content with specific reference to rocket motors be included.

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **None**

.....

Mohan Varma

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Mohan Varma..... Designation: Professor.....
 Department: Space Engg. & Rocketry..... Programme: M.Tech.
 Semester: ...III..... Session: ...Mo-2019.....
 Course Code: SR 601..... Course Title: Propellant Technology.....
 Number of times taught: ...First.....
 Mobile: 9431383073..... E-mail: mohanvarma@bitmesra.ac.in.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions


NO

The course has been revised as per CBCS norm in AY 2018-19 and offered for the first time.

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **None**

.....

Mohan Varma.


Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.