

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Amit Kumar Tiwari      Designation: Assistant Prof.  
 Department: Chemical Engineering      Programme: IMSC Food Tech.  
 Semester: IV      Session: SP21  
 Course Code: FT207      Course Title: Food Preservation Lab  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 9955121140      E-mail: atiwari@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher



*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Amit Kumar Tiwari  
 Department: Chemical Engineering  
 Semester: IV

Designation: Assistant Prof.  
 Programme: IMSc Food Tech.  
 Session: SP-21

Course Code: FT211

Course Title: Fruit & Vegetable Proc. Tech.

Number of times taught: 05

Mobile: 9955121140

E-mail: atiwari@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher



**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUDEEPAN. J

Designation: ASSF PROF.

Department: CHEMICAL

Programme: FOOD TECH.

Semester: IV

Session: SP2021

Course Code: FT212

Course Title: FMMO

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9572635444

E-mail: Sudeepan@anna.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Amit Kumar Tiwari  
 Department: Chemical Engineering  
 Semester: IV

Designation: Assistant Prof.  
 Programme: IMSc Food Tech.  
 Session: SP 21

Course Code: FT 213

Course Title: Fruit & Veg Proc. Lab-

Number of times taught: 03

Mobile: 9955121140

E-mail: atiwari@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher



*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: D. GHOSH  
 Department: Chemical Engrg  
 Semester: VI  
 Course Code: FT310  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9430114482

Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Programme: J.Msc.  
 Session: ~~14-2020~~ SP-21  
 Course Title: Thermodynamics & Refrigeration  
 E-mail: dghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

*D. Ghosh*

Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: DR A. K. SEN

Designation: Asso. Prof

Department: Chemical Engg.

Programme: IMSc

Semester: VI

Session: Sp-21

Course Code: FT331

Course Title: Food Industry Waste Management

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 94315 97273

E-mail: akhsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course. Yes/No  

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Syllabus to be modified as per Industry need

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

*Akhsen*

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Yogendra Nath Prajapati

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemical Engg.

Programme: IMSc (Food Tech.)

Semester: VI

Session: SP-2024

Course Code: FT 309

Course Title: Mass Transfer in Food Processing

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9695595989

E-mail: ynprajapati@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Yogendra

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name:

Designation: Asst. Prof

Department: chemical

Programme: MSc

Semester: VI

Session: SP-21

Course Code: FT-308

Course Title: Dairy Technology

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9336709751

E-mail: danbahadur.chem@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

Any other specific comments:



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.



## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ashok Kumar Bahadur

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemical Engineering

Programme: Chemical Engineering (BTech)

Semester: VIII

Session: SP-21

Course Code: CE 8002

Course Title: Project and Comprehensive Viva

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 9113751108

E-mail: akb@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Ashok

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ashok Kumar Baranwal

Department: Chemical Engineering

Semester: 8

Course Code: SAF4004

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 9113751108

Designation: Assistant Professor

Programme: MSc - Food Technology

Session: SP-21

Course Title: Project

E-mail: akb@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. G. T. MOHANRAJ  
 Department: Chemical Engineering  
 Semester: IV  
 Course Code: CL 207  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 7250167615

Designation: Associate Professor  
 Programme: B.Tech.  
 Session: SP 2021  
 Course Title: PROCESS TECHNOLOGY & ECONOMISS-I  
 E-mail: gtmohansaj@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

..... Module 5 is slightly long, may be split & some parts can be added in Module 4. .....

G. T. Mohanraj  
 Signature of the Teacher 1/12/21

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: D. GHOSH  
 Department: Chemical Engrg  
 Semester: IV  
 Course Code: CL 208  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9430114482

Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Programme: B. Tech  
 Session: SP-21  
 Course Title: HEAT TRANSFER OPERATIONS  
 E-mail: dghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

D Ghosh  
**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Designation: Asst. Prof  
 Department: \_\_\_\_\_ Programme: B. Tech  
 Semester: IV Session: SP-21  
 Course Code: CL-208 Course Title: Heat Transfer operation.  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 9336709751 E-mail: dambahadur:chem@gmail.com.

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

B. Patel

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: **ARNAB KARMAKAR**

Designation: **Asst. Professor.**

Department: **Chemical Engg.**

Programme: **B.Tech.**

Semester: **IV**

Session: **SP21**

Course Code: **CL208**

Course Title: **Heat Transfer Operations**

Number of times taught: **2**

Mobile: **99 3458 2286**

E-mail: **arnabkarmakar@bitmesra.ac.in.**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

 30/11/21  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Sumit Kumar Jana Designation: Assistant. prof.  
 Department: chemical engg. (Chemical + Polymer) Programme: B. Tech.  
 Semester: IV Session: ~~SP-20~~ SP-21  
 Course Code: CL 209 Course Title: mass Transfer operation-I  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9470522790 E-mail: sumitkrjana@bitmura.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO.

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Jana

Signature of the Teacher

**Note:** In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Dr. Anand Bharti*  
 Department: *Chemical Engineering*  
 Semester: *IV*  
 Course Code: *CL210*  
 Number of times taught: *first time*  
 Mobile: *8011097281*

Designation: *Assistant professor*  
 Programme: *B.Tech.*  
 Session: *SP 2021*  
 Course Title: *Transport phenomena*  
 E-mail: *abharti@bitmesra.ac.in*

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

*Syllabus needs minor Revision.*  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:  
 .....  
 .....

*Abharti*

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



# Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUDEEPAN J  
 Department: CHEMICAL  
 Semester: IV  
 Course Code: CL212  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9572635441

Designation: ASST. PROF.  
 Programme: CHEMICAL (PH)  
 Session: SP2021  
 Course Title: CHEMICAL ENG. LAB I  
 E-mail: sudeepan@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ashok Kumar Baranwal

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemical Engineering

Programme: Chemical Engineering (BTech)

Semester: IV

Session: SP-21

Course Code: CL212

Course Title: Chemical Engineering Lab-I

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9113751108

E-mail: akb@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Ashok

**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Dr. Sumit Kumar Jana*  
 Department: *Chemical Engg.*  
 Semester: *IV*

Designation: *Assistant prof-*  
 Programme: *B.Tech*  
 Session: *SP-21*

Course Code: *CL 305*

Course Title: *Chemical Engg. Lab-11*

Number of times taught: *3*

Mobile: *9470522790*

E-mail: *sumitkrjana@bitmesra.ac.in*

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	<i>3</i>
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	<i>3</i>
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	<i>3</i>
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	<i>3</i>
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	<i>3</i>
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	<i>3</i>
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	<i>3</i>
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	<i>3</i>
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	<i>3</i>
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	<i>4</i>
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	<i>3</i>
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	<i>4</i>

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

*Jana*  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: **ARNAB KARMAKAR**  
 Department: **Chemical Engg.**  
 Semester: **IV**

Designation: **Asst. Prof.**  
 Programme: **B.Tech.**  
 Session: **MO SP21**

Course Code: **CL207**  
 Number of times taught: **(3) 1**  
 Mobile: **9934582286**

Course Title: **Process Technology & Eco.I**  
 E-mail: **arnabkarmakar@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions


Yes.

*The course is not suitable for 4th sem student.  
 Most of the fundamentals discussed in this subject  
 are generally taught in preceding (later) semesters.  
 Like, reaction Engg., Mass transfer, Project Engg.*

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

 30/11/21  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Yogendra Nath Prajapati

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemical Engg

Programme: B. Tech

Semester: VI

Session: SP-21

Course Code: CL306

Course Title: Chemical Reaction Engineering-II

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9695595989

E-mail: ynprajapati@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

yogendra

Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Bidhan Chandra Ruidas*

Designation: *Assistant Prof*

Department: *Chemical Engg*

Programme: *B.Tech - Chemical Engg*

Semester: *VI*

Session: *SP-21*

Course Code: *CL 307*

Course Title: *Process Technology & Economics-II*

Number of times taught: *01*

Mobile: *7589216760*

E-mail: *bidhanruidas@bitmesra.ac.in*

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

*Bidhan Ruidas*

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. NIRUPAMA

Designation: Assistant Professor (TE&IP)

Department: Chemical Engg.

Programme: B.Tech

Semester: VI

Session: SP/21

Course Code: CL308

Course Title: Process control & Instrumentation

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9771313741

E-mail: nirupama.2k3@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

*Nirupama*  
 Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Dr. Ashok Kumar Baranwal*

Designation: *Assistant Professor*

Department: *Chemical Engineering*

Programme: *Chemical Engineering (BTech)*

Semester:

Session: *SP-21*

Course Code: *CL308*

Course Title: *Process Control & Instrumentation*

Number of times taught: *1*

Mobile: *9113751108*

E-mail: *akb@bitmesra.ac.in*

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	<i>3</i>
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	<i>3</i>
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	<i>4</i>
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	<i>3</i>
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	<i>4</i>
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	<i>3</i>
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	<i>3</i>
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	<i>3</i>
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	<i>4</i>
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	<i>4</i>
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	<i>4</i>

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

*NO*

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

*Ashok*

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. A-K. SEN  
 Department: Chemical Engg.  
 Semester: VI  
 Course Code: CL309  
 Number of times taught: Nil  
 Mobile: 9431597273

Designation: Asso. Prof.  
 Programme: B.Tech.  
 Session: SP-21  
 Course Title: Materials Science & Engg.  
 E-mail: akhsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Lab course may be incorporated  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

AKSen

Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Bidhan Chandra Roudas*

Designation: *Assistant Prof.*

Department: *Chemical Engg*

Programme: *B.Tech - Chemical Engg*

Semester: *VI*

Session: *SP-21*

Course Code: *CL 311*

Course Title: *Chemical Engg Lab-II*

Number of times taught: *01*

Mobile: *7589216760*

E-mail: *bidhanroudas@bitmesra.ac.in*

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	2
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUDIPTA GOSWAMI

Designation: PROFESSOR

Department: Chemical Engg.

Programme: B.TECH.

Semester: VI

Session: SP21

Course Code: CL313

Course Title: Polymer Technology II

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9431991789

E-mail: sdptgoswami@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction, in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

My experience was very good while teaching this subject through 'on-line' platform.

Sudipta Goswami  
Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sankhel

Designation: Professor

Department: Chemical En.

Programme: B. Tech.

Semester: V1

Session: Sp-21

Course Code: CL-319

Course Title: Flotation Technology

Number of times taught: 8

Mobile: 9431382629

E-mail: gautamsankhel@birla.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
Need to reduce syllabus content. to get  
more time for discussion.  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
Nil.  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher

  
 (G. Sankhel)

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Dr. Anand Bharti*  
 Department: *Chemical Engineering*  
 Semester: *VI*  
 Course Code: *CL331*  
 Number of times taught: *02*  
 Mobile: *8011097281*

Designation: *Assistant professor*  
 Programme: *B.Tech.*  
 Session: *SP2021*  
 Course Title: *process modeling, simulation & optimization*  
 E-mail: *abharti@bitmesra.ac.in*

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

..... *Module-3 needs minor Revision.* .....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

*A. Bharti*

Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUDIPTA GOSWAMI

Designation: PROFESSOR

Department: Chemical Engg.

Programme: B.TECH.

Semester: VI

Session: SP21

Course Code: CL335

Course Title: Biomaterials

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9431991789

E-mail: sdptgoswami@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction, in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

*Sudipta Goswami*  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Dr. Anand Bharti*  
 Department: *chemical engineering*  
 Semester: *VI*  
 Course Code: *CL 507*  
 Number of times taught: *first time*  
 Mobile: *8011097281*

Designation: *Assistant professor*  
 Programme: *B.Tech.*  
 Session: *SP2021*  
 Course Title: *Advanced process modeling, simulation & optimization*  
 E-mail: *abharti@bitmesra.ac.in*

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

*Yes*

*Syllabus needs minor revision*

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

*Abharti*

**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Dr. Anand Bharti*  
 Department: *Chemical Engineering*  
 Semester: *VI*  
 Course Code: *CL310*  
 Number of times taught: *01*  
 Mobile: *8011097281*

Designation: *Assistant professor*  
 Programme: *B.Tech.*  
 Session: *SP-2021*  
 Course Title: *Design Lab*  
 E-mail: *abharti@hitmesra.ac.in*

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

*Abharti*

**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**



## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Amit Kumar Tiwari Designation: Assistant Prof.  
 Department: Chemical Engineering Programme: IMSc Food Tech.  
 Semester: VIII Session: SP 21  
 Course Code: SAP 2001 Course Title: Cereal, Pulses & Oilseed Tech.  
 Number of times taught: 03  
 Mobile: 9955121140 E-mail: atiwari@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

..... More books required in library .....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher



*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: DR. A.K. SEN

Designation: Asso. Prof

Department: Chemical Engg.

Programme: IMSc

Semester: ~~VII~~ VIII

Session: SP21

Course Code: SAF2002

Course Title: Food Chemistry & Quality Control Lab

Number of times taught:

Mobile: 9431597273

E-mail: akhsen@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

More food related experiments to be included.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

*AKSen*

Signature of the Teacher

**Note:** In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUDEEPAN J  
 Department: CHEMICAL  
 Semester: VIII  
 Course Code: SAF2003  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 957263544

Designation: ASST. PROF.  
 Programme: Food Tech.  
 Session: SP 2021  
 Course Title: NOVEL FOOD PROC. & PACK.  
 E-mail: Sudeepan@bsmr.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Sudeepan

Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Amit Ks. Tiwari                      Designation: Assistant Prof.  
 Department: Chemical Engineering                      Programme: 1 MSc Food Tech.  
 Semester: VIII                                                              Session: SP21  
 Course Code: SAF 2004                      Course Title: ADV. Food Proc. Lab - I  
 Number of times taught: 03  
 Mobile: 9955121140                      E-mail: atiwari@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	03
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	03
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	03
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher



**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Amit Kumar Tiwari  
 Department: Chemical Engineering

Designation: Assistant Prof.  
 Programme: IMSc Food Tech.  
 Session: MO 20

Semester: V  
 Course Code: FT 301  
 Number of times taught: (01)

Course Title: Cereal, Pulses & Oilseed Tech.

Mobile: 9955121140

E-mail: atiwari@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher



*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. G. T. MOHANRAJ  
 Department: Chemical Engineering  
 Semester: III  
 Course Code: CL201  
 Number of times taught: 3  
 Mobile: 7250167615

Designation: Associate Professor  
 Programme: B.Tech  
 Session: MO2020  
 Course Title: THERMODYNAMICS  
 E-mail: gtmohansaj@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No YES  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Module 1 - comprises of all THREE laws of thermodynamics, hence, slightly difficult to teach all in detail & time is usually not enough. Suggestion → To split the module.  
 Module 2 → suggestion → Some parts of syllabus can be minimised.  
 Module 4 → Quite big syllabus, although covering many important concepts. May be minimised.

Any other specific comments:

-NA-

  
 Signature of the Teacher 1/12/21

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. SUDEEPAN J

Designation: ASST. PROF.

Department: CHEMICAL

Programme: CHEMICAL (PLP)

Semester: III

Session: MO2021

Course Code: CL203

Course Title: FLUID MECHANICS

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9572635441

E-mail: sudeepan@bitnaga.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
.....

  
Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Dr. Sumit kumar jana*

Designation: *Assistant prof.*

Department: *Chemical Engg.*

Programme: *B.Tech.*

Semester: *III (Chemical + Polymer)*

Session: *MO-20*

Course Code: *CL204*

Course Title: *Chemical process calculation*

Number of times taught: *3*

Mobile: *9470522790*

E-mail: *sumitkjana@bitmeora.ac.in*

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	<i>3</i>
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	<i>3</i>
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	<i>3</i>
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	<i>3</i>
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	<i>3</i>
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	<i>3</i>
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	<i>3</i>
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	<i>3</i>
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	<i>3</i>
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	<i>4</i>
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	<i>3</i>
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	<i>4</i>

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

*Jana*

Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**



## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sarkhel

Designation: Professor.

Department: Chemical Engg.

Programme: B.Tech.

Semester: III

Session: MO-20

Course Code: CL-205

Course Title: Mechanical Operations.

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9431382629

E-mail: gautamsarkhel@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Required to add little more component as per GATE preparation.

Any other specific comments:

Nil



Signature of the Teacher

(G. Sarkhel)

**Note:** In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: **DR. DAN BAHADUR**

Department: **chemical**

Semester: **III**

Course Code: **CL-205**

Number of times taught: **01**

Mobile: **9336709751**

Designation: **Asst Prof**

Programme: **B. Tech.**

Session: **MO-20**

Course Title: **Mechanical operation.**

E-mail: **danbahadur.chem@gmail.com**

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: D. GHOSH  
 Department: CHEMICAL ENGG  
 Semester: III  
 Course Code: CL205  
 Number of times taught: 3  
 Mobile: 9430114482

Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Programme: B.Tech  
 Session: MO 2020  
 Course Title: MECHANICAL OPERATIONS  
 E-mail: dghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

*D. Ghosh*

**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Dr. Sumit Kumar Jana*  
 Department: *Chemical Engg*  
 Semester: *III*

Designation: *Assistant prof.*  
 Programme: *B.Tech*  
 Session: *MO-20*

Course Code: *CL212*

Course Title: *Chemical Engg Lab 1*

Number of times taught:

Mobile: *9470522790*

E-mail: *sumitkrjana@bitmesra.ac.in*

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	<i>3</i>
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	<i>3</i>
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	<i>3</i>
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	<i>3</i>
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	<i>3</i>
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	<i>3</i>
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	<i>3</i>
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	<i>3</i>
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	<i>3</i>
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	<i>4</i>
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	<i>3</i>
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	<i>3</i>

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

*Jana*  
 Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUDIPTA GOSWAMI

Designation: PROFESSOR

Department: Chemical Engg.

Programme: B.TECH.

Semester: V

Session: M020

Course Code: CL213

Course Title: Macromolecular Science

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9431991789

E-mail: sdptgoswami@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

I Taught this course through "Microsoft Teams".  
 My experience was very good.

Sudipta Goswami  
 Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Yogendra Nath Prajapati

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemical Engg

Programme: B.Tech

Semester: V

Session: MO-2020

Course Code: CL301

Course Title: Mass Transfer operation-II

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9695595989

E-mail: ynprajapati@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Yogendra

**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. DAN BAHADUR  
 Department: Chemical Engg  
 Semester: V

Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Programme: B. Tech.  
 Session: MO-20

Course Code: CL-301

Course Title: Chemical Rxn Engg

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9336709751

E-mail: danbahadur.chem@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Bidhan Chandra Ruidas*

Designation: *Assistant Professor*

Department: *Chemical Engineering*

Programme: *B.Tech - Chemical Engg  
2PP*

Semester: *V*

Session: *M02020*

Course Code: *CL 302*

Course Title: *Chemical Reaction Engineering I*

Number of times taught: *01*

Mobile: *7589216760*

E-mail: *bidhanruidas@bitmesra.ac.in*

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

Yes

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

*The syllabus should be included with modern industrial problems faced during manufacturing process*

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

*B. Ruidas*

Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**



## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: **ARNAB KARMAKAR**  
 Department: **Chemical Engg.**  
 Semester: **V**

Designation: **ASST PROF.**  
 Programme: **B.Tech.**  
 Session: **MO20**

Course Code: **CL303**

Course Title: **Computer aided Process Engg.**

Number of times taught: **5**

Mobile: **9934582286**


E-mail: **arnabkarmakar@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions



NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

AR

30/11/21.

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: D. GHOSH  
 Department: Chemical Engg  
 Semester: V

Designation: Assistant Prof.  
 Programme: B. Tech  
 Session: MO-2D

Course Code: CL 304  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9430114482

Course Title: COMPUTER AIDED PROCESS ENGG  
 LAB  
 E-mail: dghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Bidhan Chandra Ruidas*

Designation: *Assistant Prof.*

Department: *Chemical Engg*

Programme: *B Tech - Chemical*

Semester: *V*

Session: *M0-2020*

Course Code: *CL 305*

Course Title: *Chemical Engg Lab-II*

Number of times taught: *01*

Mobile: *7589216760*

E-mail: *bidhanruidas@bitmesra.ac.in*

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	<i>4</i>
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	<i>3</i>
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	<i>3</i>
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	<i>3</i>
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	<i>4</i>
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	<i>4</i>
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	<i>4</i>
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	<i>4</i>
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	<i>2</i>
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	<i>3</i>
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	<i>2</i>
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	<i>2</i>

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

*No*

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

*B. Ruidas*

**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Yogendra Nath Prajapati

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemical Engg

Programme: B.Tech

Semester: V

Session: Mo-2020

Course Code: CE305

Course Title: Chemical Engg Lab-II

Number of times taught: 04

Mobile: 96 95555889

E-mail: yprajapati@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Yogendra

Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: D. GHOSH  
 Department: Chemical Engg  
 Semester: V  
 Course Code: CL311  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9430119482

Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Programme: B.Tech  
 Session: Mo-20

Course Title: Chemical Engg Lab III  
 E-mail: dghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

D Ghosh

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Bidhan Chandra Ruidar*

Designation: *Assistant Prof.*

Department: *Chemical Engg*

Programme: *B.Tech - Chemical Engg*  
*2 PP*

Semester: *V*

Session: *MO2020*

Course Code: *CL321*

Course Title: *Petroleum Refinery Engg & Petrochemicals*

Number of times taught: *01*

Mobile: *7589216760*

E-mail: *bidhanruidar@bitmesra.ac.in*

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	<i>4</i>
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	<i>3</i>
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	<i>4</i>
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	<i>3</i>
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	<i>3</i>
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	<i>4</i>
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	<i>4</i>
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	<i>4</i>
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	<i>3</i>
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	<i>3</i>
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	<i>4</i>
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	<i>3</i>

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

*Yes*

*The course ~~with~~ purpose will not be fulfilled except ~~pet~~  
petroleum refinery & petrochemicals plant visit. Students  
should visit these manufacturing & refinery plant  
frequently.*

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

*B. Ruidar*

**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. G. T. MOHANRAJ  
 Department: Chemical Engineering  
 Semester: V  
 Course Code: CL322  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 7250167615

Designation: Associate Professor  
 Programme: B.Tech  
 Session: MO 2020  
 Course Title: ENERGY ENGINEERING  
 E-mail: gtmohanraj@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No YES  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Module 2 - Syllabus is huge, both coal & Petroleum refining has to be completed in detail and time is generally not enough. Suggestion -> Few parts of syllabus can be reduced.  
Module 4 - Comprises "five" energy resources and usually time is insufficient for covering the syllabus & not enough for discussions. Suggestion -> Reduce few parts of syllabus and/or splitting the module.

Any other specific comments:

- NA -

G. T. Mohanraj  
 Signature of the Teacher - 11/12/21

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: DR. A.K. SEN

Designation: Asso. Prof.

Department: Chemical Engg.

Programme: B.Tech.

Semester: V

Session: M020

Course Code: CL324

Course Title: Analytical Instrument Methods

Number of times taught:

Mobile: 9431597273

E-mail: akhilsen @ bitmesra .ac .in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Lab course may be included.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

*AKSen*  
**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**



## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Gautam Sankhel

Designation: Professor

Department: Chemical Engg.

Programme: B. Tech.

Semester: ~~VI~~ VII

Session: MO-20

Course Code: CL-324

Course Title: Analytical Instrumental Methods

Number of times taught: 5

Mobile: 9431382629

E-mail: gautamsankhel@bitmes.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No   
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
There is a need to reduce the Course Content  
to get more time for discussion.  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
Nil  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher

(G. Sankhel)

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ashok Kumar Baranwal

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemical Engineering

Programme: BE Chemical Engg

Semester: V

Session: MO-20

Course Code: CL361

Course Title: Multiphase flow

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9113751108

E-mail: akb@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher *Ashok*

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. G. T. MOHANRAJ  
 Department: Chemical Engineering  
 Semester: V  
 Course Code: CL364  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 7250167615

Designation: Associate Professor  
 Programme: B.Tech.  
 Session: MO2020  
 Course Title: Chemical Technology LAB [DS]  
 E-mail: gmohanraj@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No —  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

— NA —

.....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher 1/12/21

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Nirupama

Designation: Visiting Assistant Professor  
(CTEQIP)

Department: Chemical Engg.

Programme: B.Tech

Semester: VII

Session: M0/20

Course Code: CL7001

Course Title: Process Control & Instrumentation

Number of times taught: 07

Mobile: 9771313741

E-mail: nirupama.2k3@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Nirupama  
**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: D. GHOSH  
 Department: Chemical Engg  
 Semester: VII  
 Course Code: CL7001  
 Number of times taught: 5  
 Mobile: 9430114482

Designation: Assistant Prof  
 Programme: B. E  
 Session: MO-2020  
 Course Title: PROCESS CONTROL & INSTRUMENTATION  
 E-mail: dghosh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

*D Ghosh*

Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Bidhan Chandra Ruidas

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemical Engineering

Programme: B.Tech - Chemical Engng

Semester: VII

Session: MO20

Course Code: CL 7006

Course Title: Chemical Technology Lab.

Number of times taught: 03

Mobile: 7589216760

E-mail: bidhanruidas@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S.No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	2
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Bidhan

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: **ARNAB KARMAKAR**

Designation: **Asst. Prof.**

Department: **Chemical Engg.**

Programme: **@ B.Tech.**

Semester: **VII**

Session: **MO20**

Course Code: **CL7002**

Course Title: **Process control & Instrument. Lab.**

Number of times taught: **6**

Mobile: **9934582286**

E-mail: **arnabkarmakar@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

AK

30/11/21

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. G.T. MOHANRAJ  
 Department: Chemical Engineering  
 Semester: VII  
 Course Code: CL7002  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 7250167615

Designation: Associate Professor  
 Programme: B.Tech.  
 Session: MO 2020  
 Course Title: Process Control & Instrumentation LAB  
 E-mail: gtmohansraj@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

..... NA .....

.....


.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

..... NA .....

.....

  
 Signature of the Teacher 11/12/21

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**



## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. NIRUPAMA  
 Department: Chemical Engg  
 Semester: VII  
 Course Code: CL7002  
 Number of times taught: 03  
 Mobile: 9771313741

Designation: Assistant Professor (TEQIP)  
 Programme: B.Tech  
 Session: SEP/MD/20  
 Course Title: Safety & Hazards in Chemical Industry  
 E-mail: nirupama.2k3@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Nirupama  
**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: **ARNAB KARMAKAR**  
 Department: **Chemical Engg.**  
 Semester: **VII**  
 Course Code: **CL587005**  
 Number of times taught: **5**  
 Mobile: **9934582286**

Designation: **Asst. Prof.**  
 Programme: **B.Tech.**  
 Session: **MO 20**

Course Title: **Project Engg. & Economics  
 For Chemical Engg.**  
 E-mail: **arnabkarmakar@bitmesra.ac.in**

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes.

*Course is lengthy, must be modified.  
 The unwanted (some) modules (Process intensification)  
 can be removed. The module must be well  
 aligned and structured sequentially with  
 relevant topics. mostly.*

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

AR

30/11/21

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. G-T. MOHANRAJ  
 Department: Chemical Engineering  
 Semester: VII  
 Course Code: CL 7006  
 Number of times taught: 3  
 Mobile: 7250167615

Designation: Associate Professor  
 Programme: B.Tech.  
 Session: Mo 2020  
 Course Title: CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY LAB  
 E-mail: gmohanna@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No ✓  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions


YES

Syllabus & experiments are sufficient & well developed, but  
few new experiments based on new products may be added  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

-NA-

.....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher 11/2/21

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ashok Kumar Baranwal

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Chemical Engineering

Programme: BE Chemical Engg

Semester: VII

Session: MO 20

Course Code: CL7017

Course Title: Computational Fluid Dynamics

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 9113751108

E-mail: akb@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher *Ashok*

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: SUDIPTA GOSWAMI

Designation: PROFESSOR

Department: Chemical Engg.

Programme: B. TECH.

Semester: VII

Session: HO 20

Course Code:

Course Title: Polymer Blends and Composite Lab

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9431991789

E-mail: sdptgswami@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

Students were not able to have hands on experience of doing experiments themselves and see the machines in the workshop due to pandemic.

Sudipta Goswami  
Signature of the Teacher

Therefore the attainment of course objective was not possible completely.

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: *Dr. Sumit K. Jana*  
 Department: *Chemical Engg.*  
 Semester:

Designation: *Asst. prof.*  
 Programme: *B.TECH. IMRC*  
 Session: *M0-20*

Course Code: *FT 202*

Course Title: *Introduction to Food Engg.*

Number of times taught: *1*

Mobile: *9470922740*

E-mail: *sumit.k.jana@bitmesra.ac.in*

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	2
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	2
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	2
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

*Jana*  
 Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Anurag Kumar Tiwari

Designation: Assistant Prof.

Department: Chemical Engineering

Programme: IMSE Food Tech.

Semester: III

Session: MO 20

Course Code: FT 203

Course Title: Food Microbiology

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9955121140

E-mail: atiwari@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher



*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: DR. A.K. SEN  
 Department: chemical Engg.  
 Semester:

Designation: Asso. Prof.  
 Programme: IMSc  
 Session: Mo-20

Course Code: SAF 2002

Course Title: Food chemistry & Quality control Lab I

Number of times taught:

Mobile: 9431597273

E-mail: akhsen @ bitmesra . ac . in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

..... More food experiments to be included .....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

AKSen  
 Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**



## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: DR. A. K. SEN

Designation: Asso. Prof.

Department: Chemical Engg.

Programme: I.M.Sc.

Semester: ~~10-20~~

Session: MO-20

Course Code: SAF1003

Course Title: Adv. Food Chemistry & Nutrition

Number of times taught:

Mobile: 9431597273

E-mail: akhilson@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

..... More hands on experiments may be included .....

.....

*A.K. Sen*

Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: DR. Sumit kumar Jana      Designation: Assistant prof.  
 Department: Chemical engg.      Programme: 1 MSc.  
 Semester:      Session: MO-20  
 Course Code: SAF1004      Course Title: Advanced Food Engg Lab  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9470522790      E-mail: sumitkrjana@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Jana

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ashok Kumar Baramwal      Designation: Assistant Profess.  
 Department: Chemical Engineering      Programme: IMSC Food Technology  
 Semester: \_\_\_\_\_      Session: M0-20  
 Course Code: SAF1005      Course Title: Advanced Food Engineering  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9113751108      E-mail: akb@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher Ashok

**Note:** In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Amit Kumar Tiwari  
 Department: Chemical Engineering  
 Semester: VII

Designation: Assistant Prof.  
 Programme: IM.Sc. Food Tech.  
 Session: MO 20

Course Code: SAP1007

Course Title: Adv. Food Processing

Number of times taught: 03

Mobile: 9955121140

E-mail: atiwari@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher



**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Amit Kumar Tiwari  
 Department: Chemical Engineering  
 Semester: IX

Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Programme: I.M.Sc. Food Tech.  
 Session: MO-20

Course Code: SAF 3005

Course Title: Food Prod. Dev. and Sensory Eval.

Number of times taught:

Mobile: 9955121140

E-mail: atiwari@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

yes

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

*..... Incorporation of new and novel ingredients and techniques is required so that more variety of products with unique taste and flavour can be developed. ....*

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher

*Amit Tiwari*  
 30/11/21

**Note:** In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Yogendra Nath Prajapati

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: chemical Engg

Programme: IMSc-Food Tech.

Semester: IX

Session: Mo-2020

Course Code: SAF 3003

Course Title: Automation in Food Processing Industry

Number of times taught:

Mobile: 9695595989

E-mail: ynprajapati@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in syllabus properly.	<u>2</u>
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	<u>2</u>
3.	The syllabus is suitable to course and programme.	<u>2</u>
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	<u>2</u>
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	<u>2</u>
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	<u>2</u>
7.	Structure of syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	<u>2</u>
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	<u>2</u>
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	<u>2</u>
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/ Universities.	<u>2</u>
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	<u>2</u>
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	<u>2</u>

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....

Yogendra

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*