

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ABHISEK JANA Designation: Asst. Professor  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMEIT  
 Semester: III Session: M0719  
 Course Code: HM207 Course Title: Food & Beverage Practical-III  
 Number of times taught: 0  
 Mobile: 9973862078 E-mail: abhisek.jana@hitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	-2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

**Signature of the Teacher**  
*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ABHISEK JANA Designation: Asst. Professor  
 Department: HM CT Programme: BMMCT  
 Semester: I Session: M0719  
 Course Code: HM106 Course Title: Food & Beverage Practical-I  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9973862078 E-mail: abhisekjana@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

A

08/11/19

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

AJ

### Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ABHISEK JANA Designation: Asst. Professor  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: III Session: M019  
 Course Code: HM203 Course Title: Food & Beverage Service - III  
 Number of times taught: 0  
 Mobile: 9973862078 E-mail: abhisekjana@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

AJ

08/11/19

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ABHISEK JANA Designation: Asst. Professor  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: IV Session: NOV/19  
 Course Code: HM5023 Course Title: Food & Beverage Service - R  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9973862078 E-mail: abhisekjana@kitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

A  
08/11/19

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Abhinav K. Shandilya Designation: Assistant Prof.  
 Department: H.M.C.T. Programme: B.H.M.C.T.  
 Semester: III Session: M.O. 2019  
 Course Code: H.M.202 Course Title: Food Production operation - I  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9472711951 E-mail: sabhinavkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	2
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Strong

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No   
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Abhinav  
20/11/19

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

AKS

Name: Abhinav K. Shandilya Designation: Assistant Prof.  
 Department: HMCET Programme: BHMCET  
 Semester: I Session: MO/19  
 Course Code: HM105 Course Title: Food Production Foundation Pt-I  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9422211951 E-mail: sabhinavkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/NET or similar competitive examination.	4
		3

Sinner

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Abhinav K. Shandilya Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: H.M.C.T. Programme: BHMET  
 Semester: I Session: M02019  
 Course Code: HM102 Course Title: Food Production Foundation I  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9422711951 E-mail: sabhinav.kumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Abhinav K. Shandilya Designation: Assistant- Prof.  
 Department: H.M.C.T. Programme: B.H.M.C.T.  
 Semester: VII Session: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Course Code: H.M.7213 Course Title: Hospitality Marketing  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9472711951 E-mail: abhinavkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Abhinav K. Shandilya  
 Signature of the Teacher 20/11/19

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

AS

Name: B. AMIT SARAN Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: Vth Session: MO'19  
 Course Code: HM5204 Course Title: FOOD & BEVERAGE SERVICE Prac II  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9431039700 E-mail: amit.saran@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	0

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No.  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. ANIT SACHAN Designation: Asst Prof.  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: III<sup>rd</sup> Session: NO' 19  
 Course Code: HM 201 Course Title: ACCOMMODATION OPERATION I  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9431039700 E-mail: anitsachan@hitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	0

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr Amit Saha Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: HNET Programme: BHMET  
 Semester: IV<sup>th</sup> Session: NO'19  
 Course Code: HM 5206 Course Title: ACCOMMODATION OPERATION PRACTICE IV  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9431039720 E-mail: amit.saha@bitmetra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	0

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

63

Name: Grantam Shandilya ..... Designation: Asst. Professor .....  
 Department: HMCT ..... Programme: BHMCT .....  
 Semester: V ..... Session: M0119 .....  
 Course Code: HM5025 Course Title: Accommodation Operation-IV .....  
 Number of times taught: Two .....  
 Mobile: 9939095981 ..... E-mail: rajshandy@gmail.com .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Grantam

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Gautam Shandilya Designation: Asst. Professor  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: V Session: M019  
 Course Code: HM5202 Course Title: Food Production Practical-V  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9939095981 E-mail: rajshandilya@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	1
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	0

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Gautam Shandilya

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Gautam Shandilya ..... Designation Asst Professor .....  
 Department: HMET ..... Programme: BHMET .....  
 Semester: VII ..... Session: M10/19 .....  
 Course Code: HM7002 Course Title Food Production Management & Advanced Bakery .....  
 Number of times taught: 3 .....  
 Mobile: 9939095981 ..... E-mail: rajshandy@gmail.com .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	1
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	0

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Gautam

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Gautam Shandilya Designation: Asst. Professor  
 Department: HMET Programme: BHMET  
 Semester: VII Session: MO/19  
 Course Code: HM7220 Course Title: Personality Development Practical - II  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9939095981 E-mail: .....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	1
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Gautam

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Gautam Shandilya ..... Designation: Asst. Professor .....  
 Department: HMCT ..... Programme: BHMCT .....  
 Semester: III ..... Session: M019 .....  
 Course Code: HM206 ..... Course Title: Food Production Operation Practical - II .....  
 Number of times taught: 1 .....  
 Mobile: 9939095981 ..... E-mail: gautam@bitmeera.ac.in .....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	1
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	0

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Gautam

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Nishikant Kumar Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: H MCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: III Session: MO' 2019  
 Course Code: HM 201 Course Title: Introduction to Tourism, Travel & Hospitality Industry  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 9939738784 E-mail: nkumar@bhmson.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4    Agree = 3    Neither agree nor disagree = 2    Disagree = 1    Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Mishikant Kumar ..... Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMCT ..... Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: III ..... Session: Mo' 2019  
 Course Code: HM 208 ..... Course Title: Accommodation operation Pocket-I  
 Number of times taught: 01 .....  
 Mobile: 9939738784 ..... E-mail: hmware@bhmct.ac.in .....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Nishikant Kumar ..... Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: H.M.C.T. ..... Programme: B.H.M.C.T.  
 Semester: III ..... Session: MW'2019  
 Course Code: HM 209 ..... Course Title: Front office operation Practice - I  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 9939738284 ..... E-mail: nkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

/

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Nishikant Kumar ..... Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMCT ..... Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: V ..... Session: M0'2019  
 Course Code: HM 5027 Course Title: Front office Operation - III  
 Number of times taught: 03  
 Mobile: 9939738784 ..... E-mail: nkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

NK

Name: Nishikant Kumar Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: Hotel Management Programme: BHMET  
 Semester: VII Session: MO'2019  
 Course Code: HM 7037 Course Title: Front office Management  
 Number of times taught: Three  
 Mobile: 9939738784 E-mail: nkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

PE

Name: PRATIMA EKKA Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: Hotel Management Programme: B.H.M.C.T.  
 Semester: V Session: MO-19  
 Course Code: HM:502.1 Course Title: Food Production - V  
 Number of times taught: 2 yrs.  
 Mobile: 9572857252 E-mail: pratima@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Pratima  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: P.R.A.T.I.M.A. E.K.K.A Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: Hotel Management Programme: B.H.M.C.T.  
 Semester: V Session: MD-19  
 Course Code: HM-5202 Course Title: Food Production Practical - V  
 Number of times taught: 2 yrs.  
 Mobile: 9572857252 E-mail: pratima@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

*Pratima*

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRATIMA EKA Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: Hotel Management Programme: BHMET  
 Semester: VII Session: MO-19  
 Course Code: (HM 7031) Course Title: Food Production Mgt & Advanced Bakery  
 Number of times taught: 2 yrs.  
 Mobile: 9572557252 E-mail: prati@bimess.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO.

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRATIMA EKKA Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: I Session: MO-19  
 Course Code: HM-105 Course Title: Food Production Foundation Practical-I  
 Number of times taught: 2 yrs.  
 Mobile: 9572857252 E-mail: pratima@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

PK

Name: PRANJAL KUMAR Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: III<sup>rd</sup> Session: MO/19  
 Course Code: HM209 Course Title: Front office operation Practical - I  
 Number of times taught: 1 (one)  
 Mobile: 9431382768 E-mail: pranjal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Pranjal  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRANJAL KUMAR Designation ASSISTANT PROFESSOR  
 Department: H.M.C.T. Programme: B.H.N.C.T.  
 Semester: III<sup>rd</sup> Session: MO/19  
 Course Code: HT.208 Course Title ACCOMMODATION OPERATION PRACTICAL-I  
 Number of times taught: 1 (one)  
 Mobile: 9431382768 E-mail: pranjal@litmesa.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

*Pranjal*  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRAHJAL KUMAR..... Designation ASSISTANT PROFESSOR  
 Department: .....H.M.C.T...... Programme: .....B.H.M.C.T......  
 Semester: .....I<sup>st</sup>..... Session: .....MO, 19.....  
 Course Code: HM 103..... Course Title FOOD AND BEVERAGE SERVICE - I  
 Number of times taught: .....2 (Two).....  
 Mobile: 9431382768..... E-mail: prahjal@bitmesra.ac.in.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

### Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Srivastava Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMT  
 Semester: III Session: NO/19  
 Course Code: HM205 Course Title: Front office operation - I  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 8987479515 E-mail: praveenbit@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Srivastava Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HmCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: V Session: MO/19  
 Course Code: HM5208 Course Title: Front Office Practical-III  
 Number of times taught: 04  
 Mobile: 898.7479515 E-mail: praveenbit@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Srivastava ..... Designation Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMCT ..... Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VII ..... Session: Mo/19  
 Course Code: HM7009 ..... Course Title Front Office Management- Practical  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 8987479515 ..... E-mail: praveenbit@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeshwari Chatterjee Designation: Asst Prof  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: 1 Session: MO-19  
 Course Code: HMI01 Course Title: Food Science Nutrition  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9430764865 E-mail: rajeshwari@kirtane.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

R Chatterjee  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeshwari Chatterjee Designation: Asst Prof  
 Department: H.M.C.T Programme: B.H.M.C.T  
 Semester: V Session: M.O-19  
 Course Code: HMS216 Course Title: Introduction to Management  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9430764865 E-mail: rajeshwari@kilonessa.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

R Chatterjee  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeshwari Chatterjee..... Designation Asst. (w)  
 Department: H.M.C.T..... Programme: B.H.M.C.T  
 Semester: V.II..... Session: MO-19  
 Course Code: H.M.70.50.. Course Title Project - I  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9430764865..... E-mail: rajeshwari@kirtimansa.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

R Chatterjee

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeshwari Chatterjee Designation: Asst Prof  
 Department: H.M.C.T Programme: B.H.M.C.T  
 Semester: V.II Session: M.O-19  
 Course Code: HM7211 Course Title: Research Project Design & Methodology  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9430764865 E-mail: rajeshwari@kitsonna.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

RChatterjee  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

SKS

### Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Santiv Kumar Swaha Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: H.M.C.T. Programme: B.H.M.C.T.  
 Semester: 1st Semester Session: M.O.19  
 Course Code: H.M.L.O.Y. Course Title: Foundation Course in Room Division-I  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9771348976 E-mail: santiv@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

S.K. Swaha  
20.11.19  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sanjiv Kumar Srivastava Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: H.M.C.T. Programme: B.H.M.C.T.  
 Semester: 1<sup>st</sup> Session: M.O.19  
 Course Code: HM107 Course Title: Foundation Course in Room Division (Bae-2)  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9771348976 E-mail: sanjiv@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

S. K. Srivastava  
20.11.19  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sanjiv Kumar Srivastava Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: 7th Session: M.O.19  
 Course Code: HM.7033 Course Title: Food & Beverage Service Management  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 9771348976 E-mail: sanjivc.bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Sanjiv Kumar Srivastava  
 20.11.19  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sanjiv Kumar Srivastava Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: H.M.C.T. Programme: B.H.M.C.T.  
 Semester: 7th Session: MO 19  
 Course Code: HM 7004 Course Title: Food & Beverage Service Management bac.  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 9771348976 E-mail: sanjiv@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

S.K. Srivastava  
20-11-19  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sanjiv Kumar Srivastava ..... Designation: Assistant Professor .....  
 Department: HMCT ..... Programme: BHMCT .....  
 Semester: Vth ..... Session: 2019 .....  
 Course Code: HM5206. Course Title: Accommodation Operation Bae-IV .....  
 Number of times taught: 02 .....  
 Mobile: 9771348976 ..... E-mail: sanjiv@bitmesra.ac.in .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

S. Srivastava  
 20.11.19  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sanjiv Kumar Srivastava Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMC.T Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: Vth Session: M019  
 Course Code: HM5208 Course Title: Front office hotel-III  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9771348976 E-mail: sanjiv@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Sanjiv Kumar Srivastava  
 20.11.19  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ..... Designation .....

Department: ..... Programme: .....

Semester: ..... Session: .....

Course Code: ..... Course Title .....

Number of times taught: .....

Mobile: ..... E-mail: .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. ABHISEK JANA Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: HMCT Programme: B.HMET  
 Semester: IV<sup>th</sup> Session: SP/2021  
 Course Code: HM 404 Course Title: F & B Management- practical  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9972862078 E-mail: abhisek.jana@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

The overall satisfaction level of the faculty is excellent.

.....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. ABHISEK JANA Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMET  
 Semester: IV<sup>th</sup> Session: SP/2021  
 Course Code: HM404 Course Title: F & B Management  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9973862078 E-mail: abhisek.jana@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

The overall satisfaction level of the teacher is excellent.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. ABHISEK JANA Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: H.M. CT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VIII<sup>th</sup> Session: SP/2021  
 Course Code: HM8091 Course Title: F&B Control  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9973852078 E-mail: abhisek.jana@litmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	333333333333
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

  
All the modules that require modification, was discussed in faculty meetings and the required changes have been made.

Any other specific comments:

Action Taken:

Syllabus has been revised in CBCS format & required changes have been made.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Akshay W. Shandilya Designation: Assistant Prof  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: II Session: SP 2021  
 Course Code: HM109 Course Title: Food Production Foundation - II  
 Number of times taught: 3  
 Mobile: 9472711951 E-mail: akshay.kumar@sitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	N/A

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Interpretation: Satisfaction level is good/excellent

Action Taken: No action required.

Shivam

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Ashwani K. Shandilya Designation: Assistant Prof.  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VII Session: SP 2021  
 Course Code: HM407 Course Title: International Cuisine Practical  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9472711951 E-mail: ashwanikumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	N/A

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Interpretation: Satisfaction level is good/excellent.  
Action Taken: No action required.

Shweta

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Abhinav K. Srivastava Designation: Assistant Prof.  
 Department: HMCET Programme: BHMCET  
 Semester: III Session: SP 2021  
 Course Code: HM403 Course Title: International Cuisine  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9472711957 E-mail: abhinavkumar@bhu.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	N/A

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Interpretation: Satisfactory level is good/excellent  
Action Taken: No action required.  
Srivastava

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. AMIT SARAN Designation: ASST. PROF  
 Department: HMT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: II Session: SP'20  
 Course Code: HMT114 Course Title: FUND & REVENUE SERVICE PRAC II  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 9431039950 E-mail: amit.saran@bitmeers.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	—

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : ~~Yes~~/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

20

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N.A  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. AMIT SARAN Designation: ASST. PROF.  
 Department: HMCT Programme: RHMCT  
 Semester: IV Session: SP'20  
 Course Code: HM 216 Course Title: FOOD & BEVERAGE SERVICE PART V  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9430079700 E-mail: amit.saran@bhimctra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	-

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : ~~Yes~~/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N.A

.....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. AMIT SARAN Designation: ASST. PROF  
 Department: HMT Programme: BHM CT  
 Semester: IV Session: SP' 20  
 Course Code: HM 218 Course Title: FRONT OFFICE OPERATION PART II  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9431039750 E-mail: amit.saran@bhu.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	-

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : ~~Yes~~/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N.A

.....  
 .....  
 .....

A3  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. AMIT SARAN Designation: ASST. PROF  
 Department: HMC Programme: BHCT  
 Semester: III Session: MO 20  
 Course Code: HM 3023 Course Title: FWD & BEVERAGE SERVICE III  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 9431039300 E-mail: gmit.saran@bhmers.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/~~No~~  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

All the modules with required specifications was discussed in faculty meeting.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

The syllabus has been revised in CBCS format and required changes has been done.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR AMIT SARAN Designation: ASST. PROF  
 Department: HMT Programme: BHM CT  
 Semester: III Session: MO' 20  
 Course Code: HMT 204 Course Title: ACCOMMODATION OPERATION I  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 9411039750 E-mail: amit.saran@bitmesrs.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	5

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : ~~Yes~~/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N.A

.....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. AMIT SARAN Designation: ASST. PROF  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VII Session: NO'20  
 Course Code: HN7033 Course Title: FSD & BEVERAGE SERVICE MANAGEMENT  
 Number of times taught: 07  
 Mobile: 9471039700 E-mail: amit.saran@bhmct.org.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4    Agree = 3    Neither agree nor disagree = 2    Disagree = 1    Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

All the modules with required specification was discussed in faculty meeting.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

The syllabus has been revised in CBES format and required changes has been done.

  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. AMIT SARAN Designation: ASST. PROF  
 Department: HM CT Programme: BHM CT  
 Semester: III Session: MO'20  
 Course Code: HM 7035 Course Title: ACCOMMODATION MANAGEMENT  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9431029700 E-mail: amit.saran@bhmiers.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ ~~No~~  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

All the modules with required specifications was discussed in faculty meeting.

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

The syllabus has been revised in CBCS format and required changes has been done.

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. AMIT SARAN Designation: ASST. PROF.  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: III Session: MO 20  
 Course Code: HM 207 Course Title: FOOD & BEVERAGE SERVICE PRACTICE III  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 94310 99700 E-mail: amit.saran@bhmct.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4    Agree = 3    Neither agree nor disagree = 2    Disagree = 1    Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N.A

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. AMIT SARAN ..... Designation: ASST. PROF .....  
 Department: HMLT ..... Programme: BHMCT .....  
 Semester: VI ..... Session: MO'20 .....  
 Course Code: HM 321 ..... Course Title: ACCOMMODATION MANAGEMENT PRACT .....  
 Number of times taught: 01 .....  
 Mobile: 9431039260 ..... E-mail: amit.saran@bhmctmepa.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N.A  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. AMIT SARAN Designation: ASST. PROF  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VIII Session: SP 21  
 Course Code: HM 8043 Course Title: HOTEL LAW  
 Number of times taught: 03  
 Mobile: 9421039700 E-mail: amit.saran@bhmct.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4    Agree = 3    Neither agree nor disagree = 2    Disagree = 1    Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course? ~~Yes~~ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N.A

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. AMIT SARAN Designation: ASST. PROF  
 Department: HMC Programme: BHMC  
 Semester: IV Session: SP'21  
 Course Code: HM 212 Course Title: FOOD & BEVERAGE SERVICE IV  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9491029260 E-mail: amit.saran@bitm.org.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	5

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N.A  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR AMIT SARAN ..... Designation: ASST. PROF .....  
 Department: HMC ..... Programme: BHMCT .....  
 Semester: VII ..... Session: SP'21 .....  
 Course Code: HM402 ..... Course Title: PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE LAW & ETHICS .....  
 Number of times taught: 01 .....  
 Mobile: 94310 39700 ..... E-mail: amit.saran@bhmctra.ac.in .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	-

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

**NO**

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N.A

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. AMIT SARAN Designation: ASST. PROF  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: 11 Session: SP'21  
 Course Code: HM 114 Course Title: FOOD & BEVERAGE SERVICE PRAC II  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 9431029900 E-mail: amit.saran@bitmepg.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	—

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : ~~Yes~~ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N.A

.....

.....

.....

.....

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. AMIT SARAN Designation: ASST. PROF  
 Department: HMCCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: IV Session: SP '21  
 Course Code: HMCCT 216 Course Title: FOOD & BEVERAGE SERVICE PRACTICE IV  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9431039700 E-mail: amit.saran@bhmct.org.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : ~~Yes~~ / No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N.A

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Gautam Shandilya Designation: Asst. Professor  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VIII Session: SP/21  
 Course Code: HM8045 Course Title: Hotel Entrepreneurship  
 Number of times taught: Four  
 Mobile: 9939095981 E-mail: gautam@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4    Agree = 3    Neither agree nor disagree = 2    Disagree = 1    Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

All the modules that require modification, was discussed in the faculty meeting and the required changes have been made.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: Action Taken  
Syllabus has been revised in CBCS format & required changes have been made.

Gautam

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Gautam Shandilya Designation: Asst. Professor  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VIII Session: SP/21  
 Course Code: HM8216 Course Title: Organizational Behavior  
 Number of times taught: Six  
 Mobile: 9939095981 E-mail: gautam@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4    Agree = 3    Neither agree nor disagree = 2    Disagree = 1    Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	5

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: Action Taken  
Not included in CBES

.....  
 .....

Gautam

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Gautam Shandilya ..... Designation: Asst. Professor  
 Department: HMCT ..... Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VIII ..... Session: SP/21  
 Course Code: HM8039 ..... Course Title: Event Management  
 Number of times taught: Four  
 Mobile: 9939095981 ..... E-mail: gautam@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4    Agree = 3    Neither agree nor disagree = 2    Disagree = 1    Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course? Yes/No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

All the modules that require modification, was discussed in the faculty meeting and the required changes have been made.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: Action Taken

Syllabus has been revised in CBCS format & required changes have been made.

Gautam

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Gautam Shandilya Designation: Asst. Professor  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: IV Session: SP/21  
 Course Code: HM 217 Course Title: Accommodation Operation Practical-II  
 Number of times taught: Two  
 Mobile: 9939095981 E-mail: gautam@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JLST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

The overall satisfaction level of teacher is excellent.

.....

.....

.....

Gautam

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Nishikant Kumar Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: Hotel Management Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VI Session: SP' 2021  
 Course Code: HM 322 Course Title: Hospitality Marketing  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9939738784 E-mail: nkumar@bitmeson.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

N Kumar

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Nishikant Kumar ..... Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: Hotel Management Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VII ..... Session: SP' 2021  
 Course Code: HM 401..... Course Title: Tourism operations  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9939738784 ..... E-mail: nkumar@bitusa.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Nishikant

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Nishikant Kumar Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: Hotel Management Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VII Session: SP' 2021  
 Course Code: HM 8037 Course Title: Tourism operations & Management  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 9939738780 E-mail: nkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Nishikant

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRATIMA ERKA Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: H.M.C.T Programme: B.H.M.C.T  
 Semester: IV Session: S.P-2021  
 Course Code: HM-211 Course Title: Food Production operation-II  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9572857252 E-mail: pratima@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

NO action taken  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

*Pratima*

9/12/21

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRATIMA EKKA Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: H.M.C.T Programme: B.H.M.C.T  
 Semester: IV Session: SP-2021  
 Course Code: HM.215 Course Title: Food Production operation - II  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9572857252 E-mail: pratima@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

No action taken

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

*Pratima*

9/12/21

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRATIMA EKKA Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: H.M.C.T Programme: B.H.M.C.T  
 Semester: II Session: S.P.-2021  
 Course Code: HM-113 Course Title: Food Production Foundation Practical-II  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9572857252 E-mail: pratima@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

No action taken  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Pratima  
9/12/21



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRATIMA EKKA Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VIII Session: SP-2021  
 Course Code: HM.80.33 Course Title: International Cuisine - Italian  
 Number of times taught: 3  
 Mobile: 9572857252 E-mail: pratima.d@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

No action taken

.....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

*Pratima*

9/12/21

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRANJAL KUMAR Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT (UG)  
 Semester: II<sup>nd</sup> Session: SP/21  
 Course Code: HM 115 Course Title: Foundation Course in Basic Division Practical - II  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9431382768 E-mail: pranjal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4    Agree = 3    Neither agree nor disagree = 2    Disagree = 1    Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 ..... No action taken .....  
 .....

*Pranjal Kumar*  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRANJAL KUMAR Designation Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT (U.G.)  
 Semester: VI<sup>th</sup> Session: SP/21  
 Course Code: HM 213 Course Title Accommodation Operation - II  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9431382768 E-mail: pranjalk@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4    Agree = 3    Neither agree nor disagree = 2    Disagree = 1    Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 ..... No action taken .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRANJAL KUMAR..... Designation Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMCT..... Programme: BHMCT (UG)  
 Semester: VI<sup>th</sup>..... Session: SP/21  
 Course Code: HM 214..... Course Title Front office Operation - II  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9431382768..... E-mail: pranjal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

No action taken

.....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRANTAL KUMAR ..... Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: H.M.C.T. ..... Programme: B.H.M.C.T. (UG)  
 Semester: VI<sup>th</sup> ..... Session: SP/21  
 Course Code: HM 218 ..... Course Title: Front office operation Practical - II  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9431382768 ..... E-mail: prantalk@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 style="text-align: center;">No action taken  
 .....

Prantal Kumar  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRANJAL KUMAR Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: H.M.T Programme: B.H.M.T / U.G.  
 Semester: II<sup>nd</sup> Session: SP/21  
 Course Code: HM.UU Course Title: Foundation Course in Room Division - II  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9431382768 E-mail: pranjal@litmesa.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

No action taken

.....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Saiwantara Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMCCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: II Session: SP/21  
 Course Code: HM-110 Course Title: Food & Beverage Service -II  
 Number of times taught: 03  
 Mobile: 8987479515 E-mail: psaiwantara@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

- No -

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

No Action taken  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Sriwastawa Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VII Session: SP/21  
 Course Code: HM-405 Course Title: Advance Front office Management  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 8987479515 E-mail: psriwastawa@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4    Agree = 3    Neither agree nor disagree = 2    Disagree = 1    Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

- No -

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

No Action taken  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Srivastava Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VII Session: SP/21  
 Course Code: HM409 Course Title: Advance Front Office  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 8987479515 E-mail: psrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

- No -

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

No Action taken  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeshwari Chalkeyia Designation: Asst Prof  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMT  
 Semester: II Session: SP-21  
 Course Code: HM108 Course Title: Business Computing  
 Number of times taught: 3  
 Mobile: 9430764865 E-mail: rajeshwari@kinnern.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

No action taken

.....  
 .....  
 .....

R Chalkeyia

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeshwari Chatterjee Designation: Asst Prof  
 Department: H.M.I.T Programme: B.H.M.I.T  
 Semester: II Session: SP-21  
 Course Code: HM112 Course Title: Business Computing (lab) Practical  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9430764865 E-mail: rajeshwari@ditmae.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

No action taken

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Rajeshwari Chatterjee

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeshwari Chatterjee Designation: Asst (Ad)  
 Department: H.M.C.T. Programme: B.H.M.C.T.  
 Semester: IV Session: SP-21  
 Course Code: HM210 Course Title: Basic Accounting  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9430764865 E-mail: rajeshwari@kilimera.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

No action taken

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Rajeshwari Chatterjee

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sanjay Kumar Swasthava Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMET Programme: BHMET  
 Semester: 7th Sem Session: SP 21  
 Course Code: HM 406 Course Title: Advanced Accommodation Management  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9721348976 E-mail: sanjay@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NIL

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Swasthava  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sampurna Srinivastava Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMET Programme: BHMET  
 Semester: VIII<sup>th</sup> Session: SP21  
 Course Code: HM8031 Course Title: Fast food chain Management  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 9771348926 E-mail: sampurna@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No/Yes

The satisfaction level of faculty syllabus has been revised in CBCS format and necessary changes has been made.

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NIL

.....

.....

.....

S. Srinivastava  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sanjiv Kumar Sinhatava Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VII<sup>th</sup> Session: SP21  
 Course Code: HM410 Course Title: Advance Accommodation management Prac.  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 9771348976 E-mail: Sanjiv@bitsonera.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NIL

.....  
 .....  
 .....

S.K. Sinhatava

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. ABHISEK JANA Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: HMLT Programme: BHMLT  
 Semester: VII<sup>th</sup> Session: MOJ2020  
 Course Code: HM7004 Course Title: F&B Service Management- Practical  
 Number of times taught: 4  
 Mobile: 9973862078 E-mail: abhisek.jana@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

Yes

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

All the modules that require modification, was discussed in length in faculty meeting and the required changes have been made.

Any other specific comments:

Action Taken:-

Syllabus has been revised in e-BES format & required changes have been made.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: D.R. ABHISEK JANA Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: HMCT Programme: B.NMCT  
 Semester: VI<sup>th</sup> Session: M/J 2020  
 Course Code: HM219 Course Title: Advanced F&B Service Practical  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9972862078 E-mail: abhisek.jana@kirmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

The overall satisfaction level of the teacher is excellent



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. ABHISEK JANA Designation: Asst. Prof  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: I<sup>st</sup> Session: M/J 2020  
 Course Code: HM106 Course Title: A & B Service Practical-I  
 Number of times taught: 3  
 Mobile: 9973862078 E-mail: abhisekjana@kitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

The overall ~~total~~ satisfaction of the faculty is excellent.



Signature of the Teacher:

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. ABHISEK JANA Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: V<sup>th</sup> Session: M/O/2020  
 Course Code: HM312 Course Title: F&B Control  
 Number of times taught: 3  
 Mobile: 9973862078 E-mail: abhisek.jana@kitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4    Agree = 3    Neither agree nor disagree = 2    Disagree = 1    Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

All the modules that require modification, was discussed in the faculty meeting and the required changes have been made.

Any other specific comments:

Action Taken:-

Syllabus has been revised in CBES format & required changes have been made.

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. ABHISEK JANA Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: HMET Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: III<sup>rd</sup> Session: M.O 2020  
 Course Code: HM203 Course Title: F&B Service - III  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9973862078 E-mail: abhisekjana@vitmepna.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

The overall satisfaction level of teacher is excellent.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. ABHISEK JANA Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: HMLT Programme: BHMLT  
 Semester: V<sup>th</sup> Session: M07/2020  
 Course Code: HM5023 Course Title: F&B Service - I  
 Number of times taught: 4  
 Mobile: 9973862678 E-mail: abhisek.jana@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	Comments - 5 4 3 2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

All ~~that~~ the modules that require modification, was discussed in the faculty meeting and the required changes have been made

Any other specific comments:

Action Taken! -

Syllabus has been revised in CBCS format & required changes have been made!

Dr

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Abhinav N. Shandilya Designation: Assistant Prof  
 Department: H.M.C.T Programme: B.H.M.C.T  
 Semester: I Session: MO 2020  
 Course Code: HM102 Course Title: Food Prod. foundation I  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9472211957 E-mail: abhinavshandilya@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	N/A

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Interpretation: Satisfaction level is good/excellent

Action Taken: No action required

Shindilya

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Abhinav M. Shandilya Designation: Assistant Prof.  
 Department: HMET Programme: BMMCT  
 Semester: III Session: MO2020  
 Course Code: HM202 Course Title: Food Prod. Operation I  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9472211951 E-mail: abhinavkumar@sitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	N/A

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Interpretation: Satisfaction level is good/excellent  
Action Taken: No action required.  
Sanjay

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Abhinav K. Shandilya Designation: Assistant Prof  
 Department: H.M.C.T. Programme: B.H.M.C.T.  
 Semester: I Session: MO2020  
 Course Code: HM105 Course Title: Food Prod. Foundation Pr I  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9472211951 E-mail: sabhinavkumar@bitwara.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	N/A

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Interpretation: Satisfaction level is good/excellent  
Action Taken: No action required.

*Shivam*

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Abhinav K. Shandilya Designation: Assistant Prof.  
 Department: H.M.C.T. Programme: B.M.C.T.  
 Semester: (VII) Session: M.02020  
 Course Code: HM7031 Course Title: FP Mgmt 2 Adv Bakery  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9972711957 E-mail: abhinav.kr.shandilya

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	N/A

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Interpretation: Needs improvement.

Action Taken: course removed in CBCS

Abhinav

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. AMIT SARAN Designation: ASST. PROF.  
 Department: HMC Programme: BHCT  
 Semester: III Session: MO 20  
 Course Code: HM 3023 Course Title: FWD & BEVERAGE SERVICE III  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 9431039300 E-mail: gmit.saran@btfmhrs.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/~~No~~  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

All the modules with required specifications was discussed in faculty meeting.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

The syllabus has been revised in CBCS format and required changes has been done.



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR AMIT SARAN Designation: ASST. PROF  
 Department: HMT Programme: BHM CT  
 Semester: III Session: MO' 20  
 Course Code: HMT 204 Course Title: ACCOMMODATION OPERATION I  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 9411039750 E-mail: amit.saran@bitmesrs.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	5

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N.A  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. AMIT SARAN Designation: ASST. PROF  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VII Session: NO'20  
 Course Code: HN7033 Course Title: FSD & BEVERAGE SERVICE MANAGEMENT  
 Number of times taught: 07  
 Mobile: 9471039700 E-mail: amit.saran@bhmctvga.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4    Agree = 3    Neither agree nor disagree = 2    Disagree = 1    Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

All the modules with required specification was discussed in faculty meeting.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

The syllabus has been revised in CBES format and required changes has been done.

  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. AMIT SARAN ..... Designation: ASST. PROF  
 Department: HM CT ..... Programme: BHM CT  
 Semester: III ..... Session: MO'20  
 Course Code: HM 7035 ..... Course Title: ACCOMMODATION MANAGEMENT  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9431029700 ..... E-mail: amit.saran@bhmiers.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ ~~No~~  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

All the modules with required specifications was discussed in faculty meeting.

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

The syllabus has been revised in CBCS format and required changes has been done.

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. AMIT SARAN Designation: ASST. PROF.  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: III Session: MO 20  
 Course Code: HM 207 Course Title: FOOD & BEVERAGE SERVICE PRACTICE III  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 94310 99700 E-mail: amit.saran@bhmct.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4    Agree = 3    Neither agree nor disagree = 2    Disagree = 1    Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N.A

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. AMIT SARAN ..... Designation: ASST. PROF .....  
 Department: HMLT ..... Programme: BHMCT .....  
 Semester: VI ..... Session: MO'20 .....  
 Course Code: HM 321 ..... Course Title: ACCOMMODATION MANAGEMENT PRACT .....  
 Number of times taught: 01 .....  
 Mobile: 9431039260 ..... E-mail: amit.saran@bhmctmepva.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N.A  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Gautam Shandilya Designation: Asst Professor  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: II Session: M.O/20  
 Course Code: HM2015 Course Title: Food Science & Nutrition  
 Number of times taught: One  
 Mobile: 9939095981 E-mail: gautam@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree - 4 | Agree - 3 | Neither agree nor disagree - 2 | Disagree - 1 | Strongly disagree - 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NLT/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course? Yes/No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

All the modules that require modification was discussed in the faculty meeting and the required changes have been made.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: Action Taken  
Syllabus has been revised in CBCS format & required changes have been made.

Gautam

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Gautam Shandilya ..... Designation: Asst. Professor  
 Department: HMCT ..... Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: I ..... Session: MO/20 (Backlog)  
 Course Code: MSH1141 ..... Course Title: English for Technical Students  
 Number of times taught: Six  
 Mobile: 9939095981 ..... E-mail: gautam@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4    Agree = 3    Neither agree nor disagree = 2    Disagree = 1    Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	5

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: Action Taken  
Not included in CBCS.

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Gautam  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Gautam Shandilya Designation: Asst. Professor  
 Department: HMC T Programme: BHMC T  
 Semester: VI Session: M/O/20  
 Course Code: HM 310 Course Title: Food Production & Bakery Management  
 Number of times taught: One  
 Mobile: 9939095981 E-mail: gautam@hitmesra

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: Action Taken  
Overall satisfaction level of teacher is excellent

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Gautam

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Gautam Shandilya ..... Designation: Asst. Professor .....  
 Department: HMCT ..... Programme: BHMCT .....  
 Semester: V ..... Session: M0/20 .....  
 Course Code: HM 326 ..... Course Title: Event Management (Open Elective) .....  
 Number of times taught: One .....  
 Mobile: ..... E-mail: .....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET GATE JEST or similar competitive examination.	5

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions.

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: Action Taken  
The overall satisfaction level of teacher is excellent  
 .....  
 .....

Gautam  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Gautam Shandilya ..... Designation: Asst. Professor .....  
 Department: HMET ..... Programme: BHMET .....  
 Semester: VII ..... Session: M0/20 .....  
 Course Code: HM7220 ..... Course Title: Personality Development Practical-II .....  
 Number of times taught: Three .....  
 Mobile: 9939095981 ..... E-mail: gautam@bitmesra.ac.in .....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

All the modules that require modification, was discussed in the faculty meeting and the required changes have been made.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any. Action Taken

Syllabus has been revised in CBCS format & required changes have been made.

Gautam

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Gautam Shandilya Designation: Asst. Professor  
 Department: HMET Programme: BHMET  
 Semester: VII Session: MO/20  
 Course Code: HM7031 Course Title: Food Production Management & Advanced Bakery  
 Number of times taught: One  
 Mobile: 9939095981 E-mail: gautam@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	1
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	0

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

All the modules that require modification was discussed in the faculty meeting and the required changes have been made.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: Action Taken

Syllabus has been revised in CBCS format & required changes have been made.

Gautam

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Nishikant Kumar Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: Hotel Management Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: III Session: MO'2020  
 Course Code: HM 201 Course Title: Introduction To Tourism, Travel & Hospitality Industry  
 Number of times taught: 03  
 Mobile: 9939738784 E-mail: nkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4    Agree = 3    Neither agree nor disagree = 2    Disagree = 1    Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Nishikant

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Nishikant Kumar Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: Hotel Management Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: V Session: Mo'2020  
 Course Code: HM 314 Course Title: Front office Management-II  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 9939738784 E-mail: nkumar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Nishikant

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: P. RATIMA ERKA Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: H.M.C.T. Programme: B.H.M.C.T.  
 Semester: IV Session: M.D-2020  
 Course Code: H.M.4021 Course Title: Food Production-IV  
 Number of times taught: 3  
 Mobile: 9572857252 E-mail: prati.e.d.bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

No... action... taken  
 .....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Pratima  
 9/12/21



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRATIMA EKKA Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: H.M.C.T. Programme: B.H.M.C.T.  
 Semester: II Session: MO-2020  
 Course Code: H.M. 206 Course Title: Food Production operation Practical-I  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9572857252 E-mail: prati@s3.bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

No. action taken

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Pratima  
7/12/2021

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRATIMA EKK A Designation: Asst. P-f.  
 Department: H.M.C.T Programme: B.H.M.C.T  
 Semester: I Session: N.O-2020  
 Course Code: HM-104 Course Title: Foundation Course in R.D.M. Division-I  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9572857252 E-mail: pratima@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

No action taken  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Pratima  
 9/12/21

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRANJAL KUMAR Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT / UG  
 Semester: Ist Session: M.O/20  
 Course Code: HM 107 Course Title: Foundation Course in Room Division Practical-I  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9431382768 E-mail: pranjalk@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
No action taken  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

*Pranjal Kumar*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRANJAL KUMAR Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT (UG)  
 Semester: II<sup>nd</sup> Session: Mo, 20  
 Course Code: HR 205 Course Title: Front office Operation - I  
 Number of times taught: 3  
 Mobile: 9431382768 E-mail: pranjal@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4    Agree = 3    Neither agree nor disagree = 2    Disagree = 1    Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
No action taken  
 .....  
 .....

Pranjal Kumar  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRANJAL KUMAR Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: H.M.C.T. Programme: B.H.M.C.T. (U.G.)  
 Semester: III<sup>rd</sup> VII<sup>th</sup> Session: M0/20  
 Course Code: HM.316 Course Title: Accommodation Management - II  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9431382768 E-mail: pranjalk@litmesa.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	5
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	5
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	5
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	5
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	5
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	5
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	5
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	5
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	5
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	5
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	5

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 No action taken  
 .....

*Pranjal Kumar*  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: PRANJAL KUMAR Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMCT Programme: BHMCT (U.G.)  
 Semester: VI<sup>th</sup> Session: MA/20  
 Course Code: HM 320 Course Title: Front office Management Practical  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9431382768 E-mail: pranjal@hitmesic.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

No action taken

.....

.....

Pranjal Kumar  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: P. Praveen Srivastava ..... Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMCCT ..... Programme: BHMCCT  
 Semester: IV ..... Session: MO-20  
 Course Code: HM 212 ..... Course Title: Food & Beverage Service - IV  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 8987479515 ..... E-mail: psrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

— No —

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

No action taken  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

P. Praveen

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: H Praveen Shrivastava ..... Designation Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMCT ..... Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VI ..... Session: M0/20  
 Course Code: HM-308 ..... Course Title CRM in Hospitality Industry  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 8987479515 ..... E-mail: psrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

— No —

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

No action taken  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Praveen Srivastava ..... Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMCCT ..... Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VII ..... Session: HO/20  
 Course Code: HM-7037 ..... Course Title: Front Office Management  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 8987479515 ..... E-mail: psrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

- No -

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

No action taken  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Praveen

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Priaveen Srivastava ..... Designation Assistant Professor  
 Department: HIMCT ..... Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: VII ..... Session: NO/20  
 Course Code: HM-7008 ..... Course Title Front office Management Practical  
 Number of times taught: 03  
 Mobile: 8987479515 ..... E-mail: psrivastava@bitwera.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

- No -

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

No Action taken

.....

.....

.....

Priaveen

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeshwari Chalkeye ..... Designation: Asst Prof  
 Department: H.M.C.T. ..... Programme: BH.M.C.T.  
 Semester: 1 ..... Session: HO 20  
 Course Code: H.M.I.O.1 ..... Course Title: Food Science Nutrition  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9430764865 ..... E-mail: rajeshwari@bitmens.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

	Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
S. No.	Statements				Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.				3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.				3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.				3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.				3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.				3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.				3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.				3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.				3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.				3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.				3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.				3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.				2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

No action taken

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Rajeshwari Chalkeye  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeshwari Chatterjee ..... Designation: Asst Prof  
 Department: HMLT ..... Programme: BHMLT  
 Semester: V ..... Session: MO 20  
 Course Code: HM306 ..... Course Title: Diet Therapy  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9430764861 ..... E-mail: rajeshwari@kilmessia.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

No action taken

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Rajeshwari Chatterjee  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeshwari Chalkey Designation: Asst Prof  
 Department: H.M.C.T Programme: B.H.M.C.T  
 Semester: III Session: M.O-20  
 Course Code: H.M.317 Course Title: Personality Development  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9430764861 E-mail: rajeshwari@hmtmca.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4    Agree = 3    Neither agree nor disagree = 2    Disagree = 1    Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:  
No action taken

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Rajeshwari Chalkey  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeshwari Chatterjee Designation: Asst Prof  
 Department: H.M.L.T Programme: H.M.L.T  
 Semester: VII Session: M020  
 Course Code: HM101B Course Title: Project - I  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9430764881 E-mail: rajeshwari@litmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

No action taken

Rajeshwari Chatterjee  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeshwari Chatterjee ..... Designation: Asst Prof  
 Department: HMCT ..... Programme: BHMCT  
 Semester: HM7211 (VII) ..... Session: (Mo20) Research Project Design & Methodology  
 Course Code: HM7211 ..... Course Title: Research Project Design & Methodology  
 Number of times taught: 3  
 Mobile: 9430764865 ..... E-mail: rajeshwari@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments:

No action taken  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Rajeshwari Chatterjee  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeshwari Chatterjee ..... Designation: Asst Prof  
 Department: H.M.L.T ..... Programme: B.H.M.L.T  
 Semester: VII ..... Session: MO 20  
 Course Code: HM7213 ..... Course Title: Hospitality Marketing  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9430764865 ..... E-mail: rajeshwari@litmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4    Agree = 3    Neither agree nor disagree = 2    Disagree = 1    Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments:

No action taken

Rajeshwari Chatterjee  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sanjiv Kumar Sonawale Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMET Programme: BHMET  
 Semester: 4th Session: MO 20  
 Course Code: HM 4023 Course Title: Food & Beverage Service - IV  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9771348926 E-mail: sanjiv@bitmesa.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No Yes

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

The syllabus has been revised in CBCS format and necessary changes has been made.

.....

.....

S. Sonawale

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sangpu Kumar Sivaratava Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMET Programme: BHMET  
 Semester: 1st Session: MO 20  
 Course Code: HM103 Course Title: Food & Beverage Service - I  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9771348976 E-mail: sangpu@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NIL

.....  
 .....  
 .....

S. K. Sivaratava  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sanjiv Kumar Sonvavara Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMET Programme: BITMET  
 Semester: 1st Session: MO 20  
 Course Code: HM 1023 Course Title: Food & Beverage Service - I  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9721348976 E-mail: sanjiv@bitmeta.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO Yes

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

The syllabus has been revised in CBCS format and necessary changes has been made.

.....

.....

S.K. Sonvavara  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sanjay Kumar Srivastava Designation: Assistant professor  
 Department: HMCET Programme: BTMET  
 Semester: 6th Session: MA 20  
 Course Code: HM 307 Course Title: Hotel Marketing  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9771348926 E-mail: sanjay@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NIL

.....

.....

.....

S.K. Srivastava  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sanjiv Kumar Srivastava Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMET Programme: BHMET  
 Semester: 3<sup>rd</sup> Session: M020  
 Course Code: HM 208 Course Title: Accommodation operation Bac-2  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 9771348976 E-mail: sanjiv@bhausa.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NIL

.....  
 .....  
 .....

S. K. Srivastava  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Sanjiv Kumar Somvadhava Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: HMET Programme: BHMET  
 Semester: 7th Session: MO 20  
 Course Code: HM 7006 Course Title: Accommodation Management Proc.  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 9771348926 E-mail: sanjiv@bitesa.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	1

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No yes.

The syllabus has been revised in CBCS format  
and necessary changes has been made.

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NIL

.....

.....

.....

S. K. Somvadhava  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*