

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dilip Kumar Singh.....Designation ..... Assistant professor.....  
 Department: Physics..... Programme: IMSc/MSc.....  
 Semester: IX / III..... Session: MO 2021.....  
 Course Code: SAP3115..... Course Title Advanced Experimental techniques.....  
 Number of times taught: 01.....  
 Mobile: 8130610762..... E-mail: dilipsnu@gmail.com.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

① Syllabus need to be modified to include Spectroscopy (Raman, PI etc) to make it more useful for P.G physics students.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

None!

Dilip  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dilip Kumar Singh.....Designation Assistant professor.....  
 Department: Physics..... Programme: IMSc/Msc  
 Semester: VII / I..... Session: MO 2021  
 Course Code: PH403 Course Title CLASSICAL MECHANICS  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 8130610762..... E-mail: dilipsnu@gmail.com.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

① Module - I need to be shortened.

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

*Dilip*

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeev Kumar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Physics

Programme: M.Sc. (Physics)

Semester: III

Session: MO-21

Course Code: PH 503

Course Title: Laser Physics and Applications

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 8902781883

E-mail: kumarrajeev@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No
----

.....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **N A**

.....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeev Kumar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Physics

Programme: I.M.Sc. (Physics)

Semester: V

Session: MO-21

Course Code: PH 309

Course Title: Solid State Physics Lab

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 8902781883

E-mail: kumarrajeev@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N A

.....  
.....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Pawan Kumar Tiwari Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: Physics Programme: IMSC  
 Semester: III<sup>rd</sup> Session: SEP MO 21  
 Course Code: PH111 Course Title: PHYSICS-II  
 Number of times taught: 50 lessons  
 Mobile: 9523931461 E-mail: PAWAN.KTIWARI@GMAIL.COM

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

no of strength of students should be minimized.

.....

.....

.....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Pawan Kumar Tiwari Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: Physics Programme: 2193C  
 Semester: IIIrd Session: 19021  
 Course Code: P11201 Course Title: Thermal Physics  
 Number of times taught: 50 lectures  
 Mobile: 9123931461 E-mail: PAWAN.K.TIWARIE@GMAIL.COM

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Pawan Kumar Jivan Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: Physics Programme: IMSC  
 Semester: V Session: M021  
 Course Code: PH112 Course Title: Physics - V Lab  
 Number of times taught: 10 lectures  
 Mobile: 9523931461 E-mail: PANAV.KJIVAN@GMAIL.COM

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S.K. Sinha Designation: Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: M.Sc.  
 Semester: VIII Session: MO  
 Course Code: SAP2105 Course Title: Atomic and Molecular Spectroscopy  
 Number of times taught: 3  
 Mobile: 9430195009 E-mail: sksinha@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO.

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

*S.K. Sinha*

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S. K. Sinha Designation: Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: M.Sc.  
 Semester: IX Session: MO 21  
 Course Code: SAP 3002 Course Title: Physics Lab IV, Ad. Mat. Sc. Lab.  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9430195209 E-mail: sksinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Sinha

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S.K. Sinha Designation: Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: IMSC  
 Semester: III Session: M.021  
 Course Code: PH202 Course Title: Digital Systems and Applications  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9430195009 E-mail: sksinha@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

*S.K. Sinha*

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S. Keshri Designation: Prof.  
 Department: Phys. Programme: IMSc.  
 Semester: 5th Session: Mo - 2021  
 Course Code: PH303 Course Title: Advanced Mathematical Physics  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 91311-05821 E-mail: A.keshri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course;  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

Tensors related topic may be lesser.  
Complex variable can be added.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

None



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S. Keshri Designation: Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: IMSc / M.Sc.  
 Semester: 7th / 1st Session: Mo- 2021  
 Course Code: PH 401 Course Title: Mathematical Methods in Physics  
 Number of times taught: 5  
 Mobile: 94311-05821 E-mail: s\_keshri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  Yes  No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

None

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....IMSc.....

Semester: .....III..... Session: .....MO21.....

Course Code: ...PH203... Course Title .....Classical Dynamics.....

Number of times taught: .....3.....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....

*Madhu*

Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....MSc & Pre-PhD, *IMSc*

Semester: .....*III (MSc + PhD), VII (IMSc)* Session: .....MO21.....

Course Code: ...PH405... Course Title: Modern Computational Techniques & Programming

Number of times taught: .....5.....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....

*Madhu*  
Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....IMSc & MSc .....

Semester: VII (IMSc) & I (MSc)... Session: .....MO21.....

Course Code: PH406 Course Title: Modern Computational Techniques & Programming Lab

Number of times taught: .....2.....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....IMSc .....

Semester: III... Session: .....MO21.....

Course Code: PH206..... Course Title: Classical Dynamics Lab

Number of times taught: .....1.....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....

*Madhu*

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ratan Kumar Paul Designation Associate Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: B.Tech  
 Semester: VII Session: M0/21  
 Course Code: P.H.318 Course Title Introduction to Nuclear and Particle Physics  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9142036043 E-mail: ratan\_bit1@yahoo.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Ratan K Paul  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ratan Kumar Paul Designation: Associate Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: IMSc  
 Semester: IX Session: M0/21  
 Course Code: SAP 3009 Course Title: Plasma Physics  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9142036043 E-mail: ratan\_kit1@yahoo.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

	Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
S. No.	Statements				Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.				4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.				4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.				4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.				3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.				4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.				4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.				4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.				3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.				4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.				4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.				4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.				4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Ratan K Paul  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. RATAN KUMAR PAUL Designation: Associate Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: I.M.Sc.  
 Semester: IX Session: MA/21  
 Course Code: S.A.P. 3301 Course Title: Nuclear and Particle Physics  
 Number of times taught: 3  
 Mobile: 9142036643 E-mail: ratan.bit1@rediffmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

*Ratan K. Paul*

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S. Konar Designation: Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: I. M.Sc.  
 Semester: MO-2021 Session: MO 2021  
 Course Code: PH.404 Course Title: Quantum Mechanics  
 Number of times taught: 7  
 Mobile: 9431326757 E-mail: SKONAR@bdtmexa.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. Sourabh Lahiri** Designation **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Physics** Programme: **MSc**

Semester: **MO/21** Session: **2021-2022**

Course Code: **SAP3007** Course Title **Advanced Electrodynamics**

Number of times taught: **4**

Mobile: **9938775028** E-mail: **sourabhlahiri@bitmesra.ac.in**

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Signature of the Teacher



**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. Sourabh Lahiri** Designation **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Physics** Programme: **MSc**

Semester: **MO/21** Session: ...**2021-2022**.....

Course Code: **PH301** Course Title **Quantum Mechanics and Applications**

Number of times taught: **1**

Mobile: **9938775028** E-mail: **sourabhlahiri@bitmesra.ac.in**

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
Any other specific comments including program revision if any:  
.....

  
Signature of the Teacher

10/12/21

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dilip Kumar Singh.....Designation .....Assistant professor.....  
 Department: Physics..... Programme: IMSc/Msc.  
 Semester: X / IV..... Session: SP 2021.  
 Course Code: SAP4031. Course Title PHOTONIC & OPTO-ELECTRONICS DEVICES.  
 Number of times taught: 01.....  
 Mobile: 8130610762..... E-mail: dilipsnu@gmail.com.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

None!  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dilip Kumar Singh.....Designation .....Assistant professor.....  
 Department: Physics..... Programme: Msc/Mx  
 Semester: V..... Session: SP 2021  
 Course Code: IMP5001 Course Title Fundamental of electronics  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 8130610762..... E-mail: dilipsnu@gmail.com.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

None!  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

*Dilip*

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*





## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Dr. Sanat Kumar Mukherjee..... Designation ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: ....Physics..... Programme: ..... MSc.....  
 Semester: ..... IV..... Session: ..... SP 2021.....  
 Course Code: ...SAP 4015..... Course Title ...Physics of Thin Films.....  
 Number of times taught: .....01.....  
 Mobile: .....9431363464..... E-mail: .....sanat.mukherjee@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Dr. Sanat Kumar Mukherjee..... Designation ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....BTech.....  
 Semester: .....II..... Session: .....SP 2021.....  
 Course Code: ...PH114..... Course Title .....Physics Lab.....  
 Number of times taught: .....18.....  
 Mobile: .....9431363464..... E-mail: .....sanat.mukherjee@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

.....**Module: IV**.....

Experiments on photoelectric effect, Determination of Planck's constant, single slit diffraction, Polarization must be included.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Dr. Sanat Kumar Mukherjee..... Designation ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: ..... MSc.....  
 Semester: ..... II..... Session: .....SP 2021.....  
 Course Code: ...SAP 2001... Course Title ....Electronics devices and Circuits.....  
 Number of times taught: .....01.....  
 Mobile: .....9431363464..... E-mail: .....sanat.mukherjee@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....Syllabus is very lengthy and need to be reduced.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Pawan Kumar Tiwari Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: Physics Programme: B.Tech  
 Semester: 5th sem (Vth) Session: SP21  
 Course Code: PH322 Course Title: Electromagnetic Theory Lab  
 Number of times taught: 10 Lectures  
 Mobile: 9523931461 E-mail: PAWAN.K.TIWARIL@GMAIL.COM

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Pawan Kumar Tiwari Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: Physics Programme: BTECH  
 Semester: 2<sup>nd</sup> Session: SP21  
 Course Code: PH113 Course Title: PHYSICS (PART)  
 Number of times taught: 50 lectures  
 Mobile: 9523931461 E-mail: PAWAN.KTIWARI@GMAIL.COM

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

	Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
S. No.	Statements				Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.				3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.				3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.				3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.				3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.				3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.				3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.				3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.				3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.				3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.				3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.				3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.				3


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any;

class strength should be minimized.  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Pawan Kumar Tiwari Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: Physics Programme: I M Sc  
 Semester: VII Session: SP21  
 Course Code: P11315 Course Title: Electromagnetic Theory  
 Number of times taught: 50 lectures  
 Mobile: 9523931461 E-mail: PANWAN.KTIWARI@GMAIL.COM

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

	Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
S. No.	Statements				Marks
1.					3
2.					3
3.					3
4.					3
5.					3
6.					3
7.					3
8.					3
9.					3
10.					3
11.					3
12.					3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeev Kumar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Physics

Programme: I.M.Sc. (Physics)

Semester: IV

Session: SP-21

Course Code: PH 207

Course Title: Mathematical Physics - II

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 8902781883

E-mail: kumarrajeev@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No
----

.....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N A

.....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeev Kumar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Physics

Programme: Pre Ph.D.

Semester: I

Session: SP-21

Course Code: PH 509

Course Title: Instrumentation and Control

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 8902781883

E-mail: kumarrajeev@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N A



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeev Kumar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Physics

Programme: I.M.Sc. (Physics)

Semester: X

Session: SP-21

Course Code: SAP 4009

Course Title: Physics of Solid State Devices

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 8902781883

E-mail: kumarrajeev@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions.

No
----

.....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **N A**

.....  
 .....

*Rajeev Kumar*

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeev Kumar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Physics

Programme: I.M.Sc. (Mathematics and Computing)

Semester: II

Session: SP-21

Course Code: PH 110

Course Title: Physics-I Lab

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 8902781883

E-mail: kumarrajeev@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N A

.....  
 .....

*Rkumar*

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeev Kumar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Physics

Programme: I.M.Sc. (Physics)

Semester: VI

Session: SP-21

Course Code: PH 326

Course Title: Advanced Experimental Technique

Lab

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 8902781883

E-mail: kumarrajeev@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N A

  
Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rishi Sharma Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: B.Tech  
 Semester: 1st Session: SP/21  
 Course Code: PH114 Course Title: Physics Lab  
 Number of times taught: 14  
 Mobile: 9431585496 E-mail: rsharma@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

*Rishi*

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rishi Sharma Designation Assistant Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: M Sc (Physics)  
 Semester: 4th Session: SP/21  
 Course Code: PH517 Course Title Nonconventional Energy Materials  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9431585496 E-mail: rsharma@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Rishi

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rishi Sharma Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: MSc (Physics)  
 Semester: 10th Session: SP/21  
 Course Code: SAP4011 Course Title: Advanced Materials Science  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9431585496 E-mail: rsharma@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Rishi

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course:

Name: Dr. S. Keshri Designation: Prof.  
 Department: Phys. Programme: 1 Msc. / Msc.  
 Semester: 8th / 2nd Session: Sp - 20 21  
 Course Code: P.H. 411 Course Title: Condensed Matter Phys.  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 94311-05821 E-mail: s\_keshri@bituvara.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No         
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:       

.....  
 .....  
 .....

S. Keshri

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr. Nishi Srivastava..... Designation: ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....I.M.Sc.....  
 Semester: .....I..... Session: .....SP21.....  
 Course Code: ...PH109... Course Title .....Physics-I.....  
 Number of times taught: .....2.....  
 Mobile: .....7250483740... E-mail: [nsrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in](mailto:nsrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in) .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO
----

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

*Nishi*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr. Nishi Srivastava..... Designation: ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....I.M.Sc.....  
 Semester: .....V..... Session: .....SP21.....  
 Course Code: ...PH320... Course Title .....Atmospheric Physics.....  
 Number of times taught: .....  
 Mobile: .....7250483740... E-mail: [nsrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in](mailto:nsrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in) .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

*Nishi*

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr. Nishi Srivastava..... Designation: ... Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....I.M.Sc.....  
 Semester: .....VI..... Session: .....SP21.....  
 Course Code: .....PH325... Course Title .....Atmospheric Physics Lab.....  
 Number of times taught: .....1.....  
 Mobile: .....7250483740... E-mail: [nsrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in](mailto:nsrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in) .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	2
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

*Nishi*

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....MSc.....  
 Semester: ..II..... Session: .....SP21.....  
 Course Code: PH408.. Course Title: Statistical Physics  
 Number of times taught: .....3.....  
 Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....IMSc.....

Semester: IV (FOVA) Session: .....SP21.....

Course Code: PH210... Course Title: MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS II LAB

Number of times taught: .....1.....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....

Madhu

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....IMSc.....

Semester: ..VI..... Session: .....SP21.....

Course Code: PH316... Course Title: STATISTICAL MECHANICS

Number of times taught: .....1.....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....

  
Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....IMSc.....

Semester: ..VI..... Session: .....SP21.....

Course Code: PH323...Course Title: STATISTICAL MECHANICS LAB

Number of times taught: .....1.....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....

*Madhu*

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ratan Kumar Poul Designation Associate Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: IMSC  
 Semester: VII Session: SP 2021  
 Course Code: PH 327 Course Title Introduction to Nuclear and Particle Physics Lab  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 0142036043 E-mail: ratan\_btl1@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
* 10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  No  Yes  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Ratan K Poul  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ratan Kumar Paul Designation Associate Professor

Department: Physics Programme: IMSc

Semester: VIII Session: SP 2021

Course Code: PH318 Course Title Introduction to Nuclear and Particle Physics

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 9142036043 E-mail: ratan\_bk11@yahoo.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  No   
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Ratan K Paul  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ratan Kumar Paul Designation: Associate Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: I.M.Sc.  
 Semester: V Session: S.P. 2021  
 Course Code: P.H. 205 Course Title: Elements of Modern Physics  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9142036043 E-mail: ratan\_bit1@rediffmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  Yes  No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Ratan K Paul  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. E.A. Rout Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: Physics Programme: U.G (B.Tech)  
 Semester: II Session: SP-21  
 Course Code: PH113 Course Title: B.Tech Physics  
 Number of times taught: 09  
 Mobile: 8986800150 E-mail: elaraaha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

E. Rout  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. E.L.A. ROUTH Designation: Asst. Prof  
 Department: Physics Programme: U.G  
 Semester: II Session: SP-21  
 Course Code: PH114 Course Title: B.Tech. Physics. LAD  
 Number of times taught: 09  
 Mobile: 8986800150 E-mail: elasinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 \*  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

E. Routh

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. E. A. Rout Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: Physics Programme: M.Sc.  
 Semester: IV Session: SP-21  
 Course Code: PH 520 Course Title: Theory of dielectrics and ferroics  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 898680150 E-mail: elajinhabitmerra@gmail.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

E. Rout  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr S K Rout... Designation:.... Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: ..... MSc (Phy).....  
 Semester: ..... II..... Session: ..... SP 21.....  
 Course Code: ...SAP 2109..... Course Title ...Condensed Matter Physics....  
 Number of times taught: ..... 3.....  
 Mobile: .....9471555277..... E-mail: .....skrout@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr S K Rout... Designation: ... Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: ..... I MSc (Phy).....  
 Semester: ..... VI..... Session: .....SP 21.....  
 Course Code: ...PH 321..... Course Title ...Advanced Experimental Technique....  
 Number of times taught: .....2.....  
 Mobile: .....9471555277..... E-mail: .....skrout@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S. Konar Designation: professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: M. Sc.  
 Semester: SP-2021 Session: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Course Code: SAP-4029 Course Title: Introduction to Nanophotonics  
 Number of times taught: 6  
 Mobile: 9431326757 E-mail: S.konar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

*S. Konar*

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S. Konar Designation: Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: M. Sc.  
 Semester: SP 2021 Session: SP 2021  
 Course Code: S.A.P. 2011 Course Title: Advanced Quantum Mechanics  
 Number of times taught: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Mobile: 9431326757 E-mail: S.Konar@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No   
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. Sourabh Lahiri** Designation **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Physics** Programme: **MSc**

Semester: **SP/21** Session: ....**2020-2021**.....

Course Code: **PH409** Course Title **Atomic and Molecular Spectroscopy**

Number of times taught: **2**

Mobile: **9938775028** E-mail: **sourabhlahiri@bitmesra.ac.in**


**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
Any other specific comments including program revision if any:  
.....

  
Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KINGSHUK BOSE

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: PHYSICS

Programme: IMSc

Semester: 10<sup>th</sup>

Session: SP-21

Course Code: SAP4033

Course Title: Holography & Applications

Number of times taught:

5

Mobile: 9470588211

E-mail: kingshuk6@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KINGSHUK BOSE

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: PHYSICS

Programme: IMSc

Semester: 8<sup>th</sup>

Session: SP-21

Course Code: SAP2013

Course Title: Laser Physics & Applications

Number of times taught:

5

Mobile: 9470588211

E-mail: kingshuk6@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dilip Kumar Singh..... Designation ..... Assistant professor.....  
 Department: Physics..... Programme: IMSc......  
 Semester: X..... Session: SP 2020.....  
 Course Code: SAP4029..... Course Title INTRODUCTION TO NANO-PHOTONICS.  
 Number of times taught: 01.....  
 Mobile: 8130610762..... E-mail: dilipsnu@gmail.com.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

None!

.....

.....

.....

Dilip

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dilip Kumar Singh.....Designation ..... Assistant professor.....  
 Department: Physics..... Programme: IMSc.....  
 Semester: VI..... Session: SP.2020.....  
 Course Code: IMP6003..... Course Title: DIGITAL ELECTRONICS.  
 Number of times taught: 01.....  
 Mobile: 8130610762..... E-mail: dilipsnu@gmail.com.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes.

① Syllabus need to be upgraded to include recent development in the field.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

None!

*Dilip*

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Dr. Sanat Kumar Mukherjee..... Designation ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: ....Physics..... Programme: .....BTech.....  
 Semester: .....II..... Session: .....SP 2020.....  
 Course Code: ...PH114..... Course Title .....Physics Lab.....  
 Number of times taught: .....16.....  
 Mobile: ....9431363464..... E-mail: .....sanat.mukherjee@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

.....**Module: IV**.....

Experiments on photoelectric effect, single slit diffraction must be included.....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Dr. Sanat Kumar Mukherjee..... Designation ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: ..... IMSc.....  
 Semester: ..... VII..... Session: ..... SP 2020.....  
 Course Code: ...IMP 6004... Course Title ....Physics Lab-VII (Electronics Lab).....  
 Number of times taught: .....02.....  
 Mobile: .....9431363464..... E-mail: .....sanat.mukherjee@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....**Nil**.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Dr. Sanat Kumar Mukherjee..... Designation ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: ....Physics..... Programme: ..... MSc.....  
 Semester: ..... II..... Session: ..... SP 2020.....  
 Course Code: ...SAP 2001... Course Title ....Electronics devices and Circuits.....  
 Number of times taught: .....02.....  
 Mobile: ....9431363464..... E-mail: .....sanat.mukherjee@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

yes

..... Syllabus is very lengthy and need to be reduced .....

.....

.....

.....


.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....Nil.....

.....

.....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Dr. Sanat Kumar Mukherjee..... Designation ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: ....Physics..... Programme: .....IMSc.....  
 Semester: .....VI..... Session: .....SP 2020.....  
 Course Code: ...IMP 6009... Course Title ...Introduction to Statistical Physics.....  
 Number of times taught: .....01.....  
 Mobile: .....9431363464..... E-mail: .....sanat.mukherjee@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....Nil.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeev Kumar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Physics

Programme: I.M.Sc. (Physics)

Semester: X

Session: SP-20

Course Code: SAP 4009

Course Title: Physics of Solid State Devices

Number of times taught: 2

Mobile: 8902781883

E-mail: kumarrajeev@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **N A**

.....  
.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S.K. Sinha Designation: Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: IMSc  
 Semester: I Session: SP 20  
 Course Code: PH1109 Course Title: Physics-I  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9430195009 E-mail: sksinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

*S.K. Sinha*

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S.K. Sinha Designation: Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: B.Tech.  
 Semester: I Session: SP 2020  
 Course Code: PH114 Course Title: Physics Lab.  
 Number of times taught: 24  
 Mobile: 9430195009 E-mail: sksinha@bitwara.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

S.K. Sinha

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S.K. Sinha Designation: Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: IMSc.  
 Semester: I Session: SP 20  
 Course Code: PH110 Course Title: Physics I Lab.  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9430195005 E-mail: ksinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Sinha

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rishi Sharma ..... Designation: Assistant Professor .....  
 Department: Physics ..... Programme: B.Tech .....  
 Semester: 1st ..... Session: SP/20 .....  
 Course Code: PH114 ..... Course Title: Physics Lab .....  
 Number of times taught: 12 .....  
 Mobile: 9431585496 ..... E-mail: rsharma@bitmesra.ac.in .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Rishi

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rishi Sharma Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: I M Sc. (Physics)  
 Semester: 6th Session: SP/20  
 Course Code: IMP6101 Course Title: MATERIALS SCIENCE AND NANOTECHNOLOGY  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9431585496 E-mail: rsharma@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

*Rishi*

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rishi Sharma Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: I.M.Sc. (Physics)  
 Semester: 10th Session: SP/20  
 Course Code: SAP4013 Course Title: NON CONVENTIONAL ENERGY MATERIALS  
 Number of times taught: 4  
 Mobile: 9431585496 E-mail: rsharma@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

*Rishi*

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S. Keshri Designation: Prof.  
 Department: Phys. Programme: MSc.  
 Semester: 2nd Session: Sf- 2020  
 Course Code: PH106 Course Title: waves & optics  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 94311 05821 E-mail: S-keshri@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
* 12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: \_\_\_\_\_

.....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr. Nishi Srivastava..... Designation: ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: ..... I.M.Sc .....  
 Semester: .....II..... Session: .....SP20.....  
 Course Code: ...PH106..... Course Title ..... Wave and Optics.....  
 Number of times taught: .....1.....  
 Mobile: .....7250483740... E-mail: [nsrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in](mailto:nsrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in) .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

*Nishi*  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr. Nishi Srivastava..... Designation: ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....B.Tech. ....  
 Semester: .....II..... Session: .....SP20.....  
 Course Code: ...PH114..... Course Title .....Physics Lab.....  
 Number of times taught: .....8.....  
 Mobile: .....7250483740... E-mail: [nsrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in](mailto:nsrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in) .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

*Nishi*  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr. Nishi Srivastava..... Designation: ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: ....Physics..... Programme: ..... I.M.Sc .....  
 Semester: .....IV..... Session: .....SP20.....  
 Course Code: ...PH208... Course Title .....Element of Modern Physics.....  
 Number of times taught: .....1.....  
 Mobile: ....7250483740... E-mail: [nsrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in](mailto:nsrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in) .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Nishi

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr. Nishi Srivastava..... Designation: ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: ....Physics..... Programme: .....I.M.Sc.....  
 Semester: .....IV..... Session: .....SP20.....  
 Course Code: ...PH211... Course Title ..... Elements of Modern Physics Lab .....  
 Number of times taught: .....1.....  
 Mobile: .....7250483740... E-mail: [nsrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in](mailto:nsrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in) .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO
----

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Nishi

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....MSc .....

Semester: ..IV.. Session: .....SP20.....

Course Code: PH 515...Course Title: Theoretical & Computational Condensed Matter Physics

Number of times taught: .....1.....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....A lab component should be added.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....MSc .....

Semester: ..II..... Session: .....SP20.....

Course Code: PH 408...Course Title: Statistical Physics

Number of times taught: .....2.....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....

Madhu

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....IMSc .....

Semester: ..II..... Session: .....SP20.....

Course Code: PH107...Course Title: Mathematical Physics -I Lab

Number of times taught: .....2.....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....BTech .....

Semester: ..II..... Session: .....SP20.....

Course Code: PH114... Course Title: BTech Physics Lab

Number of times taught: .....3.....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....

  
Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

Mo  
2020

### Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. ELA ROUT Designation: Asst. Prof  
 Department: Physics Programme: B.Tech  
 Semester: II Session: SP-20  
 Course Code: PH114 Course Title: B.Tech Physics LAB  
 Number of times taught: 08  
 Mobile: 898660150 E-mail: elasinhae@btmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

E. Rout

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

M3  
2020

### Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. ELA ROU T Designation: Asst. Prof  
 Department: Physics Programme: U.G. (B.Tech)  
 Semester: II Session: SP-20  
 Course Code: PH113 Course Title: B.Tech PHYSICS  
 Number of times taught: 08  
 Mobile: 8986800100 E-mail: elasinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

F. Rou T  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. E.L.A. ROUT Designation: Asst. Prof  
 Department: Physics Programme: MSc  
 Semester: IV Session: SP-20  
 Course Code: P.H.520 Course Title: Theory of dielectrics & ferroic  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 8986800150 E-mail: elavinha.bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

E.L.A. Rout  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr S K Rout... Designation: ... Professor.....  
 Department: ....Physics..... Programme: ..... MSc (Phy).....  
 Semester: .....II..... Session: .....SP 20.....  
 Course Code: ...PH 411..... Course Title ...Condensed Matter Physics....  
 Number of times taught: .....1.....  
 Mobile: .....9471555277..... E-mail: .....skrout@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Signature of the Teacher 

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr S K Rout... Designation: ... Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: ..... I MSc (Phy).....  
 Semester: .....VI..... Session: .....SP 20.....  
 Course Code: ...IMP 6002..... Course Title ...Materials Science Lab....  
 Number of times taught: .....1.....  
 Mobile: .....9471555277..... E-mail: .....skrout@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr S K Rout... Designation: ... Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....B Tech.....  
 Semester: .....II..... Session: .....SP 20.....  
 Course Code: ...PH 113..... Course Title ...Physics....  
 Number of times taught: .....3.....  
 Mobile: .....9471555277..... E-mail: .....skrout@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr S K Rout... Designation: ... Professor.....  
 Department:.....Physics..... Programme: .....B Tech.....  
 Semester: .....II..... Session: .....SP 20.....  
 Course Code: ...PH 114..... Course Title ...Physics Lab....  
 Number of times taught: .....10.....  
 Mobile: .....9471555277..... E-mail: .....skrout@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. Sourabh Lahiri** Designation **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Physics** Programme: **B.Tech.**

Semester: **SP/20** Session: 2019-2020

Course Code: **PH110** Course Title **B.Tech. Physics Lab**

Number of times taught: **1**

Mobile: **9938775028** E-mail: **sourabhlahiri@bitmesra.ac.in**

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools,	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
Any other specific comments including program revision if any:  
.....

Signature of the Teacher



**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. Sourabh Lahiri** Designation **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Physics** Programme: **IMSc**

Semester: **SP/20** Session: **2019-2020**

Course Code: **PH409** Course Title **Atomic and Molecular Spectroscopy**

Number of times taught: **1**

Mobile: **9938775028** E-mail: **sourabhlahiri@bitmesra.ac.in**

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
Any other specific comments including program revision if any:  
.....

Signature of the Teacher



**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KINGSHUK BOSE

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: PHYSICS

Programme: IMSc

Semester: 8<sup>th</sup>

Session: SP-20

Course Code: SAP2013

Course Title: Laser Physics and Applications

Number of times taught:

4

Mobile: 9470588211

E-mail: kingshuk6@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions:

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....

.....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KINGSHUK BOSE

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: PHYSICS

Programme: IMSc

Semester: 10<sup>th</sup>

Session: SP-20

Course Code: SAP4033

Course Title: Holography and Applications

Number of times taught:

4

Mobile: 9470588211

E-mail: kingshuk6@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....

.....

  
Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KINGSHUK BOSE

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: PHYSICS

Programme: IMSc

Semester: 1<sup>st</sup>

Session: SP-20

Course Code: PH110

Course Title: Physics 1 Lab

Number of times taught:

1

Mobile: 9470588211

E-mail: kingshuk6@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KINGSHUK BOSE

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: PHYSICS

Programme: BTech

Semester: 2<sup>nd</sup>

Session: SP-20

Course Code: PH114

Course Title: BTech Physics Laboratory

Number of times taught:

11

Mobile: 9470588211

E-mail: kingshuk6@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dilip Kumar Singh.....Designation .....Assistant professor.....  
 Department: Physics..... Programme: IMSE.....  
 Semester: V..... Session: M02019.....  
 Course Code: IMP504 Course Title FUNDAMENTAL OF ELECTRONICS  
 Number of times taught: 01.....  
 Mobile: 8130610762..... E-mail: dilipsnu@gmail.com.....

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	04
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	04
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	04
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	04
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	04
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	04
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	04
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	04
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	04
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	04
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	04
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	04.

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

None!

.....

.....

.....

*Dilip*

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dilip Kumar Singh.....Designation .....Assistant professor.....  
 Department: Physics..... Programme: M.Sc  
 Semester: V..... Session: MO 2019  
 Course Code: IMP5011. Course Title CLASSICAL PHYSICS.  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 8130610762 E-mail: dilipsnu@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	A
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	A
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	A
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	A
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	A
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	A
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	A
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	A
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	A
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	A
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	A
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	A

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

None!  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Dr. Sanat Kumar Mukherjee..... Designation ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....BTech.....  
 Semester: .....I..... Session: .....MO 2019.....  
 Course Code: ...PH113..... Course Title .....Physics.....  
 Number of times taught: ..... 08 .....  
 Mobile: .....9431363464..... E-mail: .....sanat.mukherjee@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes
-----

.....**Module: IV**.....


Modern Quantum mechanics should be included like, Dirac formulation, Heisenberg formulations. must be included .....

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

...Either two Physics papers must be taught or Separate Physics papers should be designed for each branch as per their requirement.....

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Dr. Sanat Kumar Mukherjee..... Designation ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....BTech.....  
 Semester: .....I..... Session: .....MO 2019.....  
 Course Code: ...PH114..... Course Title .....Physics Lab.....  
 Number of times taught: .....15.....  
 Mobile: .....9431363464..... E-mail: .....sanat.mukherjee@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes
-----


.....**Module: IV**.....

Experiments on photoelectric effect must be included.....

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Dr. Sanat Kumar Mukherjee..... Designation ...Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....IMSc/MSc.....

Semester: ...V.II/I..... Session: .....MO 2019.....

Course Code: ...SAP 1004... Course Title ....Physics Laboratory- I [Advanced Physics].....

Number of times taught: .....0?.....

Mobile: .....9431363464..... E-mail: .....sanat.mukherjee@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....Nil.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Dr. Sanat Kumar Mukherjee..... Designation ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....IMSc.....  
 Semester: .....V..... Session: .....MO 2019.....  
 Course Code: ...IMP5009... Course Title .....Mathematical Physics.....  
 Number of times taught: .....02.....  
 Mobile: .....9431363464..... E-mail: .....sanat.mukherjee@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....Nil.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeev Kumar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Physics

Programme: I.M.Sc. (Physics)

Semester: I

Session: MO-19

Course Code: PH 102

Course Title: Electricity & Magnetism

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 8902781883

E-mail: kumarrajeev@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No
----

.....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N A

.....  
 .....

*Rajeev Kumar*

Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S. K. Sinha Designation: Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: I.M.Sc.  
 Semester: V Session: Mo 19  
 Course Code: ME5006 Course Title: CAD Lab  
 Number of times taught: 0  
 Mobile: 9430195009 E-mail: sksinha@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

S. K. Sinha

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S.K. Sinha Designation: Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: IMSc.  
 Semester: III Session: Mo 19  
 Course Code: PH.11.2 Course Title: Physics Lab-II  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9430195009 E-mail: sksinha@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S.K. Sinha ..... Designation: Professor .....  
 Department: Physics ..... Programme: I MSc. .....  
 Semester: I ..... Session: MO 19 .....  
 Course Code: PH109 ..... Course Title: Physics - I .....  
 Number of times taught: 2 .....  
 Mobile: 9430195009 ..... E-mail: sksinha@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No.

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

S.K. Sinha

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S.K. Sinha ..... Designation Professor .....

Department: Physics ..... Programme: .....

Semester: III ..... Session: Mo 19 .....

Course Code: PH202 Course Title Digital System & Applications .....

Number of times taught: 2 .....

Mobile: 9430195009 ..... E-mail: sksinha@bitmesra.ac.in .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ..... Dr. Rishi Sharma ..... Designation ..... Assistant Professor .....

Department: ..... Physics ..... Programme: ..... M.Sc. (Physics) .....

Semester: ..... 5th ..... Session: ..... MO/19 .....

Course Code: ..... IMP5103 ..... Course Title ..... Heat and Thermodynamics .....

Number of times taught: ..... 1 .....

Mobile: ..... 9431585496 ..... E-mail: ..... rsharma@bitmesra.ac.in .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Rishi

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ..... Dr. Rishi Sharma ..... Designation ..... Assistant Professor .....  
 Department: ..... Physics ..... Programme: ..... B.Tech .....  
 Semester: ..... 1st ..... Session: ..... MO/19 .....  
 Course Code: ..... PH113 ..... Course Title ..... Physics .....  
 Number of times taught: ..... 7 .....  
 Mobile: ..... 9431585496 ..... E-mail: ..... rsharma@bitmesra.ac.in .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

.....  
 Two physics papers should be offered for B.Tech course.  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Rishi

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ..... Dr. Rishi Sharma ..... Designation ..... Assistant Professor .....  
 Department: ..... Physics ..... Programme: ..... B.Tech .....  
 Semester: ..... 1st ..... Session: ..... MO/19 .....  
 Course Code: ..... PH114 ..... Course Title ..... Physics Lab .....  
 Number of times taught: ..... 10 .....  
 Mobile: ..... 9431585496 ..... E-mail: ..... rsharma@bitmesra.ac.in .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Rishi

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rishi Sharma Designation Assistant Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: M.Sc. (Physics)  
 Semester: 3rd Session: MO/19  
 Course Code: SAP3002 Course Title Physics Lab-IV  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9431585496 E-mail: rsharma@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Rishi

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ..... Dr. S. Keshri ..... Designation ..... Prof. ....  
 Department: ..... Phys. .... Programme: ..... 1 MSc / MSc. ....  
 Semester: ..... 7th / 1st ..... Session: ..... Mo - 2019 .....  
 Course Code: ..... PH 401 ..... Course Title .....  
 Number of times taught: ..... 3 .....  
 Mobile: ..... 91311-05821 ..... E-mail: ..... A\_keshri@hit.ac.in .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr. Nishi Srivastava..... Designation: ...Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....

Semester: .....I..... Session: .....MO19.....

Course Code: ...PH114..... Course Title: ...Physics Lab.....

Number of times taught: .....6.....

Mobile: ..... E-mail: .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

*Nishi*  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....IMSc.....

Semester: .....III..... Session: .....MO19.....

Course Code: ...PH203... Course Title .....Classical Dynamics.....

Number of times taught. ....1.....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/IFST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....

*Madhu*

Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....MSc & Pre-PhD, I.M.Sc

Semester: .....I(M.S.C. & P.H.D.), VI.(I.M.Sc.) Session: .....MO19.....

Course Code: ...PH405... Course Title: Modern Computational Techniques & Programming

Number of times taught: .....3.....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/IES/EST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No
----

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....

Madhu

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....IMSc .....

Semester: .....VII..... Session: .....MO19.....

Course Code: ...SAP2007... Course Title: Statistical Physics

Number of times taught: .....3.....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/IES or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No
----

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....

*Madhu Priya*

**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....IMSc .....

Semester: .....IV..... Session: .....MO19.....

Course Code: ...PH201... Course Title: Thermal Physics Lab

Number of times taught: ..... 1 .....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO
----

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....



Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....IMSc .....

Semester: .....VII..... Session: .....MO19.....

Course Code: IMP7002 Course Title: Modern Computational Techniques & Programming Lab

Number of times taught. ....3.....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/IFST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ratan Kumar Paul Designation Associate Professor  
 Department: Physic Programme: Msc  
 Semester: II Session: MO/19  
 Course Code: PH.501 Course Title Nuclear and Particle Physics  
 Number of times taught: 2  
 Mobile: 9142036043 E-mail: Ratan\_bti (@rediffmail).com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Ratan K Paul  
**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ratan Kumar Paul Designation: Associate Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: I.M.Sc.  
 Semester: VII Session: MO/19  
 Course Code: I.M.P.5707 Course Title: Introduction to Quantum Mechanics  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9142036043 E-mail: ratan-b41@rediffmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

\* Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Ratan K Paul  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. E.A. Rout Designation Asst. Prof.  
 Department: Physics Programme: M.Sc.  
 Semester: I Session: Mo-19  
 Course Code: PH402 Course Title Electrodynamics  
 Number of times taught: 03  
 Mobile: 8986800100 E-mail: elashin@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

E. Rout

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. ELA ROUT Designation Asst. Prof  
 Department: Physico Programme: Msc.  
 Semester: I Session: Mo-19  
 Course Code: PH.407 Course Title Modern Physics Lab  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 8986800150 E-mail: elasinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3.5
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
* 10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

E. Rout  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

M<sup>o</sup>  
2019

### Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. ELA ROUT Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: PHYSICS Programme: I Msc.  
 Semester: I Session: MO-19  
 Course Code: PH 103 Course Title: Mechanics LAB  
 Number of times taught: 0.2  
 Mobile: 8986800150 E-mail: elasinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	
* 12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

E. Rout  
 Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

7/2/19

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr S K Rout... Designation: ... Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....I MSc (Phy).....  
 Semester: .....III..... Session: .....MO 19.....  
 Course Code: ...PH 505..... Course Title .....Theory of Solids.....  
 Number of times taught: .....1.....  
 Mobile: .....9471555277..... E-mail: .....skrout@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr S K Rout... Designation: ... Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: ..... MSc (Phy).....  
 Semester: .....IX..... Session: .....MO 19.....  
 Course Code: ...SAP3011..... Course Title ...Quantum theory of Solids.....  
 Number of times taught: .....4.....  
 Mobile: .....9471555277..... E-mail: .....skrout@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree – 4	Agree – 3	Neither agree nor disagree – 2	Disagree – 1	Strongly disagree – 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr S K Rout... Designation: ... Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: ..... MSc (Phy).....  
 Semester: .....IX..... Session: .....MO 19.....  
 Course Code. ...SAP3115..... Course Title ...Advanced Experimental Techniques....  
 Number of times taught: .....1.....  
 Mobile: .....9471555277..... E-mail: .....skrout@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. Sourabh Lahiri** Designation **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Physics** Programme: **B.Tech.**

Semester: **MO/19** Session: 2019-2020

Course Code: **PH110** Course Title **B.Tech. Physics Lab**

Number of times taught: **1**

Mobile: **9938775028** E-mail: **sourabhlahiri@bitmesra.ac.in**

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
Any other specific comments including program revision if any:  
.....

Signature of the Teacher



**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. Sourabh Lahiri** Designation **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Physics** Programme: **IMSc**

Semester: **MO/19** Session: **20192020**

Course Code: **IMP7002** Course Title **Modern Computation Techniques & Programming**

Number of times taught: **1**

Mobile: **9938775028** E-mail: **sourabhlahiri@bitmesra.ac.in**

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher



**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. Sourabh Lahiri** Designation **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Physics** Programme: **IMSc**

Semester: **MO/19** Session: 2019-2020

Course Code: **PH301** Course Title **Modern Computation Techniques & Programming**

Number of times taught: **1**

Mobile: **9938775028** E-mail: **sourabhlahiri@bitmesra.ac.in**

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No


If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher



**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. Sourabh Lahiri** Designation **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Physics** Programme: **IMSc**

Semester: **MO/19** Session: 2019-2020

Course Code: **SAP3007** Course Title **Advanced Electrodynamics**

Number of times taught: **1**

Mobile: **9938775028** E-mail: **sourabhlahiri@bitmesra.ac.in**

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher



**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. Sourabh Lahiri** Designation **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Physics** Programme: **IMSc**

Semester: **MO/19** Session: 2019 2020

Course Code: **SAP3007** Course Title **Advanced Electrodynamics**

Number of times taught: **1**

Mobile: **9938775028** E-mail: **sourabhlahiri@bitmesra.ac.in**

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	2
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher



**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KINGSHUK BOSE

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: PHYSICS

Programme: B Tech

Semester: 1<sup>st</sup>

Session: MO-19

Course Code: PH113

Course Title: Physics

Number of times taught: 9

Mobile: 9470588211

E-mail: kingshuk6@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....

.....

*Wme*

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KINGSHUK BOSE

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: PHYSICS

Programme: MSc

Semester: 3<sup>rd</sup>

Session: MO-19

Course Code: PH503

Course Title: Laser Physics and Applications

Number of times taught:

1

Mobile: 9470588211

E-mail: kingshuk6@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....

.....

*K. Bose*

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KINGSHUK BOSE

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: PHYSICS

Programme: BTech

Semester: 1<sup>st</sup>

Session: MO-19

Course Code: PH114

Course Title: BTech Physics Laboratory

Number of times taught

10

Mobile: 9470588211

E-mail: kingshuk6@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....

.....

*K. Bose*

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KINGSHUK BOSE

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: PHYSICS

Programme: IMSc

Semester: 9<sup>th</sup>

Session: MO-19

Course Code: SAP3104

Course Title: Physics Lab V

Number of times taught: 5

5

Mobile: 9470588211

E-mail: kingshuk6@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....

.....

*K. Bose*

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Dilip Kumar Singh.....Designation .....Assistant professor.....  
 Department: Physics..... Programme: JMsc/Msc  
 Semester: VII / I..... Session: MO 2020  
 Course Code: SAP1003..... Course Title ELECTRODYNAMICS  
 Number of times taught: 01  
 Mobile: 8130610762..... E-mail: dilipsnu@gmail.com

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	↑
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	↑
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	↑
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	↑
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	↑
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	↑
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	↑
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	↑
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	↑
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	↑
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	↑
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	↑

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes.

① Module - 1 can be modified to include ED fundamentals covered at U.G. level for quick revision.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

None!

*Dilip*

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ..Dr. Dilip Kumar Singh.....Designation .....Assistant professor.....

Department: ....Physics..... Programme: IMSc/MSc.

Semester: VII / I..... Session: MO 2020.....

Course Code: PH403.. Course Title CLASSICAL MECHANICS.

Number of times taught: 01.....

Mobile. ...8130610762..... L-mail. ....dilipsnu@gmail.com.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	<u>4</u>
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	<u>4</u>
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	<u>4</u>
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	<u>4</u>
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	<u>4</u>
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	<u>4</u>
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	<u>4</u>
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	<u>4</u>
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	<u>4</u>
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	<u>4</u>
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	<u>4</u>
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	<u>4</u>

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes.

① Syllabus of Module -① is vast, need to be shortened.

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

None!

Dilip  
Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ..Dr. Dilip Kumar Singh.....Designation .....Assistant professor.....

Department: ....Physics..... Programme: ...IM& /Msc.

Semester: .....VIII / II...... Session: .....MO 2020......

Course Code: PH410... Course Title ELECTRONIC DEVICES & CIRCUITS.

Number of times taught: .....02.....

Mobile. ...8130610762..... E-mail: .....dilipsnu@gmail.com.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion	1
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	1
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

.....  
 ① Module - 1 is not required.  
 ② Module - ③ should be split and requires more number of lectures.  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Dr. Sanat Kumar Mukherjee..... Designation ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....BTech.....  
 Semester: .....I..... Session: ..... MO 2020.....  
 Course Code: ...PH114..... Course Title .....Physics Lab.....  
 Number of times taught: .....17.....  
 Mobile: .....9431363464..... E-mail: .....sanat.mukherjee@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree – 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions


Yes

.....**Module: IV**.....

Experiments on photoelectric effect, single slit diffraction must be included.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Dr. Sanat Kumar Mukherjee..... Designation ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....BTech.....  
 Semester: .....I..... Session: .....MO 2020.....  
 Course Code: ...PH113..... Course Title .....Physics.....  
 Number of times taught: .....09.....  
 Mobile: .....9431363464..... E-mail: .....sanat.mukherjee@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	2
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions


Yes
-----

.....**Module: IV**.....

Photoelectric effect, Pair production, X-rays must be included.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

...Two Physics papers, Physics-I and Physics-II should be introduced in BTech Course.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Dr. Sanat Kumar Mukherjee..... Designation ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: ..... IMSc.....  
 Semester: ..... V..... Session: .....MO 2020.....  
 Course Code: ...PH 313..... Course Title ....Physics Laboratory-I.....  
 Number of times taught: .....02.....  
 Mobile: .....9431363464..... E-mail: .....sanat.mukherjee@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....Nil.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Dr. Sanat Kumar Mukherjee..... Designation ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: ..... MSc.....  
 Semester: ..... I..... Session: ..... MO 2020.....  
 Course Code: ...SAP 1004..... Course Title ....Physics Laboratory-I.....  
 Number of times taught: ..... 02.....  
 Mobile: .....9431363464..... E-mail: .....sanat.mukherjee@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree – 4	Agree – 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....Nil.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ...Dr. Sanat Kumar Mukherjee..... Designation ...Assistant Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: ..... IMSc.....  
 Semester: ..... V..... Session: .....MO 2020.....  
 Course Code: ...PH 302..... Course Title ....Solid State Physics.....  
 Number of times taught: .....02.....  
 Mobile: .....9431363464..... E-mail: .....sanat.mukherjee@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....Nil.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Pawan Kumar Tiwari Designation: Asst. Prof.  
 Department: Physics Programme: M.Sc.  
 Semester: III<sup>rd</sup> Session: MO 20  
 Course Code: PH 2.01 Course Title: Thermal Physics  
 Number of times taught: 50 lectures  
 Mobile: 9523931461 E-mail: PAWAN-K TIWARI@GMAIL.COM

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeev Kumar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Physics

Programme: I.M.Sc. (Physics)

Semester: I

Session: MO-20

Course Code: PH 101

Course Title: Mechanics

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 8902781883

E-mail: kumarrajeev@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N A

.....  
.....

*RKumar*

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeev Kumar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Physics

Programme: M.Sc. (Physics)

Semester: III

Session: MO-20

Course Code: PH 503

Course Title: Laser Physics and Applications

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 8902781883

E-mail: kumarrajeev@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree – 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No
----

.....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N A

.....  
 .....

*RKumar*

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeev Kumar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Physics

Programme: I.M.Sc. (Chemistry)

Semester: III

Session: MO-20

Course Code: PH 112

Course Title: Physics-II Lab

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 8902781883

E-mail: kumarrajeev@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No
----

.....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: **N A**

.....  
 .....

*RKumar*

**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeev Kumar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Physics

Programme: B. Tech.

Semester: I

Session: MO-20

Course Code: PH 114

Course Title: Physics Lab

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile. 8902781883

E-mail: kumarrajeev@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No
----

.....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N A

.....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Rajeev Kumar

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: Physics

Programme: I.M.Sc. (Physics)

Semester: V

Session: MO-20

Course Code: PH 309

Course Title: Solid State Physics Lab

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 8902781883

E-mail: kumarrajeev@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree – 2	Disagree – 1	Strongly disagree – 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	2
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No
----

.....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: N A

.....  
 .....

RKumar

Signature of the Teacher

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rishi Sharma ..... Designation Assistant Professor .....  
 Department: Physics ..... Programme: B.Tech .....  
 Semester: 1st ..... Session: MO/20 .....  
 Course Code: PH114 ..... Course Title Physics Lab .....  
 Number of times taught: 13 .....  
 Mobile: 9431585496 ..... E-mail: rsharma@bitmesra.ac.in .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Rishi

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. F. Shi Sharma Designation: Assistant Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: B.Tech  
 Semester: 1st Session: MO/20  
 Course Code: PH113 Course Title: Physics  
 Number of times taught: 8  
 Mobile: 9431685496 E-mail: rsharma@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	?
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	2
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

.....  
 It is difficult to incorporate all the important Physics topics in one paper.  
 In my opinion two papers should be offered.  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Rishi

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rishi Sharma Designation Assistant Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: M.Sc. (Physics)  
 Semester: 3rd Session: MO/20  
 Course Code: SAP3002 Course Title Physics Lab-IV  
 Number of times taught. 3  
 Mobile: 9431585496 E-mail: rsharma@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	2
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	2
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	2

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Rishi

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S. Keshni Designation: Professor  
 Department: Phys. Programme: IMsc. / Msc.  
 Semester: 7th / 1st Session: Mo - 2020  
 Course Code: PH 401 Course Title: Mathematical Methods in Physics.  
 Number of times taught: 4  
 Mobile: 94311-05821 E-mail: S\_keshni@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: None  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: ..... Dr. S. Keshni ..... Designation ..... Prof. .....  
 Department: ..... Phys. ..... Programme: ..... I MSc. .....  
 Semester: ..... 5th ..... Session: ..... Mo - 2020 .....  
 Course Code: ..... PH 303 ..... Course Title ..... Advanced Mathematical Physics .....  
 Number of times taught: ..... 1 .....  
 Mobile: ..... 94311-05821 ..... E-mail: ..... s.keshni@bitmesra.ac.in .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0


S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

YES

.....  
 ..... Tensors can be lesser. .....  
 ..... Complex variables can be added. .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
 .....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr. Nishi Srivastava..... Designation: ...Assistant Professor.....

Department: ....Physics..... Programme: .....I.M.Sc.....

Semester: .....I..... Session: .....MO20.....

Course Code: ...PH102..... Course Title .....ELECTRICITY & MAGNETISM.....

Number of times taught: .....2.....

Mobile: 7250483740... E mail: [nsrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in](mailto:nsrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in) .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

*Nishi*

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr. Nishi Srivastava..... Designation: ...Assistant Professor.....

Department: ....Physics..... Programme: .....I.M.Sc.....

Semester: .....I..... Session: .....MO20.....

Course Code: .....PH109... Course Title .....Physics-I.....

Number of times taught: .....1.....

Mobile: 7250483740... E-mail: [nsrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in](mailto:nsrivastava@bitmesra.ac.in) .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

*Nishi*  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....IMSc.....

Semester: .....III..... Session: .....MO20.....

Course Code: ...PH203... Course Title .....Classical Dynamics.....

Number of times taught: ..... 2 .....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO
----

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....

*Madhu*

**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: MSc. MSc & Pre-PhD.....

Semester: .....I (MSc + PhD) IMSc (VII) Session: .....MO20.....

Course Code: ...PH405... Course Title: Modern Computational Techniques & Programming

Number of times taught: .....4.....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....

Madhu

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....IMSc .....

Semester: .....VII..... Session: ..... MO20.....

Course Code: ...SAP2007... Course Title: Statistical Physics

Number of times taught: .....4.....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/IFST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO
----

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....

Madhu

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Madhu Priya..... Designation .....Assistant Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....IMSc & MSc .....

Semester: VII (IMSc) & I (MSc) ... Session: .....MO20.....

Course Code: PH406 Course Title: Modern Computational Techniques & Programming Lab

Number of times taught: ..... 1 (One) .....

Mobile: .....7838566042..... E-mail: .....madhupriya@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No
----

.....No.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....No.....

Madhu

**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr Ratan Kumar Paul Designation Associate Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: Msc  
 Semester: IV Session: M0/20  
 Course Code: PH 501 Course Title Nuclear and Particle Physics  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9142036043 E-mail: ratan\_btl1@rediffmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4 | Agree = 3 | Neither agree nor disagree = 2 | Disagree = 1 | Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Ratan K Paul  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr Ratan Kumar Paul ..... Designation Associate Professor .....  
 Department: Physics ..... Programme: B.Tech. .....  
 Semester: III ..... Session: M0120 .....  
 Course Code: PH114 ..... Course Title Physics-Lab .....  
 Number of times taught: 1 .....  
 Mobile: 9142036043 ..... E-mail: ratan\_b11 (@) rediffmail. com .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No    
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Ratan K Paul  
**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Rajan Kumar Paul Designation Associate Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: B.Tech.  
 Semester: III Session: M/O/20  
 Course Code: PH.113 Course Title Physics  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9142036043 E-mail: rajan\_bt11@rediffmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  Yes  No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Rajan K Paul  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. Ratan Kumar Paul Designation Associate Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: I.M.Sc.  
 Semester: III Session: MO/20  
 Course Code: PH110 Course Title Physics-I Lab  
 Number of times taught: 1  
 Mobile: 9142036043 E-mail: ratan\_b711@rediffmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions



.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Ratan K Paul  
**Signature of the Teacher**

**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. E.A. ROUT Designation Asst. Prof.  
 Department: Physice Programme: IMSc  
 Semester: I Session: MO-20  
 Course Code: PH407 Course Title Modern Physice Lab  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 898680150 E-mail: elaminhae@itmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

E. Rout

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. ELA ROUT ..... Designation: Asst. Prof. .....  
 Department: Physice ..... Programme: M.Sc. .....  
 Semester: I ..... Session: MO - 20 .....  
 Course Code: PH402 ..... Course Title: Electrodynamics .....  
 Number of times taught: 03 .....  
 Mobile: 8986400150 ..... E-mail: elasinha@bitmesra.ac.in .....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

E. Rout  
 Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. ELA ROUT Designation: Asst. Prof  
 Department: Physics Programme: IMSC  
 Semester: TX Session: MO-20  
 Course Code: SAP.3301 Course Title: Nuclear & particle physics  
 Number of times taught: 02  
 Mobile: 8986800150 E mail: elapinh@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

NO

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

E. Rout

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr S K Rout... Designation: ... Professor.....  
 Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....M Sc.....  
 Semester: .....III..... Session: .....MO 20.....  
 Course Code: ...SAP 3011..... Course Title ...Quantum theory of Solids....  
 Number of times taught: .....5.....  
 Mobile: .....9471555277..... E-mail: .....skrout@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	3
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	2
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3


Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr S K Rout... Designation: ... Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....M Sc.....

Semester: .....III..... Session: .....MO 20.....

Course Code: ...SAP 3115..... Course Title ...Adv Expt Technique....

Number of times taught. ....2.....

Mobile: .....9471555277..... E-mail: .....skrout@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: .....Dr S K Rout... Designation: ... Professor.....

Department: .....Physics..... Programme: .....I M Sc.....

Semester: .....I..... Session: .....MO 20.....

Course Code: ...PII 104..... Course Title ...Electricity and Magnetism lab....

Number of times taught. ....1.....

Mobile: .....9471555277..... E-mail: .....skrout@bitmesra.ac.in.....

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No

No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

.....

  
**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S. Konar Designation: Professor  
 Department: Physics Programme: J. M. Sc.  
 Semester: MO - 2020 Session: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Course Code: PH404 Course Title: Quantum Mechanics  
 Number of times taught: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Mobile: 9131326757 E mail: S.Konar@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No   
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....  
 .....  
 .....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Dr. S. Konar Designation: Professor  
 Department: Professor Physics Programme: M. Sc.  
 Semester: MO 2020 Session: .....

Course Code: SAP 1107 Course Title: Quantum Mechanics  
 Number of times taught: 6  
 Mobile: 9431326757 E mail: skonar@bitmesra.ac.in

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree - 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree - 2	Disagree - 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No   
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

.....

.....

SKonar

**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. Sourabh Lahiri** Designation **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Physics** Programme: **B.Tech.**

Semester: **MO/20** Session: 2020-2021

Course Code: **PH110** Course Title **B.Tech. Physics Lab**

Number of times taught: **1**

Mobile: **9938775028** E-mail: **sourabhlahiri@bitmesra.ac.in**

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher



**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. Sourabh Lahiri** Designation **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Physics** Programme: **IMSc**

Semester: **MO/20** Session: **2020-2021**

Course Code: **SAP1001** Course Title **Mathematical Methods in Physics**

Number of times taught: **1**

Mobile: **9938775028** E-mail: **sourabhlahiri@bitmesra.ac.in**

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

.....

Signature of the Teacher



**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

## Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: **Dr. Sourabh Lahiri** Designation **Assistant Professor**

Department: **Physics** Programme: **IMSc**

Semester: **MO/20** Session: **2020-2021**

Course Code: **IMP7002** Course Title **Modern Computation Techniques & Programming**

Number of times taught: **1**

Mobile: **9938775028** E-mail: **sourabhlahiri@bitmesra.ac.in**

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	3
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Any other specific comments including program revision if any:

Signature of the Teacher



**Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.**

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KINGSHUK BOSE

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: PHYSICS

Programme: BTech

Semester: 1<sup>st</sup>

Session: MO-20

Course Code: PH113

Course Title: PHYSICS

Number of times taught:

10

Mobile: 9470588211

E mail: kingshuk6@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any. NA

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*



# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KINGSHUK BOSE

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department: PHYSICS

Programme: IMSc

Semester: 9<sup>th</sup>

Session: MO-20

Course Code: SAP3017

Course Title: Fiber & Integrated Optics

Number of times taught: 4

Mobile: 9470588211

E mail. kingshuk6@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....

.....

*Wme*

Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KINGSHUK BOSE

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: PHYSICS

Programme: IMSc

Semester: 9<sup>th</sup>

Session: MO-20

Course Code: SAP3104

Course Title: Physics Lab V

Number of times taught:

6

Mobile: 9470588211

E-mail: kingshuk6@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
 If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments including program revision if any. NA

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*

# Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: KINGSHUK BOSE

Designation Assistant Professor

Department: PHYSICS

Programme: BTech

Semester: 2<sup>nd</sup>

Session: MO-20

Course Code: PH114

Course Title: BTech Physics Laboratory

Number of times taught:

12

Mobile: 9470588211

E-mail: kingshuk6@gmail.com

**Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement**

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0
--------------------	-----------	--------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	3
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestions regarding the revision of the course : Yes/ No  
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


Any other specific comments including program revision if any: NA

.....

.....

.....

.....



**Signature of the Teacher**

*Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.*