# Process Technology and Economics-1 Module-4

Arnab Karmakar BIT Mesra, Ranchi

Module-3 (Project engineering & Economics for chemical of Module-3 (Engineers.

<u>Process design</u>; chenical process considerations; Qualitative block type process flow sheet; selection of process equipment; plant layout: planing layout and methods of layout planning.

### Process Design Development

Process design can provide chemical engineers with probably the most <del>appendice creative</del> activity enjoyed by the engineering propersion. Here, chemical engineers pace challenges in creating ingenious and often complex. plowsheets for new or revised. Such developments there involve innovative approaches to entirely new processes of revisions to existing processes that are more propitable, better controlled, operationally safe, aswell as environmentally sound.

Development of Design Datable.

hird <u>Literature survey</u>: Development of solutions to a disign need searching of literatures to obtain the <u>latest data</u>, <u>flow sheets</u>, <u>equipment</u>, and <u>simulation</u> models that may locad to a more propitable design.

Electronically access <u>kineticsdata</u>, <u>thermophysical property</u> <u>pata</u>, and related information about chemicals.

<u>Chemical Abstracts</u> (since 1907 it publishes comprehensive scientific indexing and abstracting services in BioChemistry, organic chemistry, physical and analytic chemistry, macromole culor chemistry, applied chemistry and chemical Engineering (electronically available now).

Engineering Index (with access to 4500 journaly, technical reports, and books since 1985). Applied science and technology index (350 journaly since 1985) Science citation Index ( with access to 3300 journals 1955 and electronically 1985).

Book: Perry's Chemical Engineers' Handbook (1997). chemical proceeding Handbook (1993). Unit operations Handbook (1993). JNAF JANAF Theremochemical Tables (1985) Handbook of reactive chemicaly Hazards (1990). Data for Process Design and Engineering Practice (1995).

L'herature resources :

SRI (Standard research institute) > Design reports, and documentation of many chemical processes.

Encyclopedia:

kirk- othmer Encyclopedia of chemical Technology (1997), Enoye

Encyclopedia of chemical processing and Blesign (1976). Ullman's Encyclopedia of industrial Chemistry (1988). SOFTWORE : ASPENPLUS, HYSIS, CHENCADY PROJI. Database of 2000 compounds are provided by these simulators with & lorge libraries of programs. that carry out material & Energy balances as well as equipment size and port.

Thermodynamic data: DECHEMA -> Extensive phane equilibria data. Determine interaction @pp coefficients Foribinary pairs to be used to estimate liquid phase activity coefficients from NRTL, UNIQUAC, Wilson etc. equis.

Patent search :

Design engineers must aware patents to avoid duplication of designs protected by these patents.

(3)

patents from US, Germany, Japan are available in patentse patentseacrch incip through internet.

## Process creation

After surveying and the literature for one or more practical solutions, design engineer encounters a major challenge in creating a new process or significantly improving an existing process to satisfy economic, technical, solution and goals.

It involves synthesis of various configurations of processing operations that will produce a product in a reliable, safe and economical manager manner with a high yield and minimum by-product or warte.

Earlier it was heuristics, or rule or thumb. Now a days it is more quantitative. and scientific with decision-tree analysis and mathematical programming aided by use of modern computers.

In process of synthesizing a plowsheet of process operations to convert now material to desired product. De design engineer multicelect. various matters.

i) Batch or continuous operation. Continue Continuous operation -> reduced Labor costs, improved process control and uniform product quality. <u>Batch operation</u> -> or semicontinuous process for <u>Batch operation</u> -> or semicontinuous process for <u>Batch operation</u> rate, manufacture of special chemicaly

small production rate, manufacture of special chemicaly or pharmoceticals, electronic material when product demand is intervittent.

| Raw material ar   | d produce | Hspee | ificall | on.            |
|-------------------|-----------|-------|---------|----------------|
| Adam delamination | operation | mode, | design  | engineer shoul |

(4)

establish a number of specification of row material and establish a number of specification of row material and end product.

\* Flow rate required for the product from market analysis.

- \* composition (modor many praction ) feach chemical species)
- \* Phase (liquid, gas or solid).
- + Form ( port Particle size distribution and particlesize).
- \* Temperature and pressure of each raw material and product stream.
- 4> Process Synthusis Steps.

It is selection of processing operations to convert the naw materials to products.

| sy Nthusis step                      | Basic processing                                   | Equipment.  |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Molecular change -<br>Composition II | - Chemical reaction -<br>- Separation of mixture - | - Reactor<br>- Distillation column.<br>absorber, stripper,<br>evaporator,<br>Leacturg |
| phase elemination                    | Phase separation -                                 | · Decanter, extraction<br>column, leaching.   |
| Temperatur d'exerence.               | - Change in temperature,-                          | - Heat exchanger,<br>heater, reboiler.  |
| pressure & dipperende                | Changein pressure                                  | pump, valve,<br>compressor.   |
| phase difference -                   | - Change in Phase                                  | - Condenser, boilly.  |
| Distribution Change                  | Miking of stream                                   | . Miker.  |

Process Design Considerations

There are several entirely dipperent processing flowsheets. For the manufacture of the same product. These processes must be compared in order to select the one that is best suited for the existing condition, based on some considerations listed below.

- is <u>Technical Factor</u>: Process plexibility; modeof operation; special control involved; communical yield; Technical difficulties; Energy requirement; special auxillaries required; possibility of future divelopment; Health and safety hazards.
- 27 Raw material: Present and puture availability, processing required; storage requirements; Material.
- 3) waste products & by-products: Amount produced; value; potential markets and uses; manner of diseard, environmental aspects.
- 4) Equipment: Availability; MOE; initial costs, Mointenence g installation cost; Replacement requirements; special design.
- 5) <u>Plantlocation</u>: Landrequired; Transportation; Market & Material sources; service & power; Labor; climate; Legal restriction & Takes.
- 6) <u>Costs</u>: Raw material, energy, pepreciation, fixed Charges, Processing 4 overhead, Labor cor; realestate, patent rights, environmental controls.

7) <u>Time factor</u>: Project completion dead line; process development required; Market Findliness; value of money. 8) <u>Process considerations</u>: Technology availability; Raw materials common with other processes, General company objectives.

5

# Flow sheeting of Vinyl Chloride production

## **Hierarchical Flowsheet**

## Input output diagram

|                              | $C_2H_4 + Cl_2 \rightarrow C_2H_4Cl_2$                      |                                |
|------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| $2C_2H_4 \rightarrow$        | Liquid-phase chlorination                                   | $\rightarrow 2C_2H_3Cl$        |
|                              | $2C_2H_4Cl_2 \rightarrow 2C_2H_3Cl +$                       | 2HCl                           |
| $Cl_2 \rightarrow$           | Gas-phase pyrolysis   |                                |
| 199-197 V                    | $C_2H_4 + 2HCl + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow C_2H_4Cl_2 + 1$ | H <sub>2</sub> O               |
| $\frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow$ | Gas-phase oxychlorination                                   | $\rightarrow$ H <sub>2</sub> O |
|                              | $2C_2H_4 + Cl_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow 2C_2H_3Cl +$   | H <sub>2</sub> O               |
| est consent?                 |   |                                |

Picture from Peters and Timmerhaus



### **Function diagram**

Picture from Peters and Timmerhaus

### **Operation diagram**



Picture from Peters and Timmerhaus

Cont.

- Operation diagram involves types of processing equipment, unit operation necessary to accomplish the function previously identified by the function diagram.
- It specifies some key conditions such as T and P.
- **Furnace(R-2)** : i) EDC within the tubes flows essentially countercurrent to the combustion gases of the furnace. ii) Product gases leaving the furnace must be cooled very quickly to stop thermal decomposition of VC.

Decision: i) Use an in line HE to quench the R-2 effluent and cool down to a temperature about 250°C. ii) Use enriched oxygen in R-3 to reduce the chlorinated hydrocarbon in the effluent stream of the reactor hence purification cost of ethylene decreases.

• Chlorination reactor (R-1): Dispersion of gases in liquid EDC by sparger; It is a multiple hole pipe to obtain widespread distribution of gases in vertical cylindrical vessel.

Decision: Use sparged vessel reactor.

- **Oxychlorination reactor (R-3)**: i) It resembles a shell and tube heat exchanger with catalyst particles and reactant gas in the tubes and cooling medium on shell side. ii) Flow in tube is plug flow hence temperature gradient and hot spot exist. iii) It is avoided by variable catalyst loading along the length of the tube- lowest catalyst at inlet and highest catalyst in outlet. Decision: Use packed bed reactor.
- Product stream of R-3 is a gas stream containing EDC+water+ small amount of ethylene and HCL.

Gas phase (ethylene, HCL vented out)

 $\rightarrow$  EDC phase (to distillation train T-2)

S-2-

Gas phase (Water phase is drawn off for treatment)

## **Flow sheet**



## **Algorithmic flow sheeting**

Picture from Peters and Timmerhaus



## Methodology

- In *algorithmic flowsheet*, a *Process-Network* is generated called *Process-Network Synthesis* (*PNS*) and it is subsequently *optimized* and *analyzed*.
- A software framework called *P-Graph* was developed by Ferenc Friedler and L.T. Fan, (1993) to solve *PNS* problem.
- It is freely available in Web site <u>www.p-graph.com</u>.
- In P-graph framework, *MSG* (maximal structure generation), *SSG* (solution structure generation) and *ABB* (accelerated branch bound) are formulated to optimize a process.
- Steps include, i) Definition of a process synthesis with subsequent subprocess. ii) Creation of mathematical model of the subsequent process and their alternative solutions, through algorithmic *MSG*, *SSG* and *ABB*.
- The subsequent process are connected through logical *AND gate* or logical *OR gate*.
- If any subprocess or unit has multiple inlet streams, and all the streams are required to carry out the operation then the unit is represented by **AND** *constraint*.
- If any subprocess or unit has multiple inlet streams, and any combination of theses streams are sufficient to operate the subprocess, then it is represented by *OR constraint*.





• Algorithmic MSG (maximal structure generation)

It is the mathematical representation of a laborious and modest superstructure that composed of all combinatorically feasible structures resulting the desired product from a given number of raw materials through several sequential subsystems.

One must choose the optimal structure among these feasible structures I the MSG. The optimal structure is subjected to various objective functions like, cost, safety, environmental factors etc.

## • Algorithmic SSG (solution structure generation)

It is the generation of feasible solution structure of MSG that reveals the feasible structures or flowshhets for computational purpose.

## • Algorithmic ABB (Accelerated branch and bound)

In case of number of SSG is large, the optimization all feasible solution structures is time consuming. Generally, finite number of the optimal solution structures is chosen by the process engineer and these are ranked based on the priorities of objective functions. It eases the way of computation through the optimization.



Figure MSG represented from Botond Bertok, Mate Barany, and Ferenc Friedler (2013)

- F → fermentation broth (raw material)
- A  $\rightarrow$  acetone (product)
- E  $\rightarrow$  ethanol (product)
- $B \rightarrow$  butanol (product)
- $X \rightarrow \text{ethyl-hexanol}$
- 11  $\rightarrow$  intermediate
- $12 \rightarrow$  intermediate
- $13 \rightarrow$  intermediate
- W1  $\rightarrow$  wastewater
- W2  $\rightarrow$  wastewater
- W3 → wastewater

## Table of operating units with streams specification and cost by Botond Bertok, Mate Barany, and Ferenc Friedler (2013)

| name         | inlet streams<br>[kg/h]    | outlet streams<br>[kg/h]           | investment<br>cost [US\$] | operating cost<br>[US\$/year] |
|--------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| GasStrip     | F (744 150)                | W1 (713 400)<br>I1 (30 750)        | 2 180 000                 | 871 000                       |
| Extract      | F (744 150)<br>X (800 320) | W2 (729 800)<br>I2 (814 670)       | 1 189 000                 | 5 231 000                     |
| SolventStrip | 12 (814 670)               | X (800 320)<br>I3 (14 350)         | 1 914 000                 | 864 000                       |
| Adsorp       | l1 (30 750)                | I3 (14 350)<br>W3 (16 400)         | 3 806 000                 | 132 000                       |
| Distill1     | 13 (14 350)                | A (2 870)<br>E (820)<br>B (10 660) | 3 124 000                 | 1 246 000                     |
| Distill2     | 13 (14 350)                | A (2 870)<br>E (820)<br>B (10 660) | 4 156 000                 | 1 658 000                     |

## References

- Plant Design and Economics for Chemical Engineers, Max S. Peters, K. D. Timmerhaus, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, McGraw-Hill Inc.
- Friedler F., Tarjan K., Huang Y., Fan L.T., (1993) Graph-theoretic approach to process synthesis: polynomial algorithm for maximal structure generation. Comput Chem Eng 17:929
- Botond Bertok, Mate Barany, and Ferenc Friedler, Generating and Analyzing Mathematical Programming Models of Conceptual Process Design by P-graph Software, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2013, 52, 166–171
- Aniko Bartos, Botond Bertok, Parameter tuning for a cooperative parallel implementation of process-network synthesis algorithms, Central European Journal of Operations Research (2019) 27:551–572.
- Process Synthesis by the P-Graph Framework Involving Sustainability, B. Bertok, I. Heckl, in Sustainability in the Design, Synthesis and Analysis of Chemical Engineering Processes, 2016.
- Coulson & Richardson's Chemical Engineering Design, R. K., Sinnott, Vol. 6., 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, Elsevier.

# Thank You



Total capital investment = working capital (W)+ fixed-capital investment (Ax+V)

➤ Working capital (10-20% of total capital investment)

Raw materials and supplies carried in stocks; raw material purchases; accounts receivable; operating expenses; salaries; wages; accounts payable; tax payable

Fixed-capital investment = direct costs (V)+ indirect costs (Ax)

- Direct costs = material and labor involved in actual installation of complete facility (70-85% of fixed-capital investment)
  - Equipment + installation + instrumentation + piping + electrical + insulation + painting (50-60% of fixed-capital investment)
  - Buildings, process and auxiliary (10-70% of purchased-equipment cost)
  - Service facilities and yard improvements (40-100% of purchased-equipment cost)
  - Land (1-2% of fixed-capital investment or 4-8% of purchased-equipment cost)
- Indirect costs = expenses which are not directly involved with material and labor of actual installation of complete facility (15-30% of fixed-capital investment)
  - Engineering and supervision (5-30% of direct costs)
  - Construction expense and contractor's fee (6-30% of direct costs)
  - Contingency (5-15% of fixed-capital investment)

### **Estimation of total product cost**

#### **Gross earnings = Total income - Total product cost**

#### > Total product cost = manufacturing cost + general expenses

#### General expenses = administrative costs + distribution and selling costs + research and development costs

- Administrative costs (about 15% of costs for operating labor, supervision, and maintenance, or 2-6% of total product cost); includes costs for executive salaries, clerical wages, legal fees, office supplies, and communications
- Distribution and selling costs (2-20% of total product cost); includes costs for sales offices, salesmen, shipping, and advertising
- Research and development costs (2-5% of every sales dollar or about 5% of total product cost)

#### > Manufacturing cost = direct production costs + fixed charges + plant overhead costs

#### > Direct production costs (about 60% of total product cost)

- Raw materials (10-50% of total product cost)
- Operating labor (10-20% of total product cost)
- Direct supervisory and clerical labor (10-25% of operating labor)
- Utilities (10-20% of total product cost)
- Maintenance and repairs (2-10% of fixed-capital investment)
- Operating supplies (10-20% of cost for maintenance and repairs, or 0.5-1% of fixed-capital investment)
- Laboratory charges (10-20% of operating labor)
- Patents and royalties (0-6% of total product cost)

Cont.

#### Fixed charges (10-20% of total product cost)

- Depreciation (depends on life period, salvage value, and method of calculation-about 10% of fixedcapital investment for machinery and equipment and 2-3% of building value for buildings)
- Local taxes (1-4% of fixed-capital investment)
- Insurance (0.4-1% of fixed-capital investment)
- Rent (8-12% of value of rented land and buildings)

#### Plant-overhead costs (60-70% of cost for operating labor, supervision, and maintenance, or 5-15% of total product cost)

• Costs for the following: general plant upkeep and overhead, payroll overhead, packaging, medical services, safety and protection, restaurants, recreation, salvage, laboratories, and storage facilities.



#### **Break even analysis**



Breakeven point: It is the plant operating point Where gross profit is zero. The company just breaks even and annual sales. of products equal to the annual production cost. . MBE(S-V)-F=0; MBE = F NBE = number of units of & product manufactured peryear at break even point.

mple1: For a company, E = \$ 100,000 per year. = \$ 20 per ton of product, s = \$ 40 per ton of product. The plant produces 10,000 ton of product per year at 100% capacity. 100;000; (E) NBE = 5000 ton per year = 50% plant capacity. Total production 1000 tons net solid line. 400 Thousands or dollars. cost of salestine (nS) Gross profit 300 200 -Break-even Point (nv+ F) 100 0 1 100 80 20 40 60 capacity, %

#### COST INDEXES

- Prices changes considerably with time due to changes in economic conditions and cost data need to be updated.
- A cost index is merely an index value for a given point in time showing the cost at that time relative to a certain base time.
- Present cost = Original cost × (index value at present time/index value at time original cost was obtained)
- The most common of these indexes are the
  - Marshall and Swift all-industry and process-industry equipment indexes
  - The Engineering News-Record construction index
  - The Nelson-Farrar refinery construction index
  - The Chemical Engineering plant cost index

#### Estimating Equipment Costs by Scaling

- It is often necessary to estimate the cost of a piece of equipment when no cost data are available for the particular size of operational capacity involved.
- <u>six-tenths-factor</u> rule, if the cost of a given unit at one capacity is known, the cost of a similar unit with X times the capacity of the first is approximately (X)<sup>0.6</sup> times the cost of the initial unit.
- Cost of equip. **a** = cost of equip. **b**×(Capac. Equip. **a**/Capac. Equip. **b**)<sup>0.6</sup>
- Example: Estimating cost of equipment using scaling factors and cost index.
- The purchased cost of a 50-gal glass-lined, jacketed reactor (without drive) was \$8350 in 1981. Estimate the purchased cost of a similar 300-gal, glass-lined, jacketed reactor (without drive) in 1986. Use the annual average Marshall and Swift equipment-cost index (all industry) to update the purchase cost of the reactor.

TABLE 3 Cost indexes as annual averages

|        | Marshall and Swift<br>installed-equipment<br>indexes, 1926 = 100 |                      | Eng. Network-Record  |                      |               | <b>Nelson-Farrar</b><br>refinery<br>construction | Chemical<br>engineering<br>plant cost<br>index |  |
|--------|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Year   | All-<br>industry   | Process-<br>industry | 1913<br>= <b>100</b> | 1949<br>= <b>100</b> | 1967<br>= 100 | index,<br>1946 = 100                             | 1957-1959<br>= loo                             |  |
| 1975   | 444  | 452                  | 2412                 | 464                  | 207           | 576  | 182  |  |
| 1976   | 472  | 479                  | 2401                 | 503                  | 224           | 616  | 192  |  |
| 1977   | 505  | 514                  | 2576                 | 540                  | 241           | 653  | 204  |  |
| 1978   | 545  | 552                  | 2776                 | 582                  | 259           | 701  | 219  |  |
| 1979   | 599  | 607                  | 3003                 | 630                  | 281           | 757  | 239  |  |
| 1980   | 560  | 675                  | 3237                 | 679                  | 303           | 823  | 261  |  |
| 1981   | 721  | 745                  | 3535                 | 741                  | 330           | 904  | 297  |  |
| 1982   | 746  | 774                  | 3825                 | 802                  | 357           | 977  | 314  |  |
| 1983   | 761  | 786                  | 4066                 | 852                  | 380           | 1026   | 317  |  |
| 1984   | 780  | 806                  | 4146                 | 869                  | 387           | 1061   | 323  |  |
| 1985   | 790  | 813                  | 4195                 | 879                  | 392           | 1074   | 325  |  |
| 1986   | 798  | 817                  | 4295                 | 900                  | 401           | 1090   | 318  |  |
| 1987   | 814  | 830                  | 4406                 | 924                  | 412           | 1122   | 324  |  |
| 1988   | 852  | 870                  | 4519                 | 947                  | 422           | 1165   | 343  |  |
| 1989   | 895  | 914                  | 4606                 | 965                  | 429           | 1194   | 355  |  |
| 1990   |  |                      | /                    |                      |               |  |  |  |
| (Jan.) | 904†   | 924                  | 4673                 | 979                  | 435           | 1203   | 356  |  |

 $\dagger$  All costs presented in this text are based on this value of the Marshall and Swift index unless otherwise indicated.

Table 3 (Peters & Timmerhaus)

Solution: Marshall and Swift equipment-cost index (all industry) (From Table 3) For 1981: 721; (From Table 3) For 1986: 798 From Table 5, the equipment vs. capacity exponent is given as 0.54:

In 1986, cost of reactor = (\$8350)  $\left(\frac{798}{721}\right) \left(\frac{300}{50}\right)^{0.54}$  =\$24,300

| TABLE 5 |           |     |           |      |     |          |  |
|---------|-----------|-----|-----------|------|-----|----------|--|
| Typical | exponents | for | equipment | cost | VS. | capacity |  |

| Equipment  | Siie range  | Exponent |
|--|---|----------|
| Blender, double cone rotary, c.s.  | SO-250 ft <sup>3</sup>                                  | 0.49     |
| Blower, centrifugal  | 10 <sup>3</sup> -10 <sup>4</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> /min   | 0.59     |
| Centrifuge, solid bowl, c.s.   | 10-10 <sup>2</sup> hp drive                             | 0.67     |
| Crystallizer, vacuum batch, C.S.   | 500-7000 ft <sup>3</sup>                                | 0.37     |
| Compressor, reciprocating, air cooled, two-stage,<br>150 psi discharge       | $10-400 \text{ ft}^3/\text{min}$                        | 0.69     |
| Compressor, rotary, single-stage, sliding vane,                              | 10 100 - 7  |          |
| 150 psi discharge  | $10^2 - 10^3$ ft <sup>3</sup> /min                      | 0.79     |
| Dryer, drum, single vacuum   | $10-10^2 \text{ ft}^2$                                  | 0.76     |
| Dryer, drum, single atmospheric  | $10-10^2 \text{ ft}^2$                                  | 0.40     |
| Evaporator (installed), horizontal tank                                      | $10^2 - 10^4$ ft <sup>2</sup>                           | 0.54     |
| Fan, centrifugal   | $10^3 - 10^4$ ft <sup>3</sup> /min                      | 0.44     |
| Fan, centrifugal   | $2 \times 10^4 - 7 \times 10^4 \text{ ft}^3/\text{min}$ | 1.17     |
| Heat exchanger, shell and tube, floating head, c.s.                          | 100-400 ft <sup>2</sup>                                 | 0.60     |
| Heat exchanger, shell and tube, fixed sheet, c.s.                            | 100-400 ft <sup>2</sup>                                 | 0.44     |
| Kettle, cast iron, jacketed  | 250-800 gal   | 0.27     |
| Kettle, glass lined, jacketed<br>Motor, squirrel cage, induction, 440 volts, | 200-800 gal   | 0.31     |
| explosion proof<br>Motor, squirrel cage, induction, 440 volts,               | 5-20 hp   | 0.69     |
| explosion proof  | 20-200 hp   | 0.99     |
| (includes motor)   | <b>2-100</b> gpm  | 0.34     |
| Pump, centrifugal, horizontal, cast steel                                    | 104 105   | 0.22     |
| (includes motor)   | 10'-10' gpm x psi                                       | 0.53     |
| Reactor, glass miled, jacketed (without drive)                               | $10^2 \ 10^3 \ col$                                     | 0.54     |
| Reactor, s.s, 500 psi  | 50 250 <b>A</b> <sup>3</sup>                            | 0.49     |
| Tenk flet head as  | $10^2 - 10^4$ col                                       | 0.57     |
| Tank, nat nead, C.S.   | $10^2 - 10^3 \text{ gal}$                               | 0.40     |
| Tama, C.S., glass lilled   | $10^{3}-2 = 10^{6}$ gai                                 | 0.42     |
| Tray hubble cup CS   | 3-10 ft diameter  | 1.20     |
| Tray, sieve, c.s.  | 3-10 ft diameter  | 0.86     |

#### Table 5 (Peters & Timmerhaus)

#### METHODS FOR ESTIMATING CAPITAL INVESTMENT

• POWER FACTOR APPLIED TO PLANT-CAPACITY RATIO : This method for study relates the fixed-capital investment of a new process plant to the fixed-capital investment of similar previously constructed plants by an exponential power ratio.

$$C_n = C f_e R^x$$

- Where  $C_n$  is the fixed-capital investment of the new facility; C is the fixed-capital investment of the constructed facility; R is the ratio of the capacity of the new facility divided by the capacity of the old; X is the power which has been found to average between 0.6 and 0.7 for many process facilities;  $f_e$  is the cost index ratio at the time of cost  $C_n$  to that at the time of cost C.
- A closer approximation for this relationship which involves the direct and indirect plant costs has been proposed as

$$C_n = f[DR^x + I]$$

• Where *f* is a lumped cost-index factor relative to the original installation cost D is the direct cost and *I* is the total indirect cost for the previously installed facility of a similar unit on an equivalent site.

- Example: Estimation of fixed-capital investment with power factor applied to plant-capacity ratio. If the process plant, described in Example 1, was erected in the Dallas area for a fixed-capital investment of \$436,000 in 1975, determine what the estimated fixed-capital investment would have been in 1980 for a similar process plant located near Los Angeles with twice the process capacity but with an equal number of process units? Use the power-factor method to evaluate the new fixed-capital investment and assume the factors given in Table 20.
- with a 0.6 power factor and the Marshall and Swift all-industry index (Table 3), the fixed-capital investment is

$$C_n = Cf_e R^x = 436,000 \left(\frac{660}{440}\right)(2)^{0.6} = \$982,000$$

• with a 0.6 power factor, the Marshall and Swift all-industry index (Table 3), and the relative labor and productivity indexes (Table 20), the fixed capital investment is

$$C_n = f[DR^x + I] = \left(\frac{660}{444}\right) \left(\frac{1.22}{0.88}\right) \left(\frac{1.04}{0.89}\right) [308,000(2)^{0.6} + 128,000] = \$1,432,000$$

• where  $f = f_E f_L e_L$ ,  $f_L$ =Relative labor rates ratio,  $e_L$ =Relative productivity factor ratio.

| TABLE 20<br>Relative labor rate and productivity indexes in the<br>chemical and allied products industries for the United States<br>(1989)† |                           | Components  | Assumed %<br>of total  | Cost<br>\$100,000                     | Ratioed %<br>of total            |                                      |                          |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
|   |                           | Purchased equipment<br>Purchased-equipment instal |  |                                       |                                  |                                      |                          |
| Geographical area   | Relative<br>labor<br>rate | Relative<br>productivity<br>factor                | Instrumentation (installed)<br>Piping (installed)<br>Electrical (installed)<br>Buildings (including services | D=Direct                              | 7<br>8<br>5<br>5                 | 28,000<br>32,000<br>20,000<br>20,000 | 6.4<br>7.3<br>4.6<br>4.6 |
| New England<br>Middle Atlantic  | 1.14<br>1.06              | 0.95  | Yard improvements<br>Service facilities (installed)<br>Land  | capital<br>investment                 | 2<br>15<br>1                     | 8,000<br>60,000<br>4,000             | 1.8<br>13.8<br>0.9       |
| South Atlantic<br>Midwest<br>Gulf   | 0.84<br>1.03<br>0.95      | 0.91<br>1.06<br>1.22                              | Engineering and supervision<br>Construction expense<br>Contractor's fee                                      | I=Indirect<br>capital                 | 10<br>12<br>2                    | 40,000<br>48,000<br>8,000            | 9.2<br>11.0<br>1.8       |
| Southwest<br>Mountain   | 0.88<br>0.88              | 1.04<br>0.97                                      | Contingency  | investment                            | 8                                | 32,000<br>\$436,000                  | 7.3<br>100.0             |
| Table 20 (I   | 1.22<br>Peters & Timmer   | 0.89<br>Thaus)                                    | Range will vary from \$371<br>inflationary, it may vary from   | ,000 to \$501<br>m <b>\$436,000</b> - | 1,000 for norm \$<br>-\$566,000. | conditions;                          | if ecoomy is             |

- **Turnover ratio**=(gross annual sales/fixed-capital investment)
- The reciprocal of the turnover ratio is sometimes defined as the *capital ratio or the investment ratio*. Turnover ratios of up to 5 are common for some business establishments and some are as low as 0.2.
- Example: Break-even point, gross earnings, and net profit for a process plant.
- The annual direct production costs for a plant operating at 70 percent capacity are \$280,000 while the sum of the annual fixed charges, overhead costs, and general expenses is \$200,000. What is the break-even point in units of production per year if total annual sales are \$560,000 and the product sells at \$40 per unit? What were the annual gross earnings and net profit for this plant at 100 percent capacity in 1988 when corporate income taxes required a 15 percent tax on the first \$50,000 of annual gross earnings, 25 percent on annual gross earnings of \$50,000 to \$75,000, 34 percent on annual gross earnings above \$75,000, and 5 percent on gross earnings from \$100,000 to \$335,000?
- The break-even point occurs when the total annual product cost equals the total annual sales. The total annual product cost is the sum of the fixed costs (including fixed charges, overhead, and general expenses) and the direct production costs for n units per year. The total annual sales is the product of the number of units and the selling price per unit. Thus
- Direct production cost/unit =280,000/(560,000/40) = \$20/unit,

Cont.

- At break-even point, Total product cost = Total income or all product sold
- 200,000 + 20n = 40n
- n = 200,000/20=10,000 units/year
- This is [(10,000)/(14,000/0.7)]100 = 50% of the present plant operating capacity.
- Total product cost = (direct production costs + fixed charges + plant overhead costs) + general expenses
- Manufacturing cost = direct production costs + fixed charges + plant overhead costs
- Gross annual earnings = total annual sales total annual product cost
- =(560,000/0.7)-[200,000 +(280,000/0.7)]
- = 800,000 600,000
- = \$200,000

- Net annual earnings = gross annual earnings income taxes
- =200,000 [(0.15)(50,000) + (0.25)(25,000) + (0.34)(200,000 75,000) + (0.05)(200,000 100,000)]
- = 200,000 61,250
- = \$138,750

#### Reference

 Plant Design and Economics for Chemical Engineers, Max S. Peters, K. D. Timmerhaus, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, McGraw-Hill Inc.

## **Thank You**